Treatment of groundwater contaminated with benzene, MTBE and ammonium by constructed wetlands

Dissertation

der Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftlichen Fakultät der Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen zur Erlangung des Grades eines Doktors der Naturwissenschaften (Dr. rer. nat.)

> vorgelegt von Diplom-Chemikerin Eva Mareike Seeger aus Heidelberg

> > Tübingen 2012

Tag der mündlichen Qualifikation: Dekan: Berichterstatter: Berichterstatter: tt.mm.jjjj Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Rosenstiel Prof. Dr. Peter Grathwohl Prof. Dr. Matthias Kästner Die vorliegende Arbeit wurde im Zeitraum von Mai 2007 bis September 2011 am Helmholtz-Zentrum für Umweltforschung-UFZ im Department Umweltbiotechnologie unter der Leitung von Herrn Prof. Dr. M. Kästner und Herrn Dr. P. Kuschk angefertigt. Die universitäre Betreuung von Seiten des Fachbereichs Geowissenschaften der mathematisch-naturwissenschaftlichen Fakultät der Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen erfolgte durch Herrn Prof. Dr. P. Grathwohl.

Abstract

Multi-component contamination of groundwater at industrial sites due to chemical processing and transport causes a major hazard to the environment. Depending on toxicity, persistence and mobility, chemicals impair the quality of groundwater and can have adverse effect on ecosystems and human health, e.g. when polluted groundwater discharges into rivers / river floodplains, or if the groundwater is used as drinking water source. Within the last decades several groundwater remediation techniques have been developed, but oftentimes the commonly applied P&T methods are economically not feasible. Constructed wetlands (CWs) are a promising near-natural alternative for a cost-efficient remediation of groundwater.

In the present thesis, different pilot-scale horizontal subsurface-flow CW types (gravel CWs with different filter composition and a hydroponic plant root mat) have been tested for their potential to remediate groundwater contaminated with benzene, the gasoline additive methyl *tert*-butyl ether (MTBE) and ammonium at a field site. The performance evaluation comprised (i) the determination of contaminant specific removal efficiency based on season-dependent concentration decline, (ii) the investigation of the fate of the volatile organic compounds in the different CW types during summer and winter time based on a mass balance approach taking into account the main removal pathways volatilization, plant uptake and microbial degradation, and (iii) the hydraulic characterization of the CWs with regard to wetland type and operational mode.

Overall, it could be shown that contaminant decline is greatly affected by the wetland type, with the hydroponic plant root mat achieving lower outflow concentrations than the planted gravel filter, and is season-dependent with highest concentration decline during spring and summer at temperatures above 15°C, and contaminant-specific with most efficient decline observed for benzene. In contrast, the filter composition (gravel matrix with or without filter additives charcoal or ferric oxide) did not enhance treatment efficiency. The hydroponic plant root mat and the planted gravel CW efficiently improved the water quality as indicated by the achieved concentration decline during summer of 99 ± 1 % and 81 ± 7 % for benzene, 82 ± 4 % and 17 ± 7 % for MTBE, and 41 ± 7 % and 54 ± 17 % for ammonium-N, respectively.

The VOC mass balance confirmed the removal trends regarding seasonality, compoundand system-specificity, yet summer mass removal from the aqueous phase was elevated compared to the mere concentration decline, given that water loss via evapotranspiration was considered (hydroponic plant root mat: benzene: $606 \pm 51 \ mg \ d^{-1} \ m^{-2} = 99.9$ \pm 8.5 %; MTBE: 117 \pm 9 mg d⁻¹ m⁻² = 96 \pm 8 %; gravel CW: benzene: 480 \pm 42 $mg d^{-1} m^{-2} = 96 \pm 8$ %; MTBE: $85 \pm 13 mg d^{-1} m^{-2} = 75 \pm 11$ %). Moreover, mass balancing enabled to distinguish between elimination and distribution pathways: for the gravel CW and the hydroponic plant root mat, the main benzene flux during summer was by microbial degradation (76.4%, 82.9%), with minor removal via plant uptake and volatilization. In contrast, MTBE removal via microbial degradation was poor for both treatment systems (gravel CW: 30.9%, hydroponic plant root mat: 13.2%). Furthermore, with regard to treatment reliability mass balancing pointed out that the application potential for the hydroponic plant root mat strongly depends on the contaminant to be treated, given that emission was a minor removal pathway for benzene in both CW types (<5%), but was considerably enhanced for MTBE in the plant root mat (23.6%).

The hydraulic characterization of the CWs revealed lower dispersion indices $(N = 11.3, D_n = 0.04, Pé = 23)$ and on that basis better hydraulic flow behavior for the planted gravel CW in comparison to the resting $(N = 5.3, D_n = 0.09, Pé = 11)$ and floating $(N = 1.9, D_n = 0.26, Pé = 4)$ hydroponic plant root mat. So, the fact that the resting plant root mat showed highest removal efficiency although the flow behavior was less optimal evinced that good hydraulic flow behavior with low dispersion is not the only decisive factor for high contaminant removal, but high actual water-root contact, root density and a sufficient residence time are also important.

To conclude, both CW types represent a promising approach for the remediation of VOC and ammonium contaminated groundwater during spring/summer time. However, VOC removal via volatilization should not be overlooked, especially for the hydroponic system.

Zusammenfassung

Die großflächige und zugleich heterogene Verunreinigung von Grundwasser an Industriestandorten infolge der Verwendung und des Transports von Chemikalien stellt ein hohes Gefährdungspotential für die Umwelt dar. In Abhängigkeit von Toxizität, Persistenz und Mobilität beeinträchtigen die Schadstoffe nicht nur die Grundwasserqualität. Auch andere Umweltgüter und die menschliche Gesundheit können betroffen sein, wenn kontaminiertes Grundwasser beispielsweise in Oberflächengewässer infiltriert, z.B. in Flüsse oder Flussauen, oder das Grundwasser als Trinkwasserquelle dient. In den letzten Jahren wurde eine Vielzahl von Reinigungsstrategien für kontaminiertes Grundwasser entwickelt, wobei sich die klassischen Pump & Treat - Methoden ökonomisch oft als nicht tragbar erwiesen. Eine vielversprechende und zudem kostengünstige naturnahe Alternative stellt die Anwendung von Pflanzenkläranlagen, engl. *constructed wetlands* (CWs), dar.

In der vorliegenden Dissertation wurden verschiedene horizontal unterhalb der Oberfläche durchflossene CWs (bepflanzte Kiesfilter mit unterschiedlichen Filteradditiven und ein hydroponisches Versuchssystem, eine sogenannte hydroponische Wurzelmatte) im Pilotmaßstab und unter Feldbedingungen auf ihr Potential untersucht, mit Benzol, dem Benzinzusatzstoff Methyl tert-butyl Ether (MTBE) und Ammonium kontaminiertes Grundwasser zu reinigen. Die Leistungsbewertung umfasste folgende Schwerunkte: (i) die Bestimmung schadstoffspezifischer Reinigungsleistung basierend auf der erreichten Konzentrationsverringerung, (ii) die Untersuchung der Verteilung der flüchtigen organischen Schadstoffe (engl. volatile organic compounds, VOCs) im System Grundwasser-Boden-Pflanze-Atmosphäre basierend auf der Erstellung von Massenbilanzen, wobei die Prozesse Volatilisierung, Pflanzenaufnahme und mikrobieller Schadstoffabbau als die Haupt-Eliminierungspfade berücksichtigt wurden, und (iii) die hydraulische Charakterisierung der verschiedenen constructed wetlands, auch im Hinblick auf ihre Betriebsweise. Die Untersuchungen zeigten, dass die Verringerung der Schadstoffkonzentration systemabhängig und schadstoffspezifisch erfolgte. Die Wurzelmatte erzielte im Vergleich zum bepflanzten Kiesfilter eine deutlich höhere Reinigungsleistung und Benzol wurde effektiver eliminiert als die anderen Schadstoffe. Zudem unterlag die Reinigungseffizienz

saisonalen Schwankungen: Die stärkste Abnahme wurde während der Frühjahrs- und Sommermonate verzeichnet bei Lufttemperaturen über 15°C. Keinen Einfluss auf die Reinigungsleistung hatte die unterschiedliche Zusammensetzung der Kiesfilter (Zusatz der Filteradditive Aktivkohle oder dreiwertiges Eisenoxid). Im Sommer wurden die Schadstoffkonzentrationen durch die Behandlung via Wurzelmatte und bepflanzten Kiesfilter effizient verringert: um 99 ± 1 % und 81 \pm 7 % für Benzol, um 82 \pm 4 % und 17 \pm 7 % für MTBE, und um 41 \pm 7 % und 54 \pm 17 % für Ammonium-N.

Anhand der VOC-Massenbilanzierung konnten die beschriebenen Trends hinsichtlich Saisonalität sowie Schadstoff- und System-Spezifität bestätigt werden, wobei die Eliminierungseffizienz basierend auf Schadstofffrachten im Vergleich zur Konzentrationsverringerung höher ausfiel (Wurzelmatte: Benzol: 606 ± 51 mg $d^{-1} m^{-2} = 99.9 \pm 8.5 \%$; MTBE: 117 \pm 9 mg d⁻¹ m⁻² = 96 \pm 8 %; bepflanzter Kiesfilter: Benzol: 480 \pm 42 $mg d^{-1} m^{-2} = 96 \pm 8$ %; MTBE: $85 \pm 13 mg d^{-1} m^{-2} = 75 \pm 11$ %). Darüber hinaus ermöglichte die Massenbilanzierung die Unterscheidung zwischen Transformationsund Umverteilungsprozessen. Die Berechnungen ergaben, dass Benzol im bepflanzten Kiesfilter und in der hydroponischen Wurzelmatte hauptsächlich via mikrobiellen Schadstoffabbau entfernt wurde (76,4% bzw. 82,9%), während pflanzliche Aufnahme und Emission eine untergeordnete Rolle spielten. Im Vergleich dazu fiel für MTBE der Anteil des mikrobiellen Abbaus an der Gesamteliminierung gering aus (bepflanzter Kiesfilter: 30,9%; Wurzelmatte: 13,2%). Die Emissionsmessungen unterstrichen zudem, dass das Anwendungspotential des hydroponischen Systems stark von dem zu behandelnden Schadstoff abhängt. Denn während die Emission von Benzol in beiden CW Systemen nur einen geringen Anteil an der Gesamteliminierung ausmachte (<5%), trug die Emission von MTBE in der Wurzelmatte entscheidend zur Gesamteliminierung bei (23,6%).

Die Erfassung der hydraulischen Charakteristika der CWs zeigte für den bepflanzten Kiesfilter eine geringere Tendenz zur Dispersion (N = 11,3; $D_n = 0,04$; Pe = 23) und somit ein besseres hydraulisches Fließverhalten im Vergleich zum hydroponischen System - unabhängig davon, ob dieses als auf dem Beckenboden aufsitzende (N = 5,3; $D_n = 0,09$; Pe = 11) oder als schwimmende (N = 1,9; $D_n = 0,26$; Pe = 4) Wurzelmatte betrieben wurde. Die Tatsache, dass das unter hydraulischen Gesichtspunkten weniger effiziente hydroponische System (die auf dem Beckenboden aufsitzende Wurzelmatte) die beste Reinigungsleistung erzielte, verdeutlicht, dass für eine hohe Schadstoffeliminierungseffizienz eine gutes hydraulisches Fließverhalten nicht allein entscheidend ist. Faktoren wie ein guter Kontakt zwischen den Schadstoffen im Wasser und den Pflanzenwurzeln, aber auch die Wurzeldichte sowie eine genügend lange Verweilzeit spielen hier eine wichtige Rolle.

Abschließend lässt sich sagen, dass während der Vegetationszeit im Frühling und Sommer beide Arten von constructed wetlands eine vielversprechende Methode darstellen für die Reinigung von mit VOCs und Ammonium belastetem Grundwasser. Dabei sollte insbesondere für das hydroponische System der Eliminierungspfad Volatilisierung nicht außer Acht gelassen werden.

List of abbreviations and notations

Abbreviations

AC	Activated carbon		
BOD_5	Five-day biochemical oxygen demand		
BTC	Breakthrough curve		
BTEX	Group of the aromatic compounds:		
	benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes		
COD	Chemical oxygen demand		
CoTra	Compartment Transfer		
CSTR	Continuously stirred tank reactor		
CW	Constructed wetland		
DAC	Dynamic air chamber		
DVGW	German guidelines for drinking water quality		
E	Evaporation		
Eh	Redox potential		
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency		
ET	Evapotranspiration		
FWS	Free water surface		
HF	Horizontal flow		
HSSF	Horizontal subsurface flow		
IARC	International Agency for Research on Cancer		
IC	Inorganic carbon		
MCB Monochlorobenzene			
MPN	Most probable number		
MTBE	Methyl <i>tert</i> -butyl ether		
NA	Natural attenuation		
NPL	National Priority List		
ÖGP	German: Ökologisches Großprojekt;		
	English: large-scale ecological project		

P&T	Pump-and-treat
PAH	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
PCE	Perchloroethylene
\mathbf{PF}	Plug flow
\mathbf{PFR}	Plug-flow reactor
PHCs	Petroleum hydrocarbons
RTD	Residence time distribution
SSF	Subsurface flow
Т	Transpiration
TBA	<i>tert</i> -butyl alcohol
TCA	1,1,1-trichloroethane
TCE	Trichloroethylene
TEA	Terminal electron acceptor
TN	Total nitrogen
TOC	Total organic carbon
TSCF	Transpiration stream concentration factor
USGS	United States Geological Survey
UV	Ultraviolet
VF	Vertical flow
VOC	Volatile organic compound
WHO	World Health Organization

Notations

The abbreviations shown in brackets represent the dimensionality of the variable being used: $M = mass; L = length; L^3 = volume, t = time.$

A	Surface area of the wetland $[L^2]$
A_{in}	Area perpendicular to the flow direction $[L^2]$
A_{surf}	Surface area $[L^2]$
$ACL_{in/out}$	A real contaminant load for the inflow or outflow [M $\rm L^{-2}~t^{-1}]$
$c'(\varPhi)$	Normalized concentration [-]
c_A	Contaminant concentration in the atmosphere
$c_{CW,average}$	Average contaminant concentration in the water compartment
	of the CW $[M L^{-3}]$
$c_{in/out}$	Contaminant concentration at the inflow or outflow [M $\rm L^{-3}]$

c_{tracer}	Fluorescein concentration of the tracer solution $[M L^3]$		
c_W	Contaminant concentration in the water phase $[M L^3]$		
$c_{x,y}$	Contaminant concentration at the sampling position (x,y) [M L^3		
D	Dispersion coefficient $[L^2 t^{-1}]$		
D_n	Dispersion number [-]		
Ε	Evaporation $[L^3 L^{-2} t^{-1}]$		
ET	Evapotranspiration $[L^3 L^{-2} t^{-1}]$		
f_{OC}	Organic carbon content [%]		
h	Water table [L]		
Н	Henry coefficient $[Pa \ m^3 \ mol^{-1}]$		
K_{AW}	Henry constant, air-water partition coefficient [-]		
K_d	Solid water distribution coefficient $[L^3 M^{-1}]$		
k_f	Hydraulic conductivity [L t^{-1}]		
K_{OC} Soil organic-carbon partition coefficient [L ³ M ⁻¹]			
K_{OW} Octanol-water partition coefficient [-]			
L	Solubility [M L^{-3}]		
L	Length of the wetland [L]		
L_m	Contaminant loss via microbial degradation [M $L^{-2} t^{-1}$]		
L_p	Contaminant loss via plant uptake [M $L^{-2} t^{-1}$]		
L_{photo}	Contaminant loss via photolysis [M $L^{-2} t^{-1}$]		
L_s	Contaminant loss via sorption on filter material [M $L^{-2} t^{-1}$]		
L_v	Contaminant loss via volatilization [M $L^{-2} t^{-1}$]		
$L_{v,phyto}$	Contaminant loss via phytovolatilization [M $L^{-2} t^{-1}$]		
$L_{v,surface}$	Contaminant loss via surface volatilization [M $L^{-2} t^{-1}$]		
LD_{50}	Lethal dose, 50% [-]		
$m_{air,in/out}$	Contaminant mass detected on the adsorption tubes positioned		
	in the inlet and outlet wind flux of the DACs [M]		
M_{in}	Total mass of tracer applied [M]		
M_{out}	Total tracer mass recovered [M]		
n	Gravel porosity [-]		
N	Number of continuously stirred tank reactors [-]		
nHRT	Theoretical hydraulic retention time [t]		
Pé	Péclet number [-]		
p_i	Vapor pressure of the component i		
P_r	Pumping rate $[L^3 t^{-1}]$		

Q	Flow rate $[L^3 t^{-1}]$
Q_A	Flow through the cross section A $[L^3 t^{-1}]$
q_{et}	Evapotranspiration rate per surface area $[L^3 t^{-1} \text{ or } L t^{-1}]$
q_{in}	Inflow specific discharge $[L t^{-1}]$
Q_{in}	Mean inflow rate $[L^3 t^{-1}]$
Q_{mean}	Mean flux $[L^3 t^{-1}]$
Q_{out}	Mean outflow rate $[L^3 t^{-1}]$
$\overline{Q}_{\Delta(t),in/out}$	Average inflow or outflow water volume between two consecutive
	concentration samplings at time t_i and t_{i+1} [L ³ t ⁻¹]
R	Gas constant $[J \ K^{-1} \ mol^{-1}]$
R	Tracer recovery rate $[\%]$
R_D	Retardation factor [-]
R_W	Contaminant removal efficiency for the water phase $[\%]$
S	Conductivity $[mS L^{-1}]$
$t_{1/2}$	Half-life [t]
t_{break}	Tracer breakthrough time [t]
t_s	Initial tracer detection [t]
Т	Temperature $[K; \ ^{\circ}C]$
Т	Transpired water volume $[L^3 L^{-2} t^{-1}]$
T_{air}	Air temperature $[K; \ ^{\circ}C]$
v	Flow velocity [L t^{-1}]
V_{in}	Water influx $[L^3 L^{-2} t^{-1}]$
V_{out}	Water outflux $[L^3 L^{-2} t^{-1}]$
$V_{out}(t)$	Outflow volume accumulated for the time t $[L^3 t^{-1}]$
v_p	Vapor pressure $[Pa]$
$V_{precepitation}$	resp. V_{rain} : precipitation flux [L ³ L ⁻² t ⁻¹]
V_s	Sample volume $[L^3]$
V_{sys}	Estimated pore volume $[L^3]$
$v_{x,y}$	Flow velocity at the sampling position (x,y) [L t^{-1}]
w	Width of the wetland [L]
x	Flow distance [L]

Greek symbols

$lpha_L$	Longitudinal dispersivity [L]
$\delta^{13}\mathrm{C}$	Carbon stable isotope ratio $[\%]$

Δm	Overall contaminant loss in the water phase [M]
Δt	Time interval [t]
Φ	Dimensionless flow-weighted time [-]
θ	Porosity [-]
ρ	Density of the gravel/soil matrix $[M L^{-3}]$
σ^2	Variance $[t^2]$
σ^2_{Θ}	Dimensionless variance [-]
au	Mean residence time [t]
$ au_{corr}$	Mean residence time corrected for sorption processes [t]
$ au_{fit}$	Mean residence time of the analytical fit of the RTD [t]

Contents

1	Intro	duction		1	
	1.1	cally Contaminated Groundwater	1		
		1.1.1	Sources, Pollutants, and Risks	1	
		1.1.2	Groundwater Treatment Options	2	
	1.2	Constr	ructed Wetlands: Principles	3	
		1.2.1	Microbial degradation	4	
		1.2.2	Sorption	6	
		1.2.3	Volatilization	6	
		1.2.4	Plant uptake	7	
		1.2.5	Wetland Design	8	
		1.2.6	The role of the wetland filter	9	
	1.3	Indust	rial site "Leuna"	10	
	1.4	Main g	groundwater pollutants at the CoTra plant	12	
		1.4.1	Benzene	12	
		1.4.2	Methyl <i>tert</i> -butyl ether	15	
		1.4.3	Ammonium	17	
	1.5 Current state of research and gaps in wetland phytoremediation				
		benzer	ne, MTBE and ammonium	18	
	1.6	Aims a	and outline of the thesis \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots	20	
2	Pape wate	aper I - Bioremediation of benzene-, MTBE- and ammonium-contaminated ground- vater with pilot-scale constructed wetlands 23			
3	Paper II - Performance evaluation using a three compartment mass balance for the removal of volatile organic compounds in pilot scale constructed wetlands 31			31	
4	Pape type cont	Paper III - Performance evaluation of different horizontal subsurface flow wetland types by characterization of flow behavior, mass removal and depth-dependent contaminant load			

5 Summary, conclusion, and future perspectives			51
	5.1	Summary of the results and concluding remarks	51
	5.2	Outlook and perspective for future research	57
6	Bibliography		59
Ac	Acknowledgments		
Ap	pendi	x	I
	A.1	Supporting Information EST-paper	Ι
	A.2	Supporting Information WR-paper	VI
Sel	Selbständigkeitserklärung		
Cu	rriculı	ım Vitae	XI
Pe	Peer-review Articles		

1 Introduction

1.1 Chemically Contaminated Groundwater

1.1.1 Sources, Pollutants, and Risks

Spills of chemicals during processing or transport, improper waste management, industrial and agricultural run-off water, as well as leaking underground storage tanks have caused manifold and often multi-component contamination of surface and subsurface soils and waters (EEA, 2000). Volatile organic compounds (VOCs), both chlorinated and non-chlorinated aromatic and aliphatic ones, account for the most frequently detected contaminants, given that they are produced in large volumes and are widely used for industrial purposes (USGS, 2006; ATSDR, 2011). Prominent applications of VOCs are the use as solvents (benzene, dichloromethane), degreasing agents in metal industries (benzene, perchloroethene) or precursors (chlorobenzenes) for the production of pesticides, pharmaceuticals and dyes (WHO, 2003b, 2004b,a). As part of the National Water-Quality Assessment Program of the US Geological Survey (USGS) (1985 - 2002), water samples from groundwater and drinking water wells from all over the USA, including 98 aquifers and 3500 wells, have been analyzed for 60 VOCs (USGS, 2006). In this context, it was found that chloroform, the solvents perchloroethene and trichloroethene, and the gasoline oxygenate methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) were the most ubiquities VOCs in both rural and urban areas. Explicitly, for 12% of the wells VOCs were detected at concentrations of potential concern exceeding the US EPA maximum contaminant levels (Squillace et al., 1999, 2002). Although VOCs, once released into the environment, are subject to volatilization, they may also leach from surface waters and soils into groundwater. Under the prevailing anaerobic conditions in most aquifers, many pollutants are recalcitrant. Depending on their toxicity and persistence they therefore impair the quality of groundwater and can cause adverse effects on ecosystems and human health, if used e.g. as drinking water. The US EPA currently lists about 1300 contaminated sites on the National Priority List (NPL) (EPA, 2012); the number of potentially contaminated sites in Germany and Europe

varies depending on the literature source (Germany: 240.000 (EEA, 2000) - 288.000 (LABO, 2011); Europe: 750.000 (CARACAS, 1998) - 1.400.000 (EEA, 2000)). Even though only about 10-15% of the suspected sites in Germany may actually require remediation (Frauenstein, 2009), these facts point out that contamination of soil and water is a worldwide problem. As a consequence, risk mitigation as well as the timely and cost–effective remediation of contaminated soil and groundwater is of political concern.

1.1.2 Groundwater Treatment Options

Groundwater remediation can be realized by a multitude of strategies either in situ or ex situ (Farhadian et al., 2008b). A general classification of the available treatment options is derived from the underlying treatment principle, thus one is distinguishing between physical (e.g. air stripping, adsorption on activated carbon (AC) or zeolites, extraction, electro-kinetic separation), chemical (e.g. oxidation with ozone or hydrogen peroxide, reduction at reactive walls of zero-valent iron, immobilization, combustion, UV radiation, heterogeneous catalysis) and biological (e.g. biostimulation, bioaugmentation) methods.

Commonly, the remediation of groundwater is accomplished by so-called pump-and-treat (P&T) technologies in which groundwater is pumped from the subsurface to ex situ remediation facilities and - after being cleaned to certain concentration limits - is either re-injected into the aquifer or infiltrated into surface waters. The appropriate treatment technique is predetermined by the physico-chemical characteristics of the respective contaminant(s), such as volatility, sorption tendency, or potential for biodegradation. For example, the stripping technique is best applicable for pollutants with a vapour pressure of >70 Pa and a Henry coefficient of >70 Pa $m^3 mol^{-1}$ (Bracke and Klümpen, 2005). Although P&T technologies effectively reduce pollutant concentration and control plume migration they are technically extensive and costly since they require (i) steady energy input for the continuous pumping of water as well as for the treatment facilities and (ii) constant maintenance work. For sites characterized by low pollutant concentrations, P&T remediation measures may not be technically and economically feasible within a reasonable timeframe (Travis and Doty, 1990), in particular for strongly sorbing compounds e.g. polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). Applying in situ methods such as funnel and gate technologies or reactive barriers instead avoids complex pumping, but still involves enormous technical effort and site disturbance. Therefore, there is an increased interest in moving away from traditional

remediation approaches towards innovative low-tech in situ bioremediation technologies (Collins et al., 2002), which take advantage of the natural potential of microorganisms for remediating contaminated waters. Prerequisites for the successful application of natural attenuation (NA) are: (i) reasonable biodegradability of the pollutants, (ii) availability of microorganisms capable to metabolize the present pollutants, and (iii) convenient geochemical conditions (e.g. electron donors/acceptors, redox potential (Eh), temperature (T), nutrients) for microbial degradation. One prominent example of a passive in situ bioremediation strategy is represented by the constructed wetland (CW) approach, a phytoremediation technique which combines the remediation potential of both microorganisms and plants (Wissing and Hofmann, 2002). Phytoremediation can be used for the treatment of shallow groundwater and surface waters as well as soils and sediments. Overall, phytoremediation is minimally invasive and entails substantially reduced costs compared with conventional P&T clean-up technologies (EPA, 1998c; van Epps, 2006). Furthermore, it is potentially applicable to a variety of pollutants, including petroleum hydrocarbons, chlorinated solvents, metals, nutrients, and PAHs (e.g. Pivetz, 2001; Collins et al., 2002; Kadlec and Wallace, 2008; Imfeld et al., 2009).

1.2 Constructed Wetlands: Principles

Constructed wetlands are composed of aquatic or marsh plants, e.g. common reed (*Phragmites australis*), cattail (*Typha spp.*) or soft rush (*Juncus spp.*), growing on gravel or sediment or in hydroponic solution, but always in waterlogged environments and hence reducing conditions. The macrophytes are adapted to the oxygen deficiency in the root zone by the development of a tubular plant tissue, the aerenchyma, which works as a gas-transport system. Oxygen, either plant-produced or atmospheric, is transported into the rhizosphere, enabling root respiration and also forming oxidative protection layers against phytotoxins (e.g. soluble heavy metals, hydrogen sulfide) (Stottmeister et al., 2003). Overall oxygen transfer rates have been found to cover a broad range of $0.02 - 12 \ g O_2 \ m^{-2} \ d^{-1}$ for common wetland plants, e.g. common reed, cattail, pondweed, or sea grass (e.g. Armstrong et al., 1990; Brix, 1990; Wu et al., 2001; Tyroller et al., 2010; Lai et al., 2012).

The bioremediation potential of wetlands basically results from the plant associated microorganisms, both rhizospheric and endophytic, which are able to metabolize hazardous substances, using them directly as carbon or energy source to support growth or as secondary substrates in co-metabolic pathways (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008). The plants influence microbial degradation by providing suitable conditions for the microorganisms and even foster microbial turnover processes in the rhizosphere by emitting root exudates (mostly short chain organic acids, sugars, amino acids, and vitamins), which stimulate the growth of the microbial population, the community diversity and also the enzymatic activity (Pivetz, 2001; Stottmeister et al., 2003). The plant-mediated release of oxygen creates microsites with steep oxygen/Eh gradients at the root surfaces. Given that plant activity changes during the course of the day (Armstrong and Armstrong, 1990), the geochemical conditions in the CW filter also vary on a diurnal scale (Wießner et al., 2005). Consequently, a broad consortium of aerobic and anaerobic microorganisms can exist in adjacent niches, enabling complex contaminant degradation pathways. This stimulation of microbial growth, activity and diversity is referred to as the rhizospheric effect (Williams, 2002).

During the transport of the contaminated water through the CW filter, the fate of the pollutants is governed by a number of competing processes: microbial degradation in the rhizosphere, sorption onto the filter matrix, surface volatilization, and plant uptake with subsequent phyto-degradation, extraction and/or -volatilization (Pivetz, 2001; Pilon-Smits, 2005) (see Figure 1.1). Microbial degradation in the rhizosphere and in planta alone leads to effective mass elimination, the other abiotic pathways - although potentially contributing to a decrease of aqueous contaminant concentration - merely promote the transfer of contaminants from the water phase into adjacent compartments (soil, plants or atmosphere). Overall, the evaluation of CW treatment performance with respect to microbial contaminant turnover, as well as the contribution of abiotic processes to actual load removal is essential for the implementation of CWs as a reliable clean-up technology. In the following each process is discussed briefly, including its determining factors and relevance in CW systems.

1.2.1 Microbial degradation

Microbial degradation of pollutants requires the availability of terminal electron acceptors (TEA; e.g. O_2 , NO_3^- , Mn(IV), Fe(III), SO_4^{2-} , CO_2) or electron donors (organic compounds, e.g. short chain organic acids, organic pollutants) - depending on the contaminant degradation mechanism (reductive or oxidative) - in addition to the presence of microorganisms capable of metabolizing the respective chemical at the ambient conditions (Farhadian et al., 2008b). From an energetic point of view, microbial oxidation is most effective when oxygen is used as TEA. However, the amount of oxygen transferred into the rhizosphere is often limited, since the oxygen diffusion from the atmosphere



Figure 1.1: Contaminant fate in a CW: microbial degradation in the rhizosphere, sorption, plant uptake, phytotransformation, phytovolatilization, and surface volatilization. Adapted from Reiche (2010).

through the water sediment interface is relatively slow (Imfeld et al., 2009), and the surplus from plant-mediated transfer deducted by root respiration mostly turns out to be relatively small (Dong et al., 2011). In oxygen depleted environments, alternative TEAs yielding less energy may be used instead, following the order nitrate, Mn(IV), Fe(III), SO_4^{2-} , CO₂. Microbial turnover in treatment wetlands has been proven effective for many chemicals and wastewaters, including (ground)water contaminated with organic chemicals such as chlorinated (e.g. Pardue, 2005; Braeckevelt et al., 2011a,b) and non-chlorinated (e.g. Ferro et al., 2002; Moore et al., 2002; Bedessem et al., 2007) VOCs, pesticides (e.g. Haberl et al., 2003) or pharmaceuticals (e.g. Matamoros and Bayona, 2006), or inorganic chemicals such as ammonium, nitrate, sulfide and phosphorus in domestic and agricultural wastewater (e.g. Vymazal, 2007; Konnerup et al., 2009) or landfill leachate (e.g. Bulc, 2006; Yalcuk and Ugurlu, 2009).

1.2.2 Sorption

For CWs in the early stage of operation, sorption may constitute a significant contaminant sink, considering the sorption capacity of the previously unexposed filter material. Once sorption-desorption has reached equilibrium and system disturbance by e.g. temperature fluctuations remain small, sorption processes only retard contaminant flow and lower effective contaminant availability (Imfeld et al., 2009), thus indirectly affecting biodegradation rates, but net-loss can be assumed to be negligible. The amount of adsorbed contaminant primarily depends on the soil organic-carbon partition coefficient K_{OC} of the contaminant, which can be roughly estimated from the octanol-water partition coefficient K_{OW} , e.g. according to the approximation by Karickhoff Karickhoff (1981) $(K_{OC} = 0.411 * K_{OW})$, as well as the organic carbon content of the filter matrix f_{OC} . High sorption tendency has been shown for lipophilic compounds, such as PAHs (e.g. Nam et al., 1998; Achten et al., 2011) or chlorinated hydrocarbons (chlorinated benzenes: e.g. Pardue et al. (1993); Lee et al. (2003, 2009b); chlorinated ethenes: e.g. Kassenga et al. (2003)). Nevertheless, many studies provided evidence that adsorbed and even aged contaminants are still bioavailable and can therefore be biodegraded, albeit at reduced rates (e.g. Lee et al., 2003, 2009b; Haritash and Kaushik, 2009). The immobilization of contaminants in the sediment or within the wetland plants following root uptake may account for a considerable mass reduction in the aqueous phase and represent a desired remediation process in particular for persistent substances, such as PAHs (e.g. Fountoulakis et al., 2009) or heavy metals (Cu, Cr, and Ni) (e.g. Liu et al., 2010), but immobilization entails the necessity for post-treatment of sediment and/or plants.

1.2.3 Volatilization

The tendency for direct volatilization at the air-water interface can be predicted from the compound specific Henry's Law coefficient H ($H = p_i / c_W$, $Pa m^3 mol^{-1}$) or the dimensionless Henry constant K_{AW} ($K_{AW} = c_A / c_W = H / RT$), both describing the contaminant distribution between water and air under equilibrium conditions for non-dissociated volatile compounds (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008). The actual distribution depends on many factors such as T, pH, salinity, or co-solutes/solvents (Staudinger and Roberts, 2001; Schwarzenbach et al., 2003). For highly water soluble compounds effective water-air transfer might as well result from phytovolatilization rather than from surface emission only. Hence, in order to estimate the volatilization potential of a specific compound, both the Henry coefficient and the water solubility in conjunction with the water volume transpired by the plants have to be taken into account. In subsurface-flow CW systems soil-borne emission may be restrained by the relatively slow diffusive gas transport through the unsaturated zone to the surrounding atmosphere (Imfeld et al., 2009). Total volatilization (including surface- and phyto-volatilization) in plant-mediated remediation systems was shown to be a relevant removal process for many VOCs, such as benzene, toluene, ethyl-benzene, o/m-xylenes (BTEX) (e.g. Burken and Schnoor, 1998), MTBE (e.g. Hong et al., 2001), gas-condensate C₅-C₁₂ hydrocarbons (e.g. Moore et al., 2002), MCB (e.g. Braeckevelt et al., 2011a), and TCE (e.g. Ma and Burken, 2003). In case atmospheric half-lives are reasonably short and hazardous effects towards environmental goods and humans can be assumed to be negligible, volatilization represents a desired removal pathway.

1.2.4 Plant uptake

The plant uptake of organic contaminants can be estimated according to the transpiration stream concept. Plants actively take up water and nutrients via the roots in order to sustain their metabolism; this concomitantly involves the transfer of dissolved contaminants into the plants (Pivetz, 2001). The transpiration stream concentration factor (TSCF) indicates the effectiveness of contaminant translocation and in principle refers to the contaminant concentration as the fraction in the xylem of the plant (the transpiration stream) and the root-external solution (Briggs et al., 1982; Shone and Wood, 1972). The TSCF can also be approximated from the K_{OW} , either as a Gaussian (Briggs et al., 1982) or sigmoidal relationship (Dettenmaier et al., 2009), of which the latter was found to give a better fit in particular for highly water soluble, low log K_{OW} compounds such as MTBE, TBA, or sulfolane (Dettenmaier et al., 2009). It is accepted that uptake is most effective for contaminants with intermediate log K_{OW} values in the range of 0.5 and 3 (Trapp and Karlson, 2001; Stottmeister et al., 2003); compounds with higher or lower log K_{OW} will be rather retained in or excluded from passing biomembranes (Pilon-Smits, 2005). Notably, the uptake of inorganic compounds represents a biological rather than a physical process and occurs by the help of membrane transporter proteins (Pilon-Smits, 2005). Plant uptake has been investigated for different plant species and pollutants, amongst others for BTEX in Canna (e.g. Boonsaner et al., 2011) and poplar (e.g. Burken and Schnoor, 1998), MTBE in poplar (e.g. Hong et al., 2001), phenanthrene and chlorobenzene in willow and bulrush (e.g. Gomez-Hermosillo et al., 2006), ammonium in bulrush (e.g. Kadlec et al., 2005), and metals such as Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, and Zn in reed and wheat (e.g. Tani and

Barrington, 2005; Du Laing et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2010). Once taken up, pollutants are subject to (i) phytoaccumulation, (ii) enzymatic or bacterial phytodegradation, and/or (iii) phytovolatilization. Phytovolatilization turned out to be relevant at high vapor pressure v_p (> 1.01 k Pa; Burken and Schnoor (1998)) and high K_{AW} ($\gg 10^{-5}$; Trapp and Karlson (2001)). In comparison to microbial degradation contaminant plant uptake is considered to be of minor importance (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008).

1.2.5 Wetland Design

From a technological point, CWs can be classified by many aspects, such as the flow regime (vertical flow (VF), horizontal flow (HF)), the mode of operation (e.g. surface or subsurface flow, up or down flow direction, continuous or discontinuous charging), and the plant growth (submerged, emerged and free floating) (Vymazal, 2007; Vymazal and Kröpfelová, 2008). Widely-used in real-scale application are VF systems, mostly with subsurface feeding, horizontal subsurface flow (HSSF) wetlands and free water surface (FWS) wetlands (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008). The crucial factors in the decision process for choosing the right CW for a wastewater are the systems-specific oxygen transfer rate and the emission potential to such a degree as the amount of oxygen should be high or low enough to allow oxidative or reductive contaminant degradation and the emission potential should be low to prevent pollution of ambient air. Aspects such as energy and areal demand come second. System characteristics and application potential are briefly discussed hereafter.

VF filters are mostly operated in a discontinuous mode. As the water percolates through the filter air refills the void pore space, resulting in increased oxygen input (30 - 90 g O₂ $m^{-2} d^{-1}$; Tyroller et al. (2010)). Therefore, VF CWs show great potential for aerobic degradation, e.g. nitrification of ammonium (Langergraber et al., 2009), but provide less suitable conditions for anaerobic processes, e.g. denitrification to N₂ (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008). In general, it has to be kept in mind that VF filters use less space, but require higher energy input for the water pumping than HF filters. HSSF CWs are oxygen limited due to the permanent saturation of the filter, and the oxygen input is rather low (0.3 - 12 g O₂ $m^{-2} d^{-1}$; e.g. Armstrong et al. (1990); Tyroller et al. (2010)). However, for treating aerobic and anaerobic degradable contaminants in the same wetland HSSF CWs may be advantageous, since they constitute both aerobic and anaerobic filter zones (Faulwetter et al., 2009). A possibility to increase the oxygen input in HF wetlands is given by modifying the flow regime from continuous feed with stable water level to a tidal flow system, since draining promotes the transport of air from the unsaturated zone into the filter (Vymazal, 2011). Overall, for HF and VF filters the potential for direct emission of volatile compounds in CWs composed with a vadoze soil zone is low, but pyhtovolatilization may constitute a considerable removal pathway. FWS wetlands contain open water areas, planted with submerged, emerged or free floating macrophytes. An important advantage of FWS systems is that they have higher time-space-yields and can be operated at variable water depth, which e.g. is relevant for handling storm waters (e.g. Tanner and Headley, 2011). Particularly submerged and emerged vegetated systems are rather aerobic since the direct water-air contact favors O_2 transfer into the wetland. Yet, treating volatile compounds may entail increased emission. Given that in floating systems the water compartment is shielded from direct air contact, these systems are supposed to exhibit reduced emission potential. Overall, in order to treat water containing a complex mixture of aerobic and anaerobic degradable contaminants, a combination of various CW systems in so-called hybrid systems might be appropriate as to exploit the system-specific advantages (Vymazal, 2011).

1.2.6 The role of the wetland filter

As the name "constructed wetland" already implies, CWs are designed treatment systems. This allows to improve treatment efficiency to a certain extend by optimizing design parameters that have an impact on contaminant removal effectiveness. The porous filter media influences the treatment potential in various aspects. The filter provides attachment surfaces for microbial biofilm formation, supports plant growth by stabilizing roots/plants and affects the water flow through the CW (Sundberg et al., 2007; Kadlec and Wallace, 2008; Lee et al., 2009a). Roughly speaking, the smaller the grain size the larger the surface area for microbial colonization, but the smaller the hydraulic conductivity k_f and the higher the chance for clogging of the filter. Balancing the parameters grain size and conductivity with regard to high removal and low hydraulic failure, a grain size of >0.06 mm (up to 10 mm) enabling $k_f > 10^{-5}$ m s⁻¹ was found to be favorable (Stottmeister et al., 2003). The roots also function as a surface for microbial growth and loosen the compaction of the porous media, thus preventing the clogging of free pore volume and keeping the filter water permeable (Vymazal and Kröpfelová, 2008).

As treatment efficiency positively correlates with the contact time between contaminants and microorganisms the evaluation of CW flow characteristics is crucial in order to optimize CW design and treatment performance. In the ideal case, water and its constituents would flow through the filter according to plug flow conditions uniformly utilizing the entire filter volume (Holland et al., 2004). However, in reality, local flow velocities and actual filter residence time are influenced by water loss due to evapotranspiration, the occurrence of different flow paths due to short circuits as well as dead zones and sorption processes. The outcome of this is a distribution of residence times (Werner and Kadlec, 2000). To what extent actual flow deviates from ideal flow and whether or not short circuits and dead zones exist can be assessed by conducting tracer experiments and evaluating the actual residence time distribution by means of the temporal moment concept (e.g. Werner and Kadlec, 1996; Yu et al., 1999; Luo et al., 2006), a statistical approach commonly used to gain information on solute transport mechanisms in soils (e.g. Cirpka and Kitanidis, 2000). For information on how to apply the temporal moment approach in tracer test analysis and what can be concluded from the temporal moments with respect to wetland specific transport parameters see chapter 4.

1.3 Industrial site "Leuna"

The industrial site "Leuna" is located in Saxony-Anhalt, Germany, and has been used as a chemical production area since 1916 for the generation of ammonia used as a precursor in nitrogen fertilization and explosives production, the production of ammonium sulfate, and the synthesis of methanol (high pressure synthesis) and synthetic petrol (high pressure hydrogenation of charcoal). As a result of World War II, more than 80%of the facilities were destroyed, which lead to massive local contamination of the saturated and unsaturated zone (Harpke et al., 2006). Between 1949 and 1990, the range of products was expanded, including synthetic rubber, educts for other plastics, e.g. caprolactam for synthesizing the polyamide fiber nylon, and surfactants. Besides, crude oil refinement and the large-scale MTBE production were established (UBA, 2003; LAF, 2009; Schirmer et al., 2012). Given the overall chemical production during that time, accidental spills and leakages from underground storage tanks, and war damage, groundwater and soil at the Leuna site are nowadays heavily contaminated by a diverse chemical mixture: petroleum hydrocarbons (PHCs; ~1-10 $mq L^{-1}$), BTEX (up to more than 10 mq L^{-1}), MTBE (up to 125 mq L^{-1}), PAHs (up to more than 100 $\mu q L^{-1}$), phenols (up to more than 100 $mg L^{-1}$), and ammonium sulfate (UBA, 2003; LAWA, 2004; Niemes and Schirmer, 2010).

An overview of the site-specific geology and hydrogeology is given by Martienssen et al.

(2006). The respective aquifer consists of coarse to fine gravel and has a thickness of 1 -4 m. The average groundwater table is located 3 - 4 m below the surface, groundwater flow is in southeastern direction, mean hydraulic conductivity was determined as $k_f =$ 4×10^{-4} s⁻¹, and the mean groundwater velocity is 0.3 - 1.0 m d⁻¹. Considering the groundwater flow direction, the contaminant plume endangers the nearby river Saale and the drinking water supply plant, which are located 1500 - 2000 m downstream of the mega-site, see Figure 1.2. Minor effects have already been noticed, given that MTBE levels in the Leuna community water system were significantly elevated (712 ng L^{-1}) compared to e.g. bank filtered water from Rhine and Main river (<100 ng L^{-1}) (Kolb and Püttmann, 2006). Nevertheless, these values are still below the organoleptic/odor threshold of 20 - 40 $\mu g L^{-1}$ (EPA, 1997). As the aquifer has a very low self-cleaning potential for the prevalent pollutants, and in order to prevent further contamination of yet unaffected areas, a impermeable wall (400 m long and 15 m deep) was installed perpendicular to the groundwater flow direction. Furthermore, two clean-up techniques were implemented: (i) an on-site P&T-facility comprising a stripping plant combined with an air purification unit containing activated carbon, (ii) a so-called oxygen-wall,



Figure 1.2: Location of the industrial site "Leuna" and the experimental field site CoTra. Adapted from Martienssen et al. (2006). Source of the picture: regional office for contaminated sites "Landesanstalt für Altlastenfreistellung ÖGP Leuna"(LAF, 2012). used to stimulate the natural remediation potential of the aquifer by oxygen sparging (Niemes and Schirmer, 2010).

Within the framework of the *Compartment Transfer* (CoTra) project, initiated by the Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ, Leipzig, an experimental site was built nearby the Leuna works in 2006/2007 to investigate the potential of alternative near-natural treatment systems for remediating the local groundwater. Based on the principle idea to use flood plains for protecting surface water bodies (e.g. the river Saale) downstream of the plume, the concept of the CoTra project relies on the transfer of the contaminated anaerobic groundwater into more aerobic environments in order to promote microbial contaminant degradation. The treatment plant comprises the following remediation systems at the pilot-scale: HSSF CWs, VF CWs and aerobic ponds (Ruegner et al., 2007).

1.4 Main groundwater pollutants at the CoTra plant

Benzene, MTBE and ammonium are the main pollutants in the groundwater used for feeding the CoTra treatment plant. During the first year of plant operation (06/2007-06/2008), the concentrations of benzene, MTBE and ammonium-nitrogen were 19 ± 3.7 , 3.8 ± 0.8 , and $45 \pm 4.1 \ mg L^{-1}$, respectively. Contaminant characteristics, production volumes, sources and emission pathways, and potential degradation pathways are briefly discussed hereafter. The most important physical and chemical characteristics of the organic model compounds are summarized in Table 1.1.

1.4.1 Benzene

Benzene ranks in the top 20 of the most abundantly produced petrochemicals in the USA (ATSDR, 2007). Today, its major use is as a feedstock in the production of aromatic intermediates - with ethylbenzene (52%), cumene (20%) and cyclohexane (13%) as the most prominent ones - which are further processed for manufacturing plastics, resins, drugs, dyes, and plant protection agents; the raw compound serves as a solvent, e.g. for ink or glue (RPA, 2000; UBA, 2006; EPA, 2007). Being a natural component of crude oil, benzene is also present in gasoline (EU, 2008b). In 2010 for example the production volume in Germany amounted to 2.1 million $t a^{-1}$ (VCI, 2011). More than half of the German benzene demand is derived by extractive distillation of naphtha (a product of crude oil distillation which is rich in aromatic compounds, with a benzene content of 31-40 v-%); less important methods to obtain benzene are

isolation from coke oven gas by means of special washing processes, thermal or catalytic toluene dealkylation and toluene disproportionation (Index, 2001).

Classified as a human carcinogen by the IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer), benzene can cause severe health damage even at short term contact (IARC, 1999a; WHO, 2011). According to a prioritization based on a combination of compound frequency, toxicity, and potential for human exposure, benzene ranks 6^{th} on the priority list of hazardous substances (ATSDR, 2011). In order to minimize human health risk, the EU drinking water directive (1998/83/EC) constitutes a threshold of 1 $\mu g L^{-1}$, and benzene emission to ambient air is restricted to 5 $\mu g m^{-3}$ (2008/50/EC). Besides, the maximum content in European gasoline was lowered from 5% to 1% (v/v) since 2000 (Directive 1998/70/EC) (U.S. gasoline standards are even lower since 2011: 0.62% (v/v) (EPA, 2010)), and the overall use as a solvent has decreased due to the implementation of the solvent emission directive 1999/13/EC in 2001 (UBA, 2002).

The release of benzene into the environment occurs from point (e.g. oil refineries, industrial production and processing) and nonpoint sources (e.g. exhaust of motor vehicles, fuel evaporation at automobile service stations, cigarette smoke) (EU, 2008b). Inhalation is the dominant pathway for human exposure, accounting for >99% of the daily intake (ATSDR, 2007). According to Wallace (1996) smokers have an average benzene body burden about 6-10 times that of non smokers, and receive $\sim 90\%$ of their benzene exposure ($\sim 2 \text{ mg per day}$) from smoking. Outdoor air concentrations average out at $<2 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ (<1 ppb) (EU, 2008b; EPA, 2008). In case contamination results from fuel hydrocarbons, e.g. by leaking underground storage tanks, benzene occurs concomitantly with other aromatic hydrocarbons such as toluene, ethylbenzene and m-, o- and p-xylene. In groundwater benzene is considered as fairly mobile, given that the K_{OC} value (predicted from the K_{OW}) is not large enough ($K_{OC} \sim 80$) to cause significant retardation (Zogorski et al., 1997) and water solubility is relatively high (see Tab. 1.1). Of course, the mobility of benzene in the subsurface also depends on the organic carbon content (f_{OC}) of the respective aquifer. Due to the high volatility, indicated by the Henry constant and the vapor pressure, benzene tends to evaporate from surface soil or water. In the atmosphere benzene is chemically degraded by photooxidation with hydroxyl or nitrogen oxide radicals and ozone, of which the reaction with hydroxyl radicals is fastest and thus most important, resulting in a half-life of several days (5-13) (Zogorski et al., 1997; WHO, 2003a; EPA, 2004; EU, 2008b).

Common in situ benzene treatment technologies involve soil vapor extraction (e.g. Soares et al., 2010) and air sparging (e.g. Reddy et al., 1995; Bass et al., 2000), aiming to enhance volatilization and concomitantly promoting biodegradation; ex

		MTBE	Benzol
v_P	[kPa]	$12.7^{1,5}; 19.13 - 19.13^6$	$33.5^1; 32.66-33.55^7$
Н	$[P \ m^3 \ mol^{-1}]$	$557^{1,2}$	$43.8^8;60^1$
K_{AW}	[-]	0.22^{5}	0.024 - $0.12^{7,3}$
L	$[g \ L^{-1}]$	$1.78^{1,4}; 1.8^2$	51.26^1 ; 43-54.3 ³ ; 42 ⁸
$Log K_{OW}$	[-]	$2.13^{1,2,3}$	$1.06^8; 1.2^{1,3,4}$
$Log K_{OC}$	[-]	1.92^{1}	$1.11^1; 1.04 - 1.09^3$
$t_{1/2}$ atmosphere	[d]	$5 - 13^{2,12,14}$	$3-6^8$
LD_{50} oral intake ^{***}	$[g\;kg^{-1}]$	$1 - 10^{12}$	3.9^{13}
Drinking water limit	$[\mu g \ L^{-1}]$	$1^{**,15}; 10^{10}$	$20-40^{11}$
Air emission limit	$[\mu g \ m^{-3}]$	5^{9}	n.v.a.*

Table 1.1: Physical, chemical and biological characteristics and limit values for the organic model compounds benzene and MTBE (at 20-25°C).

¹Arnold et al. (2007); ²UBA (2006); ³Zogorski et al. (1997); ⁴Schirmer (1999); ⁵Howard (1990); ⁶Mackay et al. (1992); ⁷Mackay et al. (1993); ⁸EU (2002); ⁹2008/50/EC; ¹⁰WHO (2011); ¹¹EPA (1997); ¹²WHO (2003a); ¹³WHO (2005); ¹⁴EU (2008b); ¹⁵DVGW (2001); *no value available; **limit for German drinking water set by the DVGW (2001); ***for mice and rats.

situ remediation is for example achieved by air stripping and adsorption on activated carbon (e.g. Wirthensohn et al., 2009). Prominent examples for biological treatment are anaerobic/aerobic bioreactors coupled to air-stripping facilities (e.g. Gusmão et al., 2006; Zein et al., 2006; Farhadian et al., 2008a).

Under aerobic conditions benzene is known to be readily biodegraded by ubiquitous microorganisms (van Agteren et al., 1998; Hunkeler et al., 2001; Liou et al., 2008), the most prominent ones are the *Pseudomonas* species (Coates et al., 2002; Hendrickx et al., 2006; Jean et al., 2008). Although the anaerobic degradation of benzene has been considered to be negligible for a long time (Atlas, 1981), nowadays, benzene oxidation under various terminal-electron-accepting processes has been proven (e.g. Lovley, 2000; Chakraborty and Coates, 2004; Kleinsteuber et al., 2012). In the lab, degradation was shown under nitrate-reducing (e.g. Burland and Edwards, 1999; Coates et al., 2001; Chakraborty et al., 2005), sulfate-reducing (e.g. Phelps et al., 1996; Vogt et al., 2007; Herrmann et al., 2010), iron-reducing (e.g. Lovley et al., 1994; Jahn et al., 2005; Botton and Parsons, 2006), and even under methanogenic (e.g. Kazumi et al., 1997; Weiner and Lovley, 1998; Masumoto et al., 2012) conditions. *Dechloromonas, Azoarcus, Deltaproteobacteria* and *Clostridia* have recently been identified as organisms involved in anaerobic benzene metabolism (e.g. Chakraborty and Coates, 2004; Cupples, 2011; Meckenstock and Mouttaki, 2011). At field sites, anaerobic benzene degradation was

demonstrated many times (e.g. Lovley et al., 1994; Fischer et al., 2007; Vogt et al., 2007). Besides, the stimulation of in situ biodegradation by injection of nitrate and sulfate was also shown (e.g. Cunningham et al., 2001).

1.4.2 Methyl *tert*-butyl ether

Methyl *tert*-butyl ether (MTBE) has been used as a gasoline additive since the 1970s in order to boost the octane rating and also to improve the combustion process, resulting in lower exhaust emissions (CRS, 2006). It came into widespread use in the 1980s when the toxic octane enhancer tetraethyl lead was phased out (Johnson et al., 2000); the final ban of leaded gasoline became effective in the years 2000 and 1996 in the EU (EU Directive 1998/70/EC) and USA (EPA, 2010), respectively. European gasoline contains ~2% (v/v) MTBE (Effenberger et al., 2001); in the US the addition of MTBE to gasoline was restricted to 0.5% (v/v) (EPA, 2010) from formerly 11-15% (v/v) (EPA, 1998b). In 1997, at the peak consumption of MTBE as a fuel additive, the European production amounted to 3 million $t a^{-1}$ whereof about 98% was blended with gasoline and only minor amounts were used in the production of isobutylene (1.2%), as a solvent in the pharmaceutical industry (0.3%), or in chemical reactions such as Grignard reactions (EU, 2002; IARC, 1999b). MTBE is manufactured by the chemical reaction of methanol with isobutene over an acidic ion-exchange catalyst (EU, 2002), steamcracking or butane dehydrogenation (Fayolle et al., 2001).

The main source of human exposure to MTBE is likely to occur by inhalation of contaminated air at gas stations and production sites or from automobile exhaust gases. Besides, leaking underground storage tanks and pipelines for petrol storage and distribution, as well as spills during all phases of the petroleum fuel cycle (e.g. production, petrol blending, storage, transport, delivery), resulted in intense contamination of (ground) water and (sub)-surface soils (WHO, 2005; IARC, 1999b), which pose a potential risk for human health as well. According to the IARC MTBE is not classifiable as a carcinogen to humans, though the WHO (2005) and the EPA (1997, 1998b) noted that only very few data exist on the effect of MTBE to humans by inhalation, and no data regarding the oral uptake. Given the very low organoleptic perception level, the US EPA drinking water advisory (EPA, 1997) recommends keeping MTBE levels in the range of 20-40 $\mu g L^{-1}$ or lower for consumer acceptability (CRS, 2006). At these levels MTBE is considered to cause no adverse health effects to humans (EU, 2002).

MTBE is categorized as a low risk chemical, although it is known to be the most mobile and recalcitrant of the gasoline components (Schmidt et al., 2004; WHO, 2011).

Relative to benzene, MTBE exhibits increased water solubility (42 $g L^{-1}$ at 20°C; EU (2002)) and decreased tendency for sorption onto soil (log $K_{OW} = 1.2$; Schirmer (1999)). Therefore, MTBE contamination might spread out over large areas. As indicated by the Henry constant, being one order of magnitude lower than the one of benzene, MTBE is less volatile from water. This also explains why air stripping of MTBE contaminated water is only effective for high air-to-water ratios (200:1), and thus relatively costly. By comparison, an effective air-to-water ratio for benzene is typically about 50:1 (EPA, 1998b). Lifetimes in the atmosphere are in the range of 3-6 days (EU, 2002), and thus similar to those of benzene.

Typically applied physical remediation technologies for MTBE contaminated soil are vapor extraction (in situ) and low-temperature thermal desorption (ex situ) (EPA, 1998a). For contaminated groundwater air sparging (in situ) is used, and given that MTBE does not significantly adsorb to soils and is highly water soluble, also the extraction of groundwater followed by above ground treatment has been shown feasible (e.g. Fayolle et al., 2001; Deeb et al., 2003). Biological treatment includes the use of bioreactors, for example inoculated with material from contaminated aquifers (e.g. Zein et al., 2004, 2006), supplied with oxygen (e.g. Salanitro et al., 2000) or oxygen-release compounds such as MgO₂ (e.g. Koenigsberg and Sandefur, 1999), or selected microorganisms (e.g. Salanitro et al., 2000) in order to promote biodegradation.

Alkyl ethers such as MTBE are not readily biodegradable (Zogorski et al., 1997; Fayolle et al., 2003). Nevertheless, in recent years biodegradation of MTBE has been shown under oxic (e.g. Schirmer et al., 2003; Volpe et al., 2009; Thornton et al., 2011) and occasionally under anoxic (e.g. Mormile et al., 1994; Bradley et al., 2001; Somsamak et al., 2006) conditions, and also to occur by co-metabolism (e.g. Steffan et al., 1997; Garnier et al., 1999; Liu et al., 2001). For a conclusive overview of biodegradation under various redox conditions and present knowledge of the biodegradability and the degradation pathway see Deeb et al. (2000), Fayolle et al. (2001), and Schmidt et al. (2004). Generally, MTBE metabolism is slow, especially when MTBE is the sole carbon and energy source (Zanardini et al., 2002). Several aerobic degraders that use MTBE as sole C- and energy source have been identified including *Methylobacterium, Rhodococus, Arthrobacter* and *Rubrivivax gelatinosus* PM-1 (e.g. Fayolle et al., 2001; Lopes Ferreira et al., 2006). In the field, biostimulation and bioaugmentation by oxygenation and microbial inoculation, respectively, improved MTBE degradation (e.g. Zanardini et al., 2002).

1.4.3 Ammonium

The groundwater at the Leuna site is characterized by high ammonium levels accompanied by increased sulfate concentrations. Therefore, the observed ammonium contamination seems to be related to (i) the large scale synthetic production of ammonium sulfate, (ii) the processing of ammonia during nitrogen-fertilizer production, (iii) the coking process and the caprolactam manufacture, during which ammonium sulfate is produced as a by-product, and (iv) the leachate from the near-by dumpsite (UBA, 2003). Ammonium sulfate is known for its use as one of the early nitrogen fertilizers (UNEP, 1998). To a minor extent (~10%) it is used in the chemical industry, in the manufacturing of food additives, and as an ingredient of cleaning products and paints (OECD, 2004). In addition to spillage, anthropogenic imission originates from agricultural activities, e.g. from runoff water containing synthetic fertilizer or animal manure. Naturally, ammonium is produced by the constant microbial mineralization of nitrogen-containing organic matter (ammonification).

Ammonium in the aqueous medium affects the environment in several ways, either directly in the form of ammonium or following microbial oxidation by nitrate and nitrite. In soil systems, ammonium tends to adsorb as an exchangeable ion to positively charged clay surfaces and humic substances (Vymazal, 2007; Lee et al., 2009a), can be taken up and assimilated by plants (OECD, 2004), and furthermore causes acidification of soil and water by nitrification (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008; IFA, 2000). Large amounts of ammonium/nitrate entering pristine aqueous ecosystems (rivers, lakes, oceans), e.g. by agricultural runoff, promote eutrophication (Frink et al., 1999; Ahlgren et al., 2008). Nitrite is known to disrupt multiple physiological functions in aquatic animals and is potentially toxic to infants as it can cause methemoglobinemia (Jensen, 2003). Levels of ammonium in ground/surface waters are usually below 0.2 $mq L^{-1}$ (WHO, 2011). Although ammonium does not pose any direct health risk to humans (OECD, 2004), a low limit of 0.5 $mq L^{-1}$ is constituted for drinking water in the EU (EU directive 1998/83/EC). This might be related to the fact that ammonium serves as an indicator for contaminated water (NH_4^+) is formed during microbial decomposition of urea and proteins). Nitrate and nitrite drinking water limits in Europe are 50 $mq L^{-1}$ and 0.5 $mg L^{-1}$ (EU directive 1998/83/EC).

Various physical-chemical methods can be applied to treat high-strength ammonium wastewaters, including chemical precipitation (e.g. Zhang et al., 2009), air stripping at high pH (e.g. Marttinen et al., 2002; Renou et al., 2008) or ion-exchange using zeolites (e.g. Chang et al., 2009; Widiastuti et al., 2011). For moderate/low-strength ammonium

waters, also the remediation in aerobic-anaerobic bioreactors, such as activated sludge systems (e.g. Carrera et al., 2003), is efficient, proceeding via nitrification-denitrification (e.g. Chan et al., 2009) or partial nitrification-anaerobic ammonium oxidation (e.g. Fux et al., 2002).

Microbial ammonium degradation in CWs occurs via nitrification to nitrate under aerobic conditions, with hydroxylamine and nitrite as intermediates, and, subsequently, via denitrification of nitrate to nitrogen gas (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008). Alternatively, under anaerobic conditions ammonium can be autotrophically converted to N₂ with nitrite as an electron acceptor via the so-called anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) (Lee et al., 2009a). The transformation pathways of the different N species in ecosystems under various environmental conditions are described in the reviews of Paredes et al. (2007) and Lee et al. (2009a).

1.5 Current state of research and gaps in wetland phytoremediation of benzene, MTBE and ammonium

Wetland phytoremediation represents a viable treatment technology for lightly to moderately contaminated (ground) water and has attracted increasing interest during the last few years. In most cases, studies investigate CW treatment performance expressed as contaminant removal from the aqueous phase depending on system design and operational mode. Many of them refer to removal efficiency as the mere pollutant concentration decline, and only few report on **areal mass load removal**. The concentration-decline approach is valuable to prove whether effluent water complies with contaminant concentration thresholds and to determine the extent to which design and operational mode affect treatment performance and process indication parameters (e.g. Eh, TEA). However, the assessment of actual mass removal and specifying removal in mass/time/area units is essential to ascertain actual treatment efficiency and to keep results comparable.

For benzene and MTBE, several concentration-decline studies have been published (e.g. Wallace, 2001; Moore et al., 2002; Tang et al., 2009; Rakoczy et al., 2011), while investigations on loads are currently rather rare (e.g. Zhang et al., 2000; Bedessem et al., 2007). Overall, treatment efficiency was found to be (i) site-specific and - for the concentration range investigated - not related to inflow concentrations in particular, and (ii) more efficient for benzene (75-99% concentration decline, e.g. Moore et al. (2002); Tang et al. (2009); Rakoczy et al. (2011)) in comparison to MTBE (<40% concentration
Regarding ammonium treatment in CWs, many studies address areal load removal, possibly given that for sewage plants in CWs, many studies address areal load removal. Regarding ammonium treatment in CWs, many studies areal load areal load removal. Possibly given that for sewage plants in the common practice. Mostly, and alog possibly given that for sewage plants is the common practice. Mostly, and alog plants this is the common practice. Mostly, data includes total nitroice, that is the treatment in treatment in treatment in treatment practice. Mostly, data includes the treatment into the protein plants in the treatment into the common practice. Mostly, data includes and found and monium and TN load removal into the treatment into the treatment

Recent studies on contaminant fate acknowledge the importance of analyzing the role and relevance of different removal path acknowledge the importance of analyzing that no contaminant fate acknowledge the importance of analyzing the role and relevance of analyzing that acknowledge the importance of analyzing the removal acknowledge the importance of analyzing the removal and relevance of different removal path acknowledge the importance of analyzing the removal and relevance of different removal path and the removal path and removal and re

2004), plant uptake (e.g. Burken and Schnoor, 1998; Arnold et al., 2007; Mothes et al., 2004), and rhizo- or endopyhtic degradation or planton or planton or planton or endopyhtic degradation or planton or planton or endopyhtic degradation (e.g. Trapp et al., 2003; Porteous Moore et al., 2004), and rhizo- or endopyhtic degradation (e.g. Trapp et al., 2003; Porteous or endopyhtic degradation (e.g. 2004), and rhizo- or endopyhtic degradation (e.g. 2004), and rhizo- or endopyhtic degradation (e.g. 2004), and close et al., 2004; and 2

However, conclusive insights into the fate of the fate of all kinds of contaminants in wetlands are needed to determine and classify into the fate of all kinds of contaminants in wetlands in the set of the se

1.6 Aims and outline of the thesis

The overall aim of this thesis was to evaluate the potential of five differently designed pilot-scale constructed wetlands to evaluate the potential of five differently designed pilot-scale constructed wetlands to treat groundwater contaminated with benzene, MTBE and ammonium in a field approach to treat groundwater contaminated with benzene, MTBE and ammonium in a field approach under northern terms and terms and terms the treat groundwater contaminated with benzene, MTBE and ammonium in a field approach to treat groundwater contaminated with benzene, MTBE and ammonium in a field approach under the terms and terms an

and implementation of treatment wetlands at a large-scale and to evidence whether and implementation of treatment wetlands at a large-scale and to evidence whether there are an an an are treatment wetlands at a large-scale and to evidence whether there are treatment and treatment wetlands at a large-scale and treatment of treatment treatment and treatment and treatment wetlands at a large-scale and treatment of treatment treatment and treatment wetlands at a large-scale and treatment of treatment of treatment and treatment wetlands at a large-scale and treatment of treatment wetlands at a large-scale and treatment of treatment of treatment and treatment wetlands at a large-scale and treatment of treatment wetlands at a large-scale and treatment wetlands at a large-scale and treatment wetlands at a large-scale and treatment wetlands at a large-scale at a

The specific objectives of the thesis are to:

- (i) investigate whether and to which extend the wetland design (gravel filter CW, hydroponic plant root mat) and the filter additives (charcoal, ferric oxide) affect treatment performance,
- (ii) determine the effect of environmental parameters (T, season) on contaminant removal,
- (iii) assess a real load removal efficiencies by taking into account water loss due to evapotranspiration,
- (iv) elucidate the fate of benzene and MTBE by quantifying potential removal pathways, in particular surface- and phytovolatilization, plant uptake and microbial degradation,
- (v) determine the wetland specific flow characteristics and relate these to achieved load removal efficiencies,
- (vi) evaluate the local flow behavior of the gravel wetlands to calculate contaminant loads within the filter.

This doctoral thesis is written in publication format and is based on the following three scientific articles. In addition, the thesis comprises an introductory and summary chapters in addition, the thesis comprises an introductory and summary mary chapters. In addition, the thesis comprises an introductory and summary mary chapters in a dition, the thesis comprises an introductory and summary mary chapters in addition, the thesis comprises an introductory and summary mary chapters in addition, the thesis comprises an introductory and summary mary chapters in the thesis comprises an introductory and summary mary chapters. In addition, the thesis comprises an introductory and summary mary chapters into the thesis comprises an introductory and summary mary chapters. In addition, the thesis comprises an introductory and summary mary chapters into the thesis comprises an introductory and summary mary chapters into the thesis comprises an introductory and summary mary chapters. In addition, the thesis comprises an introductory and summary mary chapters into the thesis comprises an introductory and summary chapters into the thesis comprises an introductory and summary chapters. In addition, the thesis comprises an introductory and summary chapters into the thesis comprises an introductory and the thesis comprises and the thesis comprises an introductory and the thesis comprises and the the thesis comprises and the thesis comprises and the the thesis comprises and the the the thesis comprises

Paper I (Chapter 2) is a comparative study, reporting on the effectiveness of the gravel CMs and the hydroponic plant root mat to improve the groundwater quality regarding the concentrations of benzene, MTBE and ammonium, and evaluating how the wetland design and the filter additives affect treatment monound, and evaluating how the wetland design and the filter additives affect treatment performance. Positive effects were suggested by (i) increases or (ii) providing additional electron acceptors for microbial contaminant turnover. Besides, for characterizing the CWs and for identifying

processes involved in contaminant removal and to furthermore investigate the potential for microbial degradation of benzene and more and to furthermore investigate the potential for microbial degradation of benzene and more and to further the microbial degradation of benzene and more and to further the microbial degradation of benzene and to further the microbial degradation degradation of benzene and to further the microbial degradation degradation degradation and to further the microbial degradation degradation degradation and the microbial degradation degradat

Paper II (Chapter 3) evaluates contaminant fate and potential removal pathways in wetlands by setting up an integral contaminant fate and potential removal pathways in wetlands by setting up an integral contaminant fate and potential removal integral integral mass balancing approach. The setting up an integral mass balancing approach. The setting up and integral mass balancing up and integral mass balancing approach. The setting up and integral mass balancing up and integral mass balance of a conventional gravel between and pathways of interest. Overall, this study presents the first complete mass balance for VOCs in pilot-scale HSSF CWs.

Paper III (Chapter 4) completes the performance evaluation of the CWs by providing a detailed chapter 4) completes the performance evaluation of the chapter 4) completes and the chapter 4) completes the performance evaluation of the chapter 4) complete the chapter 4

Supplementary material of the article published in Environmental Science & Technology and of the article accepted for publication in Water Research can be found in the Appendix A.1 and A.2, respectively.

Environmental Pollution 159 (2011) 3769-3776

ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect Environmental Pollution

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/envpol



Bioremediation of benzene-, MTBE- and ammonia-contaminated groundwater with pilot-scale constructed wetlands

Eva M. Seeger^{a,*}, Peter Kuschk^a, Helga Fazekas^a, Peter Grathwohl^b, Matthias Kaestner^a

^a Department of Environmental Biotechnology, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ, Permoserstr. 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany ^b Center of Applied Geoscience, University of Tübingen, Hölderlinstr. 12, 72074 Tübingen, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 25 November 2010 Received in revised form 29 June 2011 Accepted 6 July 2011

Keywords: BTEX Fuel hydrocarbon Plant root mat Phytoremediation

ABSTRACT

In this pilot-scale constructed wetland (CW) study for treating groundwater contaminated with benzene, MTBE, and ammonia-N, the performance of two types of CWs (a wetland with gravel matrix and a plant root mat) was investigated. Hypothesized stimulative effects of filter material additives (charcoal, iron(III)) on pollutant removal were also tested. Increased contaminant loss was found during summer; the best treatment performance was achieved by the plant root mat. Concentration decrease in the planted gravel filter/plant root mat, respectively, amounted to 81/99% for benzene, 17/82% for MTBE, and 54/41% for ammonia-N at calculated inflow loads of 525/603 mg/m²/d, 97/112 mg/m²/d, and 1167/1342 mg/m²/d for benzene, MTBE, and ammonia-N. Filter additives did not improve contaminant depletion, although sorption processes were observed and elevated iron(II) formation indicated iron reduction. Bacterial and stable isotope analysis provided evidence for microbial benzene degradation in the CW, emphasizing the promising potential of this treatment technique.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Multi-component contamination of groundwater, caused by leakage of storage tanks, improper handling during processing or transport, and disposal at industrial sites (e.g. refineries), causes a major hazard for the environment. In aquifers, anaerobic conditions often prevail; pollutants recalcitrant under these conditions migrate with the groundwater flow and may affect sensitive environments, e.g. surface water or groundwater bodies used as drinking-water resources. Fuel hydrocarbons, BTEX compounds (benzene, toluene, xylenes and ethylbenzene), and fuel oxygenates such as methyl-tertbutyl-ether (MTBE) are among the most commonly found groundwater pollutants (Schmidt et al., 2004); they are all to be found at the investigated site in the Leuna region (Saxony-Anhalt, Germany), accompanied by high levels of ammonia.

For sites contaminated with a broad spectrum of compounds at low concentrations, conventional groundwater remediation techniques such as "pump and treat" methods are often applied. However, these techniques are invasive, cost intensive, and economically inefficient, hence new strategies are needed (Salt et al., 1998; Dietz and Schnoor, 2001). Phytoremediation, with natural or constructed wetlands, is thus a promising near-natural in situ water treatment method, since plants greatly enhance microbial growth

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: eva.seeger@ufz.de (E.M. Seeger). and thereby contaminant degradation by supplying oxygen and root exudates in the rhizosphere (Williams, 2002; Stottmeister et al., 2003; Kadlec and Wallace, 2008). Two principal technical design schemes for constructed wetlands (CWs) can be distinguished: the conventional CW, consisting of a gravel or soil filter matrix on which the wetland plants grow, and the more sophisticated floating/ non-floating plant root mat systems, supported by a raft or the densely woven root bed only.

To date, there have been very few pilot- and large-scale field applications involving phytoremediation of chemically contaminated waters with CWs (Ferro et al., 2002; Moore et al., 2002; Singh and Jain, 2003; Wallace and Kadlec, 2005; Bedessem et al., 2007), although application of CWs to clean household waters is very common (Haberl et al., 2003; Vymazal and Kröpfelová, 2008; Vymazal, 2009). In most cases, the CW approach is applied as a secondary treatment step, the so-called polishing technique (Dietz and Schnoor, 2001; Van Epps, 2006). Some studies do report on large-scale applications to treat groundwater containing BTEX and MTBE (Wallace, 2001; Moore et al., 2002; Keefe et al., 2004; Wallace and Kadlec, 2005), but the concentrations treated are rather low. Some strategies to promote contaminant turnover have been tested, but these were limited to bioaugmentation (Mehmannavaz et al., 2002; Nurk et al., 2009), the use of engineered bacteria (Barac et al., 2004), and the aeration of wetlands (Wallace and Kadlec, 2005). In general, the availability of electron acceptors is a crucial aspect in microbial contaminant oxidation (Farhadian et al., 2008).

^{0269-7491/\$ —} see front matter \otimes 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2011.07.019

E.M. Seeger et al. / Environmental Pollution 159 (2011) 3769-3776

and effectiveness of different types of horizontal-flow (HF) CWs for remediating groundwater heavily contaminated with benzene, MTBE and ammonia in a field approach. Two types of wetlands, gravel-filter-based systems and a plant root mat system, were examined over a period of 24 months. Furthermore, we aimed to increase removal efficiency using ferric oxide and charcoal as filter material additives in order to promote microbial degradation by either providing additional electron acceptors for contaminant turnover or extending the contact time between pollutant and microorganisms due to contaminant sorption processes. The study focuses on i) the effectiveness of HF CWs with and without soil filter material for the remediation of contaminated groundwater at the pilot-scale, ii) the environmental factors affecting contaminant removal, and iii) the effects of the soil filter material additives (charcoal and ferric oxide) on pollutant removal. Additional efforts were made to assess the microbial contaminant degradation potential of the CWs.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Site and project description

In 2007, the pilot-scale treatment plant "Compartment Transfer" (CoTra) was built next to the former refinery and industrial site near the town of Leuna, Saxony-Anhalt, Germany, in order to investigate the potential of near-natural remediation methods for diverse contaminated waters. Horizontal-flow constructed wetlands (HF CWs) represent one of the realized strategies. At the site, large-scale processing of ammonia, used as a precursor for nitrogen fertilizers and explosives since the beginning of the 20th century, and petroleum refinement, starting in the 1970's, led to massive contamination of groundwater and soil (UBA, 2003).

2.2. System design

CWs (A, B, C, D and E) were designed to function in horizontal subsurface flow mode; they each consisted of a steel basin (5 m × 1.1 m × 0.6 m). Four wetlands were filled with fine gravel (grain size 2–3.2 mm) up to 0.5 m height. One of these was kept unplanted as a reference (referred to as system D); the others were planted with common reed (*Phragmites australis*) but differed in terms of the filter additive contained (system A: no additive; system B: charcoal (0.1%-wt); system C: ferric oxides (goethite and lepidocrocite: 0.5%-wt)). The water level was set to 40 cm, resulting in a vadose soil zone of 10 cm. System E was designed as a plant root mat without any soil filter material and was operated at a water depth of 15 cm. Inflow water (Table 1) was supplied from a nearby groundwater well. The outflow was controlled by a pump connected to a switch responding to the set water level, and was quantified by flow meters. The filter beds containing gravel were continuously operated under identical conditions since July 2007; the operation of the plant root mat started in April 2008. Table 2 summarizes the wetland characteristics.

Table 1

Groundwater inflow characteristics based on samples collected through 2 years of field plant operation (July 2007–July 2009), including values for total organic carbon (TOC), 5-day biological oxygen demand (BOD₅), total organic carbon (TOC), and inorganic carbon (IC).

Parameter	c _{average} (range) [mg/L]	Stdev (σ)	Number of samples (n)
Benzene	20 (13–32)	3.3	72
MTBE	3.7 (1.2-5.9)	0.7	73
NH4-N	45 (37-63)	3.4	73
NO_3^-	0.1 (0-6.6)	0.78	73
Fe(total)	6.0 (0.6-9.1)	1.3	74
Fe ²⁺	5.6 (0.2-9.7)	2.2	73
SO ₄ ²⁻	8.0 (1.5-27.6)	6.1	74
PO4 ²⁻	1.4 (0.1–2.7)	0.7	72
Dissolved O2 ^a	0.005 (-)	_	-
Eh ^b	-460(-)	_	-
COD	114 (79–130)	13	71
BOD ₅	58 (34–93)	14	68
TOC	39 (25-50)	5	65
IC	281 (261-314)	15	27

^a Oxygen sensor (TriOxmatic[®] 700 IQ, WTW), measured every 15 min.

^b pH/redox sensor (pH/redox SensoLyt[®] 700 IQ, WTW), measured every 15 min.

Constructed	wetland	characteristics.
-------------	---------	------------------

System	А	В	С	D	Е
Gravel (2-3.2 mm) ^a	×	×	×	×	_
Additive: activated carbon	-	0.1 %-wt	_	-	-
Additive: ferric oxide	-	_	0.5 %-wt	-	-
Phragmites australis	×	×	×	-	×
Inflow rate (Q) [L/h]	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.9
Water level [cm]	40	40	40	40	15

^a Height of the gravel filter: 50 cm.

2.3. Sampling procedure and pore water analysis

τ| to two weeks. Sample preparation and conservation as well as analyses of organic compounds, cations (NH₄⁺, Fe²⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Na⁺, Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺) and anions (Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, NO_2^- , NO_3^- , PO_4^{3-}) are described briefly hereafter. For determination of the organic compounds, a headspace GC/MS facility (Agilent: auto-sampler with oven 7694, GC 6890, MS 5973) with an HP-1 column (60 m \times 0.25 mm \times 1 $\mu m)$ was used and the following temperature program was applied: 35 °C (6 min), 4 °C/min to 120 °C, 20 °C/min to 280 °C (5 min). Prior to analysis, the samples were equilibrated at 70 °C for 60 min. Ammonia and o-phosphate concentrations were measured photometrically (EPOS Analyzer 5060, Eppendorf AG) according to DIN 38406-E5 and DIN 38405-D11-1. For conservation purposes, samples for cation (Fe²⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Na⁺, Mg²⁺ Mn^{2+}) measurement were acidified (HNO₃ to pH = 1-2) directly after the sampling procedure. The elemental analyses were performed using inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) (type: Spectro Ciros, Spectro Analytical Instruments GmbH). Anion concentrations (Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, NO₂, NO₃) were analyzed by means of ion chromatography, using a DX500 (Dionex GmbH) and following the EN ISO 10304-2 and DIN 38405-19 protocols.

the filter was pumped (peristaltic pump, type: REGLO digital, Ismatec) from nine points distributed along the flow path (0.9 m, 2.5 m, 4.1 m) and the depth of the filter (20 cm, 30 cm, 40 cm). Sample preparation and analysis of organic compounds were performed according to Reiche et al. (2010). Briefly, microbial degradation processes were inhibited by adding NaN₃ (final concentration 0.65 g/L), and bromobenzene-d5 (final concentration 250 µg/L) was used as an internal standard. The samples were analyzed using a headspace GC/MS facility (Agilent: G1888; 7890 GC, 5975MS) equipped with an HP-1MS column (30 m \times 0.25 mm \times 1 µm), applying the following temperature program: 35 °C (3 min), 5 °C/min to 100 °C, 15 °C/min to 200 °C (5 min). Prior to analysis, samples were equilibrated at 80 °C for 30 min. Concentration analyses of cations (NH₄⁺, Fe²⁺) and anions (NO₂⁻, NO₃⁻) were carried out as described above. The determination of dissolved oxygen and redox potential was performed on-site in a flow-through mode using an optical trace sensor system (polymer optical fibre, sensor spot FTC-PSt6 and instrument FIBOX-3-trace, PreSens) and redox electrodes (Pt/Ag⁺/AgCl/Cl⁻ type Sentix ORP, WTW).

2.4. Most probable numbers (MPN)

ד Geldreich, 1985) for growth of total aerobic bacteria, with Brunner medium (Vogt et al., 2002) for benzene degraders, and with STNL medium (modified from Rohwerder et al., 2006) containing vitamins and 0.2 mg/L Co²⁺ for MTBE-degrading bacteria. MPN testing was performed with pore water and extracted cell solution of gravel. Samples were taken from the groundwater inflow, the planted systems A, B and E, and the unplanted reference (system D), at 4.1 m flow distance and 20 cm depth in August 2009. Cells were extracted from gravel by a method adapted from Riis et al. (1998). 6 g gravel (ww) were transferred into 50 ml centrifuge vials containing 20 ml 0.2% $Na_4P_2O_7$ (pH = 8.5, adjusted with HCl). After vortexing (2 min, highest level) and shaking (30 min, room temperature, 200 rpm), the sample was homogenized via ice-cooled sonification (10 min, 50 W, 0.3 s impulses). The supernatant was used for the MPN analysis on 96-well plates. Dilution series (1:10) were carried out for water samples and extracted cell solution to the lowest dilution 10⁻⁸. Plates were incubated in an air-tight tank at 26 °C together with a filter moistened with 300 µl of the respective sole carbon source, benzene or MTBE, until no further growth was detected by light transmission measurements (Wallace Victor2, 1420 Multilabel Counter, Perkin Elmer).

2.5. Microcosm study

In order to investigate the potential of the microorganisms present in the filter systems for degrading benzene under aerobic conditions, microcosm studies were carried out for the planted and unplanted CW in November 2009. Experiments were performed with gravel and plant roots. Samples were taken at 4.1 m flow distance and 15 cm depth. Glass bottles (1.1 L) filled with 300 ml Brunner medium (Vogt et al., 2002), either approx. 27 g gravel (ww) or 7 g of crushed roots (ww), and 50 ml

E.M. Seeger et al. / Environmental Pollution 159 (2011) 3769-3776

benzene solution ($c_{nominal} = 66 \text{ mg/L}$) were incubated at 20 °C (100 rpm). One control and one autoclaved control were set up. Oxygen concentrations in the liquid and headspace were monitored in situ by an optical trace sensor system (see 2.3) and pure oxygen was re-spiked when depletion was detected. Benzene concentration analysis was performed via GC-FID as described elsewhere (Kleinsteuber et al., 2008). The monitoring of the isotopic composition of the residual C-source benzene allowed for verification of microbial benzene degradation. A shift to ¹³C-carbon indicates microbial transformation processes of the parent compound. For isotope analysis, 12 ml water sample was mixed with 0.5 ml conc. HCl in 15 ml glass vials with screw cap and septum to stop microbial degradation. 1 ml n-pentane was added in order to extract the benzene, and after vigorous shaking (4 h, 4 °C) the samples were frozen at -18 °C to take-off the n-pentane phase. The isotopic composition of the extracted benzene was analysed using a GC-C-IRMS facility (GC: HP 6890, Agilent; IRMS: Finnigan MAT) containing a ZB-1 column (60 m \times 32 mm \times 1 μ m) using the following temperature program: 40 °C (5 min), 3 °C/min to 60 °C, 20 °C/min to 250 °C (3 min).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Effectiveness of constructed wetlands

Benzene, MTBE and ammonia concentrations in the effluent water of the different CW types are depicted in Fig. 1. Three main results can be stated: i) pollutant removal changed over the course of the year, ii) treatment in the plant root mat and the planted gravel filter system resulted in higher pollutant concentration decline in comparison to the unplanted reference, with the plant root mat achieving overall best results, and, iii) treatment efficiency was compound-specific, since benzene and ammonia were removed more effectively than MTBE.

ጊ both planted CWs during summer at temperatures above 15 °C. In summer 2008 (27/5-26/8/2008, n = 9), 99 \pm 1% (95% C.I.) and $81 \pm 7\%$ (95% C.I.) decrease of inflow benzene concentration (19.8 mg/L) could be achieved via treatment with the plant root mat and the planted gravel filter respectively, whereas winter removal rates (4/11/2008 - 31/3/2009, n = 11) were considerably lower, with values of 43 \pm 18% (95% C.I.) and 18 \pm 15% (95% C.I.). For ammonia, average summer removal efficiencies tended to be lower, giving the observed values of 41 \pm 7% (95% C.I.) for the plant root mat and $54 \pm 17\%$ (95% C.I.) for the CW with gravel matrix. In comparison, the unplanted reference achieved only 15 \pm 7% (95% C.I.) and 7 \pm 2% (95% C.I.) decline of benzene and ammonia concentration. These findings underline the importance of plant presence for pollutant removal. Minor MTBE concentration decrease during summer was found for the planted and unplanted CWs containing gravel matrix, with values of 17 \pm 7%; (95% C.I.) and 8 \pm 8%; (95% C.I.), respectively. For the plant root mat, considerably better results ($82 \pm 4\%$; 95% C.I.) were obtained for the same period.

The comparison with other field studies emphasizes the good contaminant removal efficiencies achieved during summer, considering the relatively high inflow concentrations (20 mg/L benzene, 3.7 mg/L MTBE, 45 mg/L NH \ddagger -N). Similar percentage removal results have been reported for a CW pilot system operated at a former BP refinery in Casper, Wyoming, obtaining 63% benzene and 15% MTBE concentration reduction (Haberl et al., 2003), but inflow concentrations there did not exceed 0.6 mg/L benzene and 1.6 mg/L MTBE (Ferro et al., 2002).

3.1.1. Benzene and MTBE

Less pronounced removal of MTBE compared to benzene might be a result of hindered microbial attack due to the compound structure (Schmidt et al., 2004), overall low growth yields of MTBEutilizing microorganisms (Salanitro et al., 2000), and inhibition of MTBE degradation by BTEX compounds (Deeb et al., 2001). Other field studies on the treatment of petroleum-contaminated groundwater with CWs (Ferro et al., 2002; Bedessem et al., 2007) and



Fig. 1. Benzene, MTBE and ammonia-N concentration in the outflow of the different constructed wetland types: planted gravel filter (system A), unplanted gravel filter (system D), plant root mat (system E). Mean inflow concentrations depicted as horizontal solid line.

aerated trench systems (Jechalke et al., 2010) documented similar results and reported far less MTBE concentration reduction than benzene removal. In contrast, Keefe et al. (2004) found the fate of benzene and MTBE in CWs to be rather similar, ranging within 30–40% for both contaminants, but this might be explained by the low influent contamination concentrations, which were close to detection limits.

However, the good results of the plant root mat in terms of MTBE concentration abatement (82%) during summer could be linked in part to the system properties. The partial open water phase and the lack of a vadose zone presumably promote both atmospheric oxygen diffusion into the water, which leads to enhanced microbial degradation, and volatile organic compound (VOC) emission. Reiche et al. (2010) reported benzene and MTBE volatilization fluxes below 10%

E.M. Seeger et al. / Environmental Pollution 159 (2011) 3769-3776

of the total mass removal for the planted HF CWs with gravel matrix at the Leuna site, whereas for an aerated trench system the percentage of mass loss due to emission amounted to 1% for benzene and 53% for MTBE (Jechalke et al., 2010). Given the properties of the different treatment systems, VOC volatilization flux of the plant root mat may be in between the values obtained for the planted gravel system and the aerated trench system. However, volatilization measurements are necessary in order to confirm the good treatment results of the plant root mat, in particular for meeting the legal pollution immission requirements.

VOC emission clearly contributes to MTBE decline but does not completely account for the better performance of the plant root mat, as it does not explain the non-uniform MTBE concentration decrease along the flow path of the plant root mat (Fig. 2). MTBE concentration remained constant in the first part of the flow path, followed by a sudden decrease from 2.94 mg/L (75% residual concentration) at 2.5 m to 1.28 mg/L (33% residual concentration) at 4.1 m, whereby benzene concentration dropped from 3.54 mg/L at 2.5 m to 0.76 mg/L at 4.1 m distance. Therefore, we can assume that after benzene was depleted to values below 3.54 mg/L, MTBE degradation was no longer inhibited by benzene, and as a result, very low outflow MTBE concentrations could be achieved. Suppressed MTBE degradation in the presence of benzene has been reported by Deeb et al. (2001), but in contrast to our study, inhibition lasted until benzene was fully degraded.

3.1.2. Ammonia

High NH[‡]-N concentration decline during summer and less pronounced removal during winter, as observed in the present study, are consistent with results of He and Mankin (2002). Vymazal (2007) reported total nitrogen removal varying between 40 and 50% in

planted CWs with inflow loadings ranging between 250 and 630 g N/m²/a, which are comparable to our wetland loading (416 g N/m²/a). Lee et al. (2009) found 30–50% ammonia-N removal efficiency for planted wetlands, whereas a broader range of 15–90% was mentioned by Kadlec et al. (2005) for CWs fed with various waste waters. Microbial transformation (nitrification, denitrification) and plant uptake of ammonia or nitrate are stated to be the main N removal processes in CWs (Huddelston et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2009). According to Molle et al. (2008), who reported N uptake values by *P. australis* during growth of 0.2–0.67 g N/m²/d, total nitrogen removal by plant uptake in our CWs ranged between 27 and 78% of the total N removal (0.91 g N/m²/d) obtained during summer (27/5-26/8) 2008. The residual amount of N depleted was not recovered as nitrate-N, but this can be explained by nitrification/denitrification taking place simultaneously (Akratos and Tsihrintzis, 2007).

3.2. Environmental factors affecting contaminant removal

The presence and activity of plants as well as the temperature affected contaminant depletion to a great extent: the best results were obtained during spring and summer.

Better overall organic chemical removal performance of planted CWs in contrast to unplanted reference systems has been mentioned in the literature many times and is considered to be attributable to the rhizospheric effect (Dietz and Schnoor, 2001; Williams, 2002; Haberl et al., 2003; Gerhardt et al., 2009). Consistent with our findings, Braeckevelt et al. (2008) obtained 33% higher monochlorobenzene removal efficiencies within the first 3 m flow path for the planted filter compared to the unplanted reference.

In northern latitudes, plant activity changes according to season and therefore plant oxygenation and root exudation, both





influencing microbial degradation, vary throughout the year. Elevated transpiration rates indicate plant activity and might also affect aqueous contaminant concentration, depending on pollutant uptake via the transpiration stream. In our study, the mean water loss rate during spring and summer 2008 was high for the planted gravel CW (70 \pm 2.0 L/d/CW), clearly exceeding the water loss of the unplanted reference (20 \pm 0.9 L/d/CW) (data not shown). In contrast, both CWs are characterized by similar low winter (2008/2009) water loss rates (planted: 23 ± 0.7 L/d/CW; unplanted: 18 ± 0.8 L/d/CW). Thus, contaminant plant uptake is supposed to be most relevant during summer and negligible during winter. As ammonia is the preferential source for plant nitrogen assimilation, ammonia removal is considered to be highest during spring/summer when plants grow (Vymazal, 2007, in press), as has also been shown in our study.

Elevated temperatures generally favour microbial metabolism (Atlas, 1981; Kadlec and Reddy, 2001). VOC surface volatilization and phytovolatilization are strongly related to the physicochemical properties of the contaminants (vapour pressure, Henry coefficient, log K_{OW}) as well as the plants' activity (transpiration rate), which again are temperature-dependent (Atkins, 1996; Imfeld et al., 2009). Our results, implying that contaminant decrease is strongly season-dependent, are consistent with other studies. Tang et al. (2009) reported higher benzene removal efficiency for wetlands in summer than in spring and autumn, and nitrogen removal was found to be most efficient at temperatures above 15 °C (Akratos and Tsihrintzis, 2007), or even at elevated temperatures up to 20–25 °C (Lee et al., 2009). Better ammonia turnover might be explained by the fact that nitrifying microorganisms are more abundant in spring and summer than in autumn and winter (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008). Vymazal (in press) reported good performance for several CW studies on ammonia removal in northern latitudes, thus microbial adaptation to cold climate is possible in principle, but it may require a longer adaptation time than only one winter season.

3.3. Wetland characteristics

Low maximum oxygen levels of 0.5 mg/L obtained along the flow path of the planted CWs characterize the wetlands to be mainly anaerobic. Therefore, oxygen is considered to be one of the limiting factors for contaminant removal throughout the year. A calculation based on oxygen transfer rates for HSSF CWs (0.3 and 22 g $O_2/m^2/d$) (Tyroller et al., 2010) would yield theoretical oxygen concentrations of >10 mg/L in our CWs, neglecting oxygen consumption and water loss.



Fig. 3. Concentration of redox-relevant species, nitrate and Fe(II), in the outflow of the planted CW containing gravel filter matrix (system A) during sampling period July 2007–July 2009.

Thus, the low measured oxygen concentrations indicate high oxygen consumption and microbial activity in the CWs. Redox potential (Eh) slightly increased after passage of the planted wetlands, with outflow Eh values of 94 mV for the system with gravel and 122 mV for the plant root mat, whereas the redox potential remained stable at ~50 mV for the unplanted reference system. Although Eh values are still within the anaerobic range (<300 mV), this underlines the hypothesis that the planted CWs are more oxidized compared to the unplanted CW and stresses the role of the plants in CW systems. Low levels of nitrite and nitrate in the influent (NO₂–N = 0.01 mg/L; NO₃–N = 0.02 mg/L) and the outflow of the planted gravel filter (NO₂–N = 0.001 mg/L; NO₃–N = 0.101 mg/L), the unplanted reference (NO₂–N = 0.001 mg/L; NO₃–N = 0.1 mg/L) and the plant root mat (NO₂–N = 0.04 mg/L; NO₃–N = 1.17 mg/L), might be ascribed to limited nitrification capacity of the HF CWs as reported by Faulwetter et al. (2009), but could also be



Fig. 4. Benzene, MTBE and ammonia-N concentration in the outflow of the planted gravel filter matrix constructed wetlands depending on the filter material additive: no additive (system A), activated carbon additive (system B), Fe(III) additive (system C). Mean inflow concentrations depicted as horizontal solid line.

E.M. Seeger et al. / Environmental Pollution 159 (2011) 3769-3776

a consequence of effective nitrification-denitrification of ammonia-N to gaseous nitrogen. In autumn and winter, considerably higher amounts of nitrate-N were found in pore water samples, with maximum values of 5 mg/L in the plant root mat and 10 mg/L in the planted gravel filter system. This may be caused by i) insignificant uptake of the ammonia nitrification product nitrate by plants during winter, ii) a shift in O2 consumption from benzene- to ammonia-oxidizing bacteria, considering that the effect of temperature changes on microbial activity is species-dependent, or iii) increased oxygen solubility at lower temperatures. Given the low nitrate level during summer, when ammonia is depleted most effectively and plant oxygenation is supposed to be highest, it can be concluded that nitrification and denitrification took place simultaneously (Akratos and Tsihrintzis, 2007). Seasonal variation of nitrate and Fe(II) concentrations (Fig. 3) give evidence for more aerobic conditions during winter and iron-reducing conditions during spring and summer. This indicates enhanced microbial consumption of the electron acceptors O₂ and Fe(III) during summer and emphasizes how important the availability of appropriate electron acceptors is.

3.4. Effect of filter additives

Contaminant removal efficiencies of the planted CWs containing different filter material additives (no additive vs. charcoal vs. ferrous oxide) did not vary significantly (Fig. 4) in the long run, except for benzene. During the first three months of plant operation (07-09/2007), the mean benzene concentration in the outflow of the system with charcoal (system B) was lower (<0.2 mg/L) than that of the systems without additive (system A) or with Fe(III) additive (system C), which contained 1.3 and 2.3 mg/L, respectively. This effect was presumably due to sorption of benzene to the activated carbon particles. In summer 2008, the highest benzene removal efficiency was achieved two months later than for the other test systems, and considerably lower benzene levels were observed during autumn when temperatures dropped. Both effects might be a response to the temperature dependency of sorption processes. Our initial hypothesis, that sorption results in extended retention time accompanied by increased pollutant degradation, could thus not be proven.

Ferrous iron formation (Fig. 5) was pronounced for the system with ferric iron filter additive in comparison to the system without additive, and may denote enhanced organic carbon oxidation via microbial Fe(III) reduction (Braeckevelt et al., 2008), but did not



Fig. 5. Fe(II) concentration in the outflow of the planted CW with gravel filter matrix (system A) and the planted CW with gravel/Fe(III) filter matrix (system C) during sampling period July 2007–July 2009. Mean Fe(II) inflow concentration ($5.6 \pm 0.5 \text{ mg/L}$; 95% C.I.) depicted as solid line.

 Table 3

 MPN (MPN/g water sample, MPN/g gravel (dry weight)) of all aerobic-growing bacteria and of benzene- and MTBE-degrading bacteria in August 2009.

	Aerobes		Benzene d	egraders	MTBE degr	aders
	Water	Gravel	Water	Gravel	Water	Gravel
Inflow	$4.9 imes 10^2$	-	1.5×10^2	-	0.5×10^1	_
Α	$3.3 imes 10^4$	11×10^5	7.8×10^3	$3.8 imes 10^4$	$0.4 imes 10^2$	0.8×10^2
В	$5.5 imes 10^4$	4.7×10^5	$3.3 imes 10^3$	$1.6 imes 10^4$	$1.5 imes 10^2$	$0.9 imes 10^2$
D	$1.7 imes 10^4$	0.8×10^5	$3.3 imes 10^3$	$0.2 imes 10^4$	0.8×10^2	$0.9 imes 10^2$
E	$1.7 imes 10^5$	-	$\textbf{3.3}\times\textbf{10}^{3}$	-	$\textbf{4.3}\times \textbf{10}^{1}$	_

actually result in lower outflow concentrations of the contaminants. Electron-equivalent calculations revealed that even during summer 2008, when high mean Fe(II) levels were detected in the outflow (44 \pm 5.5 mg/L; 95% C.I.), less than 2 mg/L benzene may have been oxidized to CO₂ by microbial ferric iron reduction.

3.5. Indications of microbial degradation

3.5.1. Most probable numbers

MPN cell counts obtained for inflow water were rather low, with values of 4.9×10^2 , 1.5×10^2 and 0.5×10^1 MPN/g water for aerobic bacteria, benzene degraders and MTBE degraders, respectively. Nevertheless, the inflow can be considered as a continuous source of benzene degraders. Samples taken from the CWs showed 1 to 4 orders of magnitude higher values, following the order aerobic-growing bacteria > benzene degraders > MTBE degraders (Table 3), which points out the beneficial effect of the rhizosphere on microbial growth (Salt et al., 1998; Stottmeister et al., 2003). In turn, MPNs did not depend on the filter material additives.



Fig. 6. Microcosm experiment performed with gravel and roots from the planted (system A) and unplanted (system D) constructed wetlands. Mean benzene concentration (top) and respective isotopic signature (bottom) of each microcosm. Error bars denote standard deviation of the means: concentration measurements were performed via duplicate sampling, isotopic composition in triplicate measurement. Gray horizontal bar indicates mean initial benzene isotopic composition (-25.53) and standard error (± 0.5) of all microcosms 7–8 h after experiment start.

According to common acceptance that filter material promotes bacterial biofilm formation (Sundberg et al., 2007), higher bacterial numbers (MPN/g) were obtained for gravel than for water samples. For MPNs performed with the substrate MTBE, only minimal bacterial growth was detected, which may be attributed to residual benzene from the wetland inoculums. MPNs for aerobic benzene degraders ranged from 3.3×10^3 to 7.8×10^3 MPN/g for water samples and from 0.2×10^4 to 3.8×10^4 MPN/g for gravel samples. For the water samples, these findings are in agreement with the results of Jechalke et al. (2010), who investigated the treatment performance of aerated trench systems at the Leuna plant.

3.5.2. Microbial benzene degradation microcosm experiment

In the aerobic microcosms study, benzene consumption started after a lag phase of 25-35 h and the pollutant was eliminated completely after 35-48 h (Fig. 6). Earliest and fastest turnover was obtained for the microcosm containing gravel from the planted CW and pore water instead of mineral medium. For all microcosms except the one carried out with gravel from the unplanted CW, the decrease of benzene concentration correlated with a significant shift in carbon isotope composition of residual benzene towards heavier isotopes, proving microbial degradation (Fig. 6). The shift of δ^{13} C of about 2.8–3.4% demonstrated the benzene degradation potential of the microorganism present in the pore water, on the filter material and on/in the roots of P. australis, since a significant increase in δ^{13} C/ 12 C of the parent compound is generally considered as a proof of microbial contaminant degradation (Hunkeler et al., 2001; Meckenstock et al., 2004).

4. Conclusions

The following conclusions can be drawn from this study:

- Treatment performance of HF CWs was highest during spring/ summer at temperatures above 15 °C, when plants were growing
- For the CW with gravel filter, high removal efficiencies were found for benzene (81%) and ammonia (54%), whereas low MTBE depletion (17%) was observed.
- tration decrease than the gravel filter system. Maximum summer removal efficiencies of 99%, 82% and 41% were achieved for benzene, MTBE and ammonia, respectively, showing that the plant root mat is a promising alternative to conventional CWs.
- Oxygen is considered to be one of the limiting factors for contaminant turnover in both wetland types, given low oxygen concentrations (<0.5 mg/L).
- Activated carbon and ferric oxide filter material additives did not promote contaminant removal in the long term, although sorption occurred and elevated ferrous iron formation indicated microbial iron(III) reduction.
- Microbial benzene degradation potential could be proven in an aerobic microcosm study by a shift in carbon isotope fractionation of +2.8-4.3%, as well as high MPN counts for benzene degraders in water $(3.3 \times 10^3 \text{ to } 7.8 \times 10^3 \text{ MPN/g})$ and gravel $(0.2 \times 10^4 \text{ to } 3.8 \times 10^4 \text{ MPN/g})$ samples.

The results of the study show HF CWs to be an efficient treatment method for the remediation of chemically contaminated waters and point out that plant root mat systems are a promising alternative to conventional solid filter matrix HF CWs. In order to confirm the good treatment results of the plant root mat and to investigate contaminant fate, emission measurements and mass balance studies for benzene and MTBE in HF CW (with and without gravel matrix) are currently in progress and will be published hereafter. Since the supply with electron acceptors did not promote contaminant removal, future experiments will deal with the optimization of the removal efficiency with respect to technical approaches, e.g. tidal flow mode as well as coupling of vertical and horizontal filters.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ within the scope of the SAFIRA II Research Programme (Revitalization of Contaminated Land and Groundwater at Megasites, subproject "Compartment Transfer-CoTra").

References

- Akratos, C.S., Tsihrintzis, V.A., 2007. Effect of temperature, HRT, vegetation and porous media on removal efficiency of pilot-scale horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetlands. Ecol. Eng. 29, 173–191. Atkins, P.W., 1996. Physikalische Chemie, Zweite Auflage. VCH Verlagsgesellschaft
- mbH, Weinheidem, Bunderepublik Deutschland.
- Atlas, R.M., 1981. Microbial degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons: an environ-mental perspective. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 45 (1), 180–209.
- Barac, T., Taghavi, S., Borremans, B., Provoost, A., Oeyen, L., Colpaert, J.V., Vangronsveld, J., van der Lelie, D., 2004. Engineered endophytic bacteria improve phytoremediation of water-soluble, volatile, organic pollutants. Nat. Biotechnol. 22 (5), 583–588.
- Bedessem, M.E., Ferro, A.M., Hiegel, T., 2007. Pilot-scale constructed wetlands for petroleum-contaminated groundwater. Water Environ. Res. 79 (6), 581-586.
- Brackevelt, M., Mirschel, G., Wiessner, A., Rueckert, M., Reiche, N., Vogt, C., Schultze, A., Paschke, H., Kuschk, P., Kaestner, M., 2008. Treatment of chlorobenzenecontaminated groundwater in a pilot-scale constructed wetland. Ecol. Eng. 33, 45 - 53
- Deeb, R.A., Hu, H.-Y., Hanson, J.R., Scow, K.M., Alvarez-Cohen, L., 2001. Substrate interactions in BTEX and MTBE mixtures by an MTBE-degrading isolate. Environ. Sci. Technol. 35 (2), 312-317.
- Dietz, A.C., Schnoor, J.L., 2001. Advances in phytoremediation. Environ. Health Perspect. 109 (1), 163–168.
- Farhadian, M., Vachelard, C., Duchez, D., Larroche, C., 2008. In situ bioremediation of monoaromatic pollutants in groundwater: a review. Bioresour. Technol. 99, 5296-5308.
- Faulwetter, J.L., Gagnon, V., Sundberg, C., Chazarenc, F., Burr, M.D., Brisson, J., Camper, A.K., Stein, O.R., 2009. Microbial processes influencing performance of treatment wetlands: a review. Ecol. Eng. 35, 987–1004. Ferro, A.M., Kadlec, R.H., Deschamp, J., 2002. Constructed wetland system to treat
- wastewater at the BP Amoco former Casper refinery: pilot scale project. The 9th International Petroleum Environmental Conference. Albuquerque, NM.
- Gerhardt, K.E., Huang, X.-D., Glick, B.R., Greenberg, B.M., 2009, Phytoremediation and rhizoremediation of organic soil contaminants: potential and challenges. Plant Sci. 176, 20-30.
- Haberl, R., Grego, S., Langergraber, G., Kadlec, R.H., Cicalini, A.-R., Dias, S.M., Novais, J.M., Aubert, S., Gerth, A., Thomas, H., Hebner, A., 2003. Constructed wetlands for treatment of organic pollutants. J. Soils Sediments 3 (2), 109–124.
- He, Q., Mankin, K.R., 2002. Performance variations of COD and nitrogen removal by
- vegetated submerged bed wetlands. J. Am. Water Resour. As 38 (6), 1679–1689. Huddelston, G.M., Gillespie, W.B., Rodgers, J.H., 2000. Using constructed wetlands to treat biochemical oxygen demand and ammonia associated with a refinery effluent. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 45, 188–193. Hunkeler, D., Andersen, N., Aravena, R., Bernasconi, S.M., Butler, B.J., 2001. Hydrogen
- and carbon isotope fractionation during aerobic biodegradation of benzene. Environ. Sci. Technol. 35, 3462–3467. Imfeld, G., Braeckevelt, M., Kuschk, P., Richnow, H.H., 2009. Monitoring and
- assessing processes of organic chemicals removal in constructed wetlands. Chemosphere 74, 349–362.
- Jechalke, S., Vogt, C., Reiche, N., Frachini, A.G., Borsdorf, H., Neu, T.R., Richnow, H.H., 2010. Aerated treatment pond technology with biofilm promoting mats for the bioremediation of benzene, MTBE and ammonium contaminated groundwater. Water Res. 44, 1785–1796
- Kadlec, R.H., Reddy, K.R., 2001. Temperature effects in treatment wetlands. Water Environ. Res. 73 (5), 543–557.
 Kadlec, R.H., Wallace, S.D., 2008. Treatment Wetlands, second ed. CRC Press,
- Taylor&Francis Group, Boca Raton, USA. Kadlec, R.H., Tanner, C.C., Hally, V.M., Gibbs, M.M., 2005. Nitrogen spiralling in
- subsurface-flow constructed wetlands: implications for treatment response. Ecol. Eng. 25, 365-381.
- Keefe, S.H., Barber, L.B., Runkel, R.L., Ryan, J.N., 2004. Fate of volatile organic compounds in constructed wastewater treatment wetlands. Environ. Sci. Technol. 38, 2209-2216.
- Kleinsteuber, S., Schleinitz, K.M., Breitfeld, J., Harms, H., Richnow, H.H., Vogt, C., 2008. Molecular characterization of bacterial communities mineralizing benzene under sulfate-reducing conditions. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 66, 143–157.

- Lee, C., Fletcher, T.D., Sun, G., 2009. Nitrogen removal in constructed wetland systems. Eng. Life Sci. 9 (1), 11–22.
- Meckenstock, R.U., Morasch, B., Griebler, C., Richnow, H.H., 2004. Stable isotope fractionation analysis as a tool to monitor biodegradation in contaminated aquifers. J. Contam. Hydrol 75, 215–255.
- Mehmannavaz, R., Prasher, S.O., Ahmad, D., 2002. Rhizospheric effects of alfalfa on biotransformation of polychlorinated biphenyls in a contaminated soil augmented with *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. Process Biochem. 37, 955–963.
- Molle, P., Prost-Boucle, S., Lienard, A., 2008. Potential for total nitrogen removal by combining vertical flow and horizontal flow constructed wetlands: a full-scale experiment study. Ecol. Eng. 34, 23–29.
- Moore, B.J., Headley, J.V., Dupont, R.R., Doucette, W.D., Armstrong, J.E., 2002. Abatement of gas-condensate hydrocarbons in a natural wetland. J. Environ. Sci. Health Part A 37 (4), 425–438.
- Nurk, K., Zaytsev, I., Talpsep, I., Truu, J., Mander, Ü, 2009. Bioaugmentation in a newly established LECA-based horizontal flow soil filter reduces the adaptation period and enhances denitrification. Bioresour. Technol. 100, 6284–6289.
- Reasoner, D.J., Geldreich, E.E., 1985. A new medium for the enumeration and subculture of bacteria from potable water. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 49 (1), 1–7. Reiche, N., Lorenz, W., Borsdorf, H., 2010. Development and application of dynamic
- air chambers for measurement of volatilization fluxes of benzene and MTBE from constructed wetlands planted with common reed. Chemosphere 79, 162–168. Riis, V., Lorbeer, H., Babel, W., 1998. Extraction of microorganisms from soil:
- evaluation of the efficiency by counting methods and activity measurements.
 Soil Biol. Biochem. 30 (12), 1573.
 Rohwerder, T., Breuer, U., Benndorf, D., Lechner, U., Muller, R.H., 2006. The alkyl *tert*---
- Konwerder, I., Breuer, U., Benndorf, D., Lecnner, U., Muller, K.H., 2006. Ine alkyl tert-butyl ether intermediate 2-hydroxyisobutyrate is degraded via a novel cobalamin dependent mutase pathway. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72 (6), 4128–4135.
 Salanitro, J.P., Johnson, P.C., Spinnler, G.E., Maner, P.M., Wisniewski, H.L., Bruce, C.,
- Salanitro, J.P., Jonnson, P.C., Spinnier, G.E., Maner, P.M., Wisniewski, H.L., Bruce, C., 2000. Field-scale demonstration of enhanced MTBE bioremediation through aquifer bioaugmentation and oxygenation. Environ. Sci. Technol. 34, 4152–4162. Salt, D.E., Smith, R.D., Raskin, I., 1998. Phytoremediation. Annu. Rev. Plant Phys. 49,
- 643–668. Schmidt, T.C., Schirmer, M., Weiß, H., Haderlein, S.B., 2004. Microbial degradation of
- *tert*-butyl ether and *tert*-butyl alcohol in the subsurface. J. Contam. Hydrol 70, 173–203. Singh, O.V., Jain, R.K., 2003. Phytoremediation of toxic aromatic pollutants from soil.
- Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 63, 128–135.

- Stottmeister, U., Wießner, A., Kuschk, P., Kappelmeyer, U., Kästner, M., Bederski, O., Müller, R.A., Moormann, H., 2003. Effects of plants and microorganisms in constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment. Biotechnol. Adv. 22, 93–117.
- Sundberg, C., Tonderski, K., Lindgren, P.E., 2007. Potential nitrification and denitrification and the corresponding composition of the bacterial communities in a compact treating landfill leachates. Water Sci. Technol. 65 (3), 159–166.Tang, X.Q., Eke, P.E., Scholz, M., Huang, S.L., 2009. Processes impacting on benzene
- Tang, X.Q., Eke, P.E., Scholz, M., Huang, S.L., 2009. Processes impacting on benzene removal in vertical-flow constructed wetlands. Bioresour. Technol. 100, 227–234.
- Tyroller, L, Rousseau, D.P.L., Santa, S., García, J., 2010. Application of the gas tracer method for measuring oxygen transfer rates in subsurface flow constructed wetlands. Water Res. 44, 4217–4225.
- UBA, 2003. Inventarisierung von Grundwasserschäden und deren Beurteilung in Großprojekten "Ökologische Altlasten" der neuen Bundesländer. Auftraggeber: Umweltbundesamt. Bearbeiter GICON Großmann Ingenieur Consult GmbH.
- Van Epps, A., 2006. U.S. Phytoremediation of petroleum hydrocarbons. Environmental Protection Agency.
- Vogt, C., Alfreider, A., Lorbeer, H., Ahlheim, J., Feist, B., Boehme, O., Weiss, H., Babel, W., Wuensche, L., 2002. Two pilot plant reactors designed for the in situ bioremediation of chlorobenzene-contaminated ground water: hydrogeological and chemical characteristics and bacterial consortia. Water Air Soil Poll.: Focus 2. 161–170.
- Vymazal, J., Kröpfelová, L., 2008. Wastewater Treatment in Constructed Wetlands with Horizontal Sub-surface Flow – Environmental Pollution, vol 14. Springer Science + Business Media B.V.
- Vymazal, J., 2007. Removal of nutrients in various types of constructed wetlands. Sci. Total Environ. 380, 48–65.
- Vymazal, J., 2009. The use of constructed wetlands with horizontal sub-surface flow for various types of wastewater. Ecol. Eng. 35, 1–17.
 Vymazal, J., in press. Long-term performance of constructed wetlands with hori-
- Vymazai, J., in press. Long-term performance of constructed wetlands with nonzontal sub-surface flow: ten case studies from the Czech Republic. Ecol. Eng. Wallace S Kadlec R 2005 RTEX degradation in cold-climate wetland systems.
- Wallace, S., Kadlec, R., 2005. BTEX degradation in cold-climate wetland systems. Water Sci. Technol. 51 (9), 165–171.
 Wallace, S.D., 2001. On-site remediation of petroleum contact wastes using subsurface flow wetlands. Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on
- Wetlands and Remediation (Burlington, Vermont). Williams, J.B., 2002. Phytoremediation on wetland ecosystems: progress, problems, and potential. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 21 (6), 607–635.

EDVIRONMENTAL Science & lechnology

ARTICLE

pubs.acs.org/est

Performance Evaluation Using a Three Compartment Mass Balance for the Removal of Volatile Organic Compounds in Pilot Scale Constructed Wetlands

Eva M. Seeger,^{†,*} Nils Reiche,[‡] Peter Kuschk,[†] Helko Borsdorf,[‡] and Matthias Kaestner[†]

[†]Department of Environmental Biotechnology and [†]Department Monitoring and Exploration Technologies, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research—UFZ, Permoserstr. 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: To perform a general assessment of treatment efficiency, a mass balance study was undertaken for two types of constructed wetlands (CWs), planted gravel filters and plant root mat systems, for treating VOC (benzene; MTBE) polluted groundwater under field conditions. Contaminant fate was investigated in the respective water, plant, and atmosphere compartments by determining water and atmospheric contaminant loads and calculating contaminant plant uptake, thereby allowing for an extended efficiency assessment of CWs. Highest total VOC removal was achieved during summer, being pronounced for benzene compared to MTBE. According to the experimental results and the calculations generated by the balancing model, degradation in the rhizosphere and plant uptake accounted for the main benzene removal processes, of 76% and 13% for the gravel bed CW and 83% and 11% for the root mat system. Volatilization



bases favored and MTBE was low (<5%) for the gravel bed CW, while in the root mat system direct contact of aqueous and gaseous phases favored total MTBE was low (<5%) for the gravel bed CW, while in the root mat system direct contact of aqueous and gaseous phases favored total motion (24%). With this applied approach, we present detailed contact on a gaseous gaseous gaseous contact in the root mat system direct contact of aqueous and gaseous phases favored total motion of contaminant eliminant elimination and distribution processes (e.g., total, surface, and phytovolatilization, plant uptake, rhizodegradation) in CWs under field conditions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Constructed wetlands (CWs) have been stated as a promising example of a simple and cost-effective near-natural technology to effectively treat waters contaminated with volatile organic compounds (VOCs).¹ Recently, several studies report on CW treatment performance and contaminant removal efficiency depending on operational and design parameters.^{2–4} In most cases, treatment efficiency is determined for the water compartment either by areal water loadings or observed decline of contaminant concentrations. However, it is essential to investigate the fate of contaminants within wetland compartments (water, atmosphere, and plants), particularly for VOCs. This approach is mandatory in order to evaluate the main removal processes in CWs: biodegradation, volatilization, and plant uptake.^{5,6} This allows for actual treatment efficiency in terms of microbial degradation to be assessed.

Studying pollutant removal in the water phase is the first basic step in obtaining evidence concerning CW treatment potential under realistic field conditions. Such investigations show contaminant removal efficiencies based on concentration decrease^{3,7–10} or calculations of loads.^{4,11} The investigations contributed to transferral of the CW approach from small scale to field scale application.^{12,13} Few studies have considered the flux of VOCs into the atmosphere for mass balancing based on experimental data ^{7,14}

or simulations.¹⁵ Recent wetland research also attempted to examine the role of plant uptake during VOC treatment.^{16,17} Although many authors emphasize the importance of assessing contaminant mass balances for CW treatment systems, conclusive studies comprising contaminant biodegradation and other distribution and elimination processes are generally scarce to date. Most of the field studies did not achieve complete mass balances, since certain distribution processes were either not considered at all or only partially, e.g., only surface- or phytovolatilization were investigated instead of total volatilization.

The fate of VOCs in wetlands for groundwater treatment is influenced by a number of processes, namely microbial degradation in the rhizosphere, sorption on filter material, surface volatilization, and plant uptake with subsequent contaminant accumulation, phytodegradation or phytovolatilization.¹⁸ The relative importance of a particular process depends on the physicochemical properties of the contaminant, such as the vapor pressure and the partition coefficients K_{AW} (air—water partition coefficient) and K_{OW} (octanol—water partition coefficient), as well as the environmental conditions

Received:	May 5, 2011
Accepted:	August 17, 2011
Revised:	July 22, 2011
Published:	August 17, 2011

(temperature, redox conditions, and oxygen flux) prevailing in the specific constructed wetland system. For a detailed description of the relationship between the physicochemical characteristics and the fate of contaminants, see Imfeld et al.⁶

Therefore, the objective of our work was to evaluate the performance of different horizontal subsurface flow CWs treating VOC contaminated groundwater under field conditions, utilizing a detailed balancing approach. By this, we were able to subdivide the overall removal efficiencies determined from water loadings into (i) destructive removal via biodegradation in the rhizosphere and (ii) the nondestructive processes VOC-volatilization (surfaceand phytovolatilization) and plant uptake. Our approach is based upon three key elements: (i) the monitoring of influent and effluent VOC load, (ii) the determination of total VOC volatilization using dynamic air chambers, and (iii) the calculation of VOC uptake into the plants. Rhizodegradation is assessed as the difference of total removal from water phase and the losses due to plant uptake and volatilization. This evaluation was conducted for two types of CWs, a conventional wetland with a filter matrix (gravel) and a plant root mat system without lithospheric solid state material.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Site Description and Pilot Plant Design. At the industrial site Leuna (Saxony-Anhalt, Germany), decades of petroleum refinement led to large-scale contamination of the soil and groundwater with the fuel constituents benzene and methyl-*tert*-butyl-ether (MTBE). In 2007, an outdoor pilot plant was built down gradient of the contamination plume at the Leuna site, in order to investigate the remediation efficiency of constructed wetlands (CWs).

The CWs (A and B) were designed to operate in horizontal subsurface flow mode and consisted of steel basins $(5 \times 1.1 \times 0.6 \text{ m})$ planted with common reed (Phragmites australis). System A was filled with gravel (grain size 2-3.2 mm) up to a height of 50 cm and the water level was set to 40 cm, resulting in a vadose soil zone of 10 cm. System B was designed as a plant root mat (Phragmites australis), only supported by the densely woven root bed and was operated at a water depth of 15 cm. An unplanted gravel filter designed and operated like system A was used as a reference. Inflow and outflow connections were installed 5 cm above the bottom of the basins. Inflow water was supplied via a closed nonaerated holding tank (nHRT: 7 h) from a nearby groundwater well. During the period of investigation (June 2007 to June 2009), the concentrations of the groundwater contaminants benzene and MTBE were 19 \pm 3.7 and 3.8 \pm 0.8 mg L⁻¹, respectively. For other groundwater inflow characteristics see Table S1 in Supporting Information, SI. The hydraulic loading rate was fixed to 6 L h⁻ for system A and 6.9 L h^{-1} for system B, respectively. Hydraulic retention times (nHRT = V_{sys} /inflow rate) were calculated to 5.3 d for system A and to 2.7 d for system B. Water inflow and outflow volumes were quantified by flow meters (15-min interval), allowing for contaminant loading rate to be calculated.

Dynamic air chambers (DACs) were mounted on top of the CWs in order to determine total benzene and MTBE volatilization from the wetlands in an integral approach. The chambers were equipped with wind turbines, so realistic environmental conditions could be simulated within the chambers (air exchange rate > 40 h⁻¹). DAC design and construction has been previously described in detail.¹⁹

2.2. Sampling Procedure and Analysis of Aqueous and Gaseous Samples. Inflow and outflow water samples were collected

ARTICLE

at regular intervals, every one to two weeks, and were analyzed in duplicate for VOC concentration by a GC–MS system. Sample preparation and conservation, as well as analyses of organic compounds, are described elsewhere.²⁰ To determine the organic compounds, a headspace GC/MS facility (Agilent: auto sampler with oven 7694, GC 6890, MS 5973) with a HP-1 column (60 m × 0.25 mm ×1 μ m) was used and the following temperature program was applied: 35 °C (6 min), 4 °C/min to 120 °C, 20 °C/min to 280 °C (5 min).

The determination of VOC emission rates was performed in discrete 1–2-week sampling campaigns every 2–3 months all around the year, in order to investigate meteorological influence on contaminant volatilization. Atmospheric VOCs were trapped on adsorption tubes, placed in the inlet and outlet tube of the DAC, by means of active gas sampling. After thermal desorption, VOC concentration analysis was performed via a GC–MS system. A detailed description of this process is reported by Reiche et al.¹⁹

2.3. Mass Balance and Treatment Removal Efficiency. Calculation of treatment removal efficiency of the CWs for the water compartment is based upon system-specific influent and effluent loading rates of the contaminants, and thus accounts for the water loss due to evapotranspiration (ET).

The contaminant mass balance in the constructed wetlands is maintained as follows:

$$ACL_{in} = ACL_{out} + \Delta m \tag{1}$$

where ACL_{in/out} (mg m⁻² d⁻¹) is the areal contaminant load for the inflow or outflow, respectively, and Δm is the overall contaminant mass loss in the water phase. ACL_{in/out} was obtained as follows:

$$ACL_{in/out} = A^{-1} \times \sum_{i=1}^{n} (c_{in/out}(t_i) \times \bar{Q}_{\Delta t, in/out})$$
(2)

where *c* is the contaminant concentration (mg L^{-1}) at the inflow (in) or outflow (out) at a sampling time $t_{ii} \overline{Q}_{\Delta t,in/out}$ is the average inflow or outflow water volume (L d⁻¹) between two consecutive concentration samplings at time t_i and t_{i+1} , and *A* is the surface area of the wetland (5.5 m²).

The treatment removal efficiency for the water phase R_w (%) was calculated using eq 3:

Treatment removal efficiency

$$(R_{\rm w}) = \frac{\rm ACL_{in} - \rm ACL_{out}}{\rm ACL_{in}} \times 100$$
(3)

2.4. Quantitative Assessment of Elimination and Distribution Processes. The overall contaminant mass loss Δm (eq 1) can be split up into the losses microbial degradation in the rhizosphere (L_m), volatilization (L_v), including surface volatilization ($L_{v, surface}$) and phytovolatilization (L_v , phyto), plant uptake (L_p), photolysis (L_{photo}), and sorption on filter material (L_s), see eq 4:

$$\Delta m = L_{\rm m} + L_{\rm v} + L_{\rm p} + L_{\rm photo} + L_{\rm s} \tag{4}$$

As atmospheric halftimes of benzene and MTBE are long, 7 d²¹ and 3-6 d,²² respectively, in comparison to the air exchange rate applied to the DACs (>40 h⁻¹), contaminant photolysis is considered to be insignificant in our mass balance approach. In addition, net contaminant loss due to sorption is neglected, since mass calculations

were performed for 1-2-year old CWs and thus overall steady-state conditions for sorption–desorption processes were supposedly reached. Also sorption is considered as a minor removal process for highly water-soluble compounds,⁶ such as MTBE and benzene. As a result, the mass balance equation simplifies to the following:

$$\Delta m = L_{\rm m} + L_{\rm v} + L_{\rm p} \tag{5}$$

The contaminant loss resulting from volatilization L_v (mg m⁻² d⁻¹) was obtained as follows:

volatilization(
$$L_{\rm v}$$
) = $\frac{m_{\rm air, in} - m_{\rm air, out}}{A \times \Delta t}$ (6)

where $m_{\text{air,in}}$ and $m_{\text{air,out}}$ is the contaminant mass (mg) detected on the adsorption tubes positioned in the inlet and outlet wind flux of the DACs, *A* is the surface area of the wetland covered by the DAC (5.5 m²) and Δt is the sampling duration (1 d).

The contaminant loss due to plant uptake L_p (mg m⁻² d⁻¹) was calculated as follows:

$$L_{\rm p} = T \times c_{\rm CW, \, average} \times \rm TSCF \tag{7}$$

with the following:

$$c_{\rm CW,\,average} = 0.5 \times (c_{\rm in} + c_{\rm out}) \tag{8}$$

$$TSCF = \frac{11}{11 + 2.6^{\log K_{OW}}}$$
(9)

Above, $T (L m^{-2} d^{-1})$ represents the transpired water volume. For the planted CW, T was estimated as the difference of water loss due to evapotranspiration (ET) and evaporation (E) of the unplanted reference. As no E data was available for an unplanted water basin without gravel matrix, plant uptake for the plant root mat was calculated using ET values instead. ET and $E (Lm^{-2} d^{-1})$ are obtained from the water balance of the CWs, i.e., the difference are obtained from the water balance of V_{in} , $V_{precepitation}$) between water influx and precipitation flux (V_{in} , $V_{precepitation}$) $c_{\mathrm{CW},\mathrm{average}}$ is the average contaminant concentration in the water compartment of the CW, obtained from concentrations at inlet and outlet, c_{in} and c_{out} TSCF (dimensionless) is the transpiration stream concentration factor describing contaminant translocation into the shoots and represents how readily a contaminant is taken up by the plants. The TSCF depends on the log K_{OW} , and a nearly sigmoidal relationship between plant uptake and hydrophobicity has been evaluated according to Dettenmaier et al.²³ For the calculation, log K_{OW} values used were 2.13 for benzene and 1.2 for MTBE.^{24,25} Equation 7 is in accordance with the calculation of contaminant plant uptake described by Dietz and Schnoor.²⁶

With the aim of evaluating CW treatment efficiency in terms of actual contaminant removal via microbial rhizodegradation, two mass balance scenarios are considered, as described hereafter:

(I) The worst-case scenario states the minimal amount of contaminant subject to biodegradation in the rhizosphere, assuming that VOCs taken up by the plants are not phytovolatilized, and thus atmospheric VOC results from surface volatilization only (*L*_{v,phyto} = 0, *L*_v = *L*_{v,surface}).

$$L_{\rm m}({\rm worst \ case \ scenario}) = \Delta m_{\rm w} - L_{\rm y} - L_{\rm p}$$
 (10)

(II) The best-case scenario states the maximal amount of contaminant subject to biodegradation in the rhizosphere,

ARTICLE

assuming that atmospheric VOC results from plant uptake with subsequent phytovolatilization only ($L_v = L_p = L_{v,phyto}$) and surface emission did not occur ($L_{v,surface} = 0$).

$$L_{\rm m}({
m best case scenario}) = \Delta m_{\rm w} - L_{\rm p}$$
 (11)

In case L_v exceeds maximal possible amount of phytovolatilization subsequent to plant uptake, surface volatilization has to be taken into account as an additional subtrahend.

 $L_{\rm m}({\rm best \ case \ scenario}) = \Delta m_{\rm w} - L_{\rm p} - L_{\rm v, \, surface}$ (12)

2.5. Statistical Analysis. Uncertainties of contaminant loss due to plant uptake, microbial degradation, surface and phytovolatilization, and areal contaminant loadings were determined by Gaussian error propagation. Parameters considered were c_{in} , c_{out} , c_{w} , V_{in} , V_{out} , V_{rain} , ET, *E*, L_v , and the TSCF. The initial error of the parameters comprised the standard error of the mean and the measuring error. The error of the TSCF resulted from the assumption of a 10% variation of the log K_{OW} values of the respective compound.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Treatment Efficiency of Constructed Wetlands. Water quality was improved considerably by being treated with both CW systems tested, the planted gravel filter and the plant root mat. Benzene and MTBE effluent loads showed great seasonal variance, with lowest contaminant loads and highest treatment efficiencies observed during spring and summer (Figure 1A). For summer 2008, the plant root mat revealed maximum removal rates R_w of 99.9 \pm 8.5% (606 \pm 51 mg m⁻² d⁻¹) for benzene and 96 \pm 8% (117 \pm 9 mg m⁻² d⁻¹) for MTBE, whereas the conventional CW including a gravel filter matrix removed only up to 96 \pm 8% (480 \pm 42 mg m⁻² d⁻¹) and 75 \pm 11% (85 \pm 13 mg m⁻² d⁻¹), respectively. Notably, the time frame of overall good treatment performance during summer lasted considerably longer for the plant root mat, namely 6 months, than for the gravel filter with only 4 months. Areal contaminant loads of the unplanted reference and outflow concentrations of all systems are presented in Figures S1 and S2 of the SI.

When comparison was made with other CW studies, it was highlighted that our wetlands achieved higher removal efficiencies during summer, especially given the relatively high inflow concentrations. Similarly, high removal efficiencies (80% benzene removal) have been reported by Bedessem et al.⁴ for a pilot scale CW fed with petroleum-contaminated groundwater at a benzene loading rate similar to ours, however influent concentrations were low on average and did not exceed 2 mg L⁻¹. Contaminant specific removal rates with suppressed removal of MTBE compared to benzene were reported by several authors^{12,20} and might result from hindered microbial attack, due to compound structure,²⁷ overall low growth yields of MTBE utilizing microorganisms,²⁸ and inhibition of MTBE degradation by BTEX compounds.²⁹

In general, the seasonal variation of outflow loadings was found to negatively correlate with the annual variation of ambient air temperature and water loss of the constructed wetlands, due to evapotranspiration (ET; see Figure 1B). High effluent loads were obtained when temperature and ET values were low, and vice versa. This correlation indicated that the excellent summer removal efficiencies achieved resulted from the three fate



Surface volatilization and phytovolatilization are strongly related to the physicochemical properties of the contaminants, which again are temperature dependent. Direct transfer from the water phase into the atmosphere is predicted by Henry's Law coefficient (*H*) and, thus, is related to the vapor pressure (v_p) ,³ whereas in unsaturated soil zones diffusion transport additionally determines effective atmospheric emissions.⁶ Phytovolatilization is most relevant for compounds that are easily translocated into plants (log K_{OW} 0.5–3), and are concomitantly characterized by a high vapor pressure $(v_p > 1.01 \text{ kPa})^{35}$ or Henry's Law constant $(K_{AW} \gg 10^{-5})$.³⁶ Given the fact that these criteria are fulfilled for benzene $(K_{AW} = 0.22; v_p = 10.13 - 12.66 \text{ kPa})^{37.38}$ and MTBE $(K_{AW} = 0.024 - 0.12; v_p = 32.66 - 33.55 \text{ kPa})$,³⁹ phytovolatilization has to be considered as a potential distribution process in our work. In general, phytovolatilization may be of particular relevance for subsurface-flow (SSF) CWs, since direct volatilization is restrained due to slow diffusion rates through unsaturated soil zones.⁶

Overall, in the case where only the water compartment is considered, both CWs showed high VOC removal efficiencies during summer with the plant root mat performing better than the conventional CW with the gravel matrix.

3.2. Contaminant Mass Balance. Contaminant-specific mass balance calculations were performed for distinct summer and winter periods and were based upon benzene and MTBE areal loads of the influent (ACL_{in}) and effluent (ACL_{out}), as well as the atmospheric load (L_v) measured in the dynamic air chambers (Table 1). Contaminant plant uptake and biodegradation in the rhizosphere of the planted CW were calculated according to eqs 7 and 10.

Figure 2 depicts the fate of benzene and MTBE in the constructed wetlands as a fraction of the inflow load (ACL_{in}). This approach enabled the overall contaminant removal from the water phase to be split into the losses due to microbial degradation in the rhizosphere (L_m), overall plant uptake (L_p), phytovolatilization ($L_{v,phyto}$), and surface volatilization ($L_{v,surface}$).

Benzene and MTBE emission rates for the unplanted gravel filter were well below 0.5 mg m⁻² d⁻¹, except for MTBE in winter (1.9 mg m⁻² d⁻¹), (Table S2 of the SI). In all cases, influx and efflux air concentrations were not significantly different from background concentrations,¹⁹ justifying the assumption that surface volatilization was negligible for the planted gravel CW.

Benzene removal efficiency in summer for the CW with the gravel matrix was found mainly to derive from microbial degradation (76.4 \pm 10.7%), whereas plant uptake and phytovolatilization contributed to this to a lower extent, of 13.0 \pm 2.9% and 2.3 \pm 0.3%, respectively. During winter, the total percentage of each loss process decreased, but the trend of the distribution remained similar, resulting in 24.5 \pm 12.2%, 2.9 \pm 3.8%, and 0.1 \pm 0.04% removal with respect to $L_{\rm mr}$, $L_{\rm p}$, and $L_{\rm v,phyto}$. The ratio of microbial degradation and plant uptake $L_{\rm mi}$: $L_{\rm p}$ obtained for the summer (6:1) and winter (8:1) periods revealed that even during winter microbial degradation accounted for the major benzene removal capacity. In contrast, for MTBE differences between $L_{\rm m}$ and $L_{\rm p}$ were less distinct. In summer, removal

Environmental Science & Technology



Figure 1. Areal benzene and MTBE inflow and outflow water load (ACL_{in/out}) for the planted gravel filter CW and the plant root mat system (A); evapotranspiration (ET) of the gravel filter CW and the plant root mat system as well as ambient air temperature $T_{\rm air}$ (daily mean) (B).

pathways of microbial degradation in the rhizosphere, plant uptake, and total volatilization, as discussed hereafter.

Microbial contaminant turnover in the root zone of CWs is known to be greatly affected by the seasonal constitution of the wetland plants. During spring and summer, plants actively transport oxygen and nutrients into the rhizosphere and thereby provide suitable conditions for the prevailing microbes and even enhance microbial growth and diversity.^{5,30} As a consequence of this so-called rhizospheric effect, biological contaminant turnover is improved during times when plants are active.³¹ In addition, elevated temperatures favor overall microbial metabolism.^{32,33}

Contaminant plant uptake via the transpiration stream has to be accounted for as a fate pathway, particularly during times when plant transpiration is high. The water balance of the wetlands revealed transpiration rates of more than 60 L CW⁻¹ d⁻¹ (>10 L m⁻² d⁻¹) during summer 2008, which made up more than 40% of the hydraulic inflow rate. The potential for direct uptake of organic pollutants by plants via the transpiration stream is generally indicated by low to intermediate log $K_{\rm OW}$ values (0.5 - 3),¹⁸ a characteristic that both benzene (log $K_{\rm OW}$ 2.13) and MTBE (log $K_{\rm OW}$ 1.2) possess.^{24,25} The actual amount of contaminant being transported into the plants can be calculated

Table 1. Data Used for the Calculation of Contaminant Mass Balances: Areal Contaminant Load (ACL, mg m⁻² d⁻¹) of Inflow and Outflow Water, Volatilization Rates (L_v , mg m⁻² d⁻¹), Average Contaminant Concentration ($c_{w,average}$, mg L⁻¹), and Evapotranspiration and Transpiration Rates (ET, *T*, *L*, CW⁻¹ d⁻¹) of the Gravel Filter CW and the Plant Root Mat for Summer and Winter Sampling Periods^{*a*}

		gravel f	gravel filter CW		plant r	oot mat
		benzene	MTBE	-	benzene	MTBE
Summer	ACL _{in}	493.1 ± 49.9 ^b	$99.2\pm8.6^{\:b}$	5	$65.9 \pm 57.1^{\ d}$	$104.2\pm8.5^{\rm ~d}$
	ACL _{out}	$51.9\pm7.7^{\ b}$	$42.8\pm5.0^{\:b}$		32.0 ± 5.7^{d}	$47.6\pm5.0^{\rm ~d}$
	c _{w,average}	11.3 ± 0.9^{b}	$3.4\pm0.2^{\:b}$		10.3 ± 0.9^{d}	$2.8\pm0.2^{~d}$
	$L_{\rm v}$	$11.4\pm1.6^{\rm \ c}$	3.5 ± 0.5^{c}		16.4 ± 0.9^{e}	24.6 ± 2.9^{e}
	ET	72.5 ± 7.3^{b}			54.3 ± 8.2^{d}	
	T	$52.8\pm10.0^{\:b}$,			
Winter	ACL _{in}	$604.5\pm53.8^{\it f}$	$92.2\pm7.7^{\it f}$	6	90.3 ± 61.8^{f}	$105.3\pm8.8^{\it f}$
	ACL _{out}	$438.8\pm45.3^{\it f}$	78.1 ± 7.3^{f}	47	78.3 ± 49.6^{f}	$90.8\pm8.3^{\it f}$
	c _{w,average}	21.3 ± 1.3^{f}	$3.5\pm0.2^{\it f}$		19.6 ± 1.2^{f}	3.3 ± 0.2^{f}
	$L_{\rm v}$	0.7 ± 0.3^{g}	0.2 ± 0.04^{8}	3		
	ET	$24.4\pm7.0^{\it f}$			$3.1\pm8.9^{\it f}$	
	Т	7.7 ± 10.0^{f}				

^{*a*} For measured values the error equals the sum of standard error of the mean and measuring fault. For the parameters ACL_{in}, ACL_{out}, *c*_w, ET, and *T* an error analysis was carried according to Gaussian error propagation. Transpiration stream concentrations factors (TSCF) applied for the calculations were 0.59 for benzene and 0.78 for MTBE. ^{*b*} Sampling period: 27/5–1/9/2008. ^{*c*} Sampling period: 10–20/6 and 5–15/8/2008. ^{*d*} Sampling period: 12/5–5/7/2009. ^{*e*} Sampling period: 8–12/6/2009. ^{*f*} Sampling period: 3/2–16/3/2009. ^{*g*} Sampling period: 17–27/2/2009.



Figure 2. Contaminant fate in the planted gravel filter and the plant root mat system for summer and winter period. Processes included are microbial degradation, surface volatilization, phytovolatilization, plant uptake, and accumulation/phytodegradation in the reed.

originated from plant uptake and rhizodegradation in similar shares, namely 26.0 \pm 5.2% and 30.9 \pm 11.3%. As expected, in winter rhizodegradation was considerably lower (11.0 \pm 12.6%) and plant uptake (4.1 \pm 5.4%) almost negligible.

Given the experimental emission data, MTBE was volatilized to a larger fraction than benzene, accounting for the achieved

ARTICLE

figures of 3.5 \pm 0.6% during summer and 0.2 \pm 0.04% in winter. For the unplanted reference system, aqueous contaminant removal was considerably lower compared to both planted systems, total/surface volatilization rates were negligible (Table S2 of the SI), and thus contaminant mass balance calculations yielded low but year-round constant microbial contaminant turnover of between 16 \pm 12% and 23 \pm 13% with respect to inflow load (Figure S3 of the SI).

Mass balance calculation for the gravel filter clearly illustrated seasonality of all three elimination and distribution processes, but it also showed that the percentage of each process was strongly dependent upon the contaminant properties. Summer—winter action is a controversial issue, as some studies confirm this effect and others do not,^{4,9} but commonly it is accepted as a typical CW phenomenon.⁵ Complex interactions have been discussed in detail (see Section 3.1) for the contaminants investigated. The contaminant-specific influence became most evident in the plant uptake process. Given the higher TSCF value for MTBE (0.78) in comparison to benzene (0.59), the uptake of MTBE by the wetland plants was calculated to be higher than the uptake of benzene (in relation to inflow loadings).

The mass balance calculations for the plant root mat were based upon the assumption that phytovolatilization of the plant root mat and the planted gravel filter is equal, since surface area covered by plants is the same and plant number per wetland was comparable and summer ET rates were almost alike, amounting to 13 ± 1.3 L m⁻² d⁻¹ and 10 ± 1.5 L m⁻² d⁻¹ for the gravel filter (summer 2008) and plant root mat (summer 2009), respectively. Thus, the effective contaminant emission from the plant root mat, which was found to be significantly elevated in comparison to the gravel CW (Tab. 1), could be broken down into emission due to phytovolatilization and surface volatilization. For the plant root mat system, the benzene fate behavior during summer was observed to be similar to the conventional CW, resulting in most pronounced removal via microbial degradation ($82.9 \pm 10.4\%$), followed by plant uptake (10.6 \pm 2.1%), phytovolatilization $(2.0 \pm 0.3\%)$ and surface volatilization $(L_{v,surface})$ $(0.9 \pm 0.3\%)$, see Figure 2. Contrary to benzene, the total removal of MTBE was different: equally high percentage shares were caused by plant uptake (20.9 \pm 3.4%) and surface volatilization (20.3 \pm 2.9%), whereas rhizodegradation and phytovolatilization accounted only for 13.2 \pm 10.4% and 3.4 \pm 0.5%, respectively.

Elevated MTBE flux from the plant root mat into the atmosphere might be induced by the wetland characteristics. Uneven root density leaves parts of the wetland interspersed with open water. This and the lack of a vadose zone might have allowed a more unhindered compartment (water—air) transfer via diffusion compared to the gravel filter CW. In turn, benzene volatilization remained low, possibly impeded by the higher microbial degradation potential of benzene relative to MTBE.^{27,28} Given that the plant root mat achieved higher benzene removal via microbial degradation than the conventional gravel filter, it illustrates that direct contact between the atmosphere and water compartment presumably resulted in a better supply of oxygen to the water compartment, and thus favored benzene turnover.^{2,40}

The mass balance (Figure 2) displays fractions of benzene and MTBE that were taken up by the plants of the respective wetland types but were not retrieved in the atmosphere, thus indicating phytodegradation or phytoaccumulation of the contaminants. For benzene, the overall low (<5%) experimental emission rates accompanied with high calculated plant uptake possibly resulted from degradation processes within the reed by endophytic

bacteria. ^{31,41,42} This hypothesis may be confirmed by a study by Mothes et al.¹⁷ investigating the VOC pollutant concentration distribution in *Phragmites australis* plants of our gravel CW. The authors reported a decrease in benzene concentration with plant height, accompanied with low total recovery of benzene (15 mg CW⁻¹) in the wetland plants. Phytodegradation of benzene is commonly accepted.^{9,42} In contrast, for MTBE many studies stated phytovolatilization to be the most relevant process in phytoremediation and that no indication of MTBE metabolism within the plants could be found.^{43–45} However, examinations had not been performed with *Phragmites australis* to date. The level of MTBE detected in the plants of the gravel CW was low (5 mg CW⁻¹) ¹⁷ compared to the calculated amount taken up by the plants but not phytovolatilized during summer, up to ~122 ± 28 mg d⁻¹ CW⁻¹ for the gravel filter wetland and to ~101 ± 20 mg d⁻¹ CW⁻¹ for the plant root mat. Therefore, the results of the present study suggest microbial turnover of MTBE within the wetland plants. Actual potential of MTBE for phytodegradation is outlined by Newman and Arnold,⁴⁶ including studies with alfalfa and hybrid poplars.

The results showed that biodegradation of benzene accounted for the most important removal in the gravel matrix CW and the plant root mat, with significant differences for summer and winter periods. Impacts on the mass balance due to sorption can be regarded as small,⁶ and thus would not significantly change the loss due to rhizodegradation. Generally, for the gravel CW benzene and MTBE emission rates were low (<5%), while in the plant root mat direct contact of aqueous and gaseous phases favored surface volatilization of MTBE, amounting to 20.3 \pm 2.9% of inflow load. Furthermore, high plant uptake of benzene (13.0 \pm 2.9%) and MTBE (26.0 \pm 5.2%) in the gravel CW during summer accompanied with low atmospheric recovery (<5%) gave strong evidence for the degradation of benzene and MTBE by endophytic bacteria either in the rhizosphere or the phyllosphere. For the plant root mat, it has to be pointed out that plant uptake and phytodegradation might be slightly overestimated given that $L_{\rm p}$ was calculated using ET rates instead of real transpiration volumes, thus, considering transpiration rates actual removal via rhizodegradation would be even pronounced.

The mass balance approach depicted in Figure 2 was based upon the assumption of realistic conditions ($L_{v,surface}$ (planted gravel filter) = 0; $L_{v,phyto}$ (planted gravel filter) = $L_{v,phyto}$ (plant root mat)). Since our experimental setup did not allow for the measurement of surface- and phytovolatilization for the planted gravel wetland separate from each other, we cannot be sure whether the assumptions set up were completely valid. Therefore, microbial degradation was calculated for a worst-case and best-case scenario to determine the maximal lower and upper limits of microbial degradation in summer (see Section 2.4) as a fraction of contaminant removal from the water phase (Figure 3). For the gravel CW, the estimated percentages for microbial benzene and MTBE degradation were similar for best-case and worst-case conditions (data not shown). These findings are related to the fact that total emission rates were low in general, and thus the origin of atmospheric contaminant hardly affected the calculation of the value for contaminant loss due to microbial degradation. A similar narrow range for upper and lower limit of microbial rhizodegradation was derived for benzene in the plant root mat (Figure 3). In contrast, for MTBE best-case and worstcase scenario calculations covered a broad range of 18.0-56.5%, and the realistic data-based scenario calculations found microbial

87.8% 38.8% 9.1% 8.1% 11.2% 2.1% .1% 3.1% 1.0% 24.2% 43.5% 18.0% 37.3% MTBE 32.3% 38.5% 5.0% 38.5% 6.2% Plant root mat worst-case scenario best-case scenario data-based scenario Microbial degradation Surface volatilization Phytovolatilization 22 Plant uptake Accumulation, Phytodegradation

Figure 3. Comparison of worst-case and best-case removal scenarios with the data-based scenario: Percentage of contaminant removal processes (microbial degradation, surface volatilization, phytovolatilization, plant uptake, accumulation/phytodegradation in the reed) on overall contaminant loss from the water phase of the plant root mat during the summer period.

degradation amounting only to 24.2% of total MTBE mass removal from water phase.

In order to assess the microbial degradation efficiency of the plant root mat, it was essential to know whether or not and to what extent atmospheric contamination resulted from surfaceand phytovolatilization. This will be a topic of further research.

A comparison of VOC fate found in this work with that of previous mass balance examinations is possible but would prove very challenging, as the system parameters affecting mass balance results, such as inflow loading, plant species (tree, reed), or experimental setup (lab-, field-scale), were different for each study. Hong et al.⁴⁷ performed a lab study with poplars growing in hydroponic solution containing ¹⁴C-MTBE. Mass balancing revealed that the predominant fate pathway was plant uptake and ET from leaves and stems. MTBE removal accounted for 37-67%, whereas around 28% of the total MTBE applied was emitted into the atmosphere. Our work exhibited similarly high MTBE removal efficiencies during summer (\sim 56% for both wetland types), and emission of MTBE from the plant root mat system was in the same range (23.6%). However, atmospheric MTBE flux for the gravel CW was found to be considerably lower (<5%). Burken and Schnoor ³⁵ studied the fate of BTEX compounds in poplars in lab experiments and recovered 18% of the benzene applied in the surrounding atmosphere. High total benzene recovery (94%) accompanied with a minor (<1%) fraction of benzene in the plant material indicated that benzene was transferred into the plants and subsequently phytovolatilized. In contrast, in our work, benzene emission from both wetland types did not exceed 5% and a large fraction of benzene taken up into the plants was not retrieved in the atmosphere. Thus, phytovolatilization did not play a major role in contrast to phytodegradation. James et al.¹⁶ performed a mass balance work under controlled field conditions to investigate the phytoremediation of PCE by poplars and reported 100fold lower atmospheric flux ($<0.1 \,\mu g \, m^{-2} \, h^{-1}$) in comparison to our results (benzene/MTBE emission during

summer: gravel CW = 475 ± 67/146 ± 23 μ g m⁻² h⁻¹, plant root mat = 683 ± 38/1025 ± 122 μ g m⁻² h⁻¹). Conversely to our findings, contaminant loss via transpiration, volatilization, and accumulation in the trees was stated to be of minor relevance compared to overall PCE removal (>99%). Adequate comparison of emission fluxes is highly complicated as inflow concentrations vary between the different studies. Generally, high total hydrocarbon volatilization flux (12 500 μ g m⁻² h⁻¹) was obtained for high inflow concentrations (BTEX: 50 mg L⁻¹),⁷ and low atmospheric flux (benzene: 0.042 μ g m⁻² h⁻¹, MTBE: 0.333 μ g m⁻² h⁻¹) accompanied with low inflow concentrations (<0.0002 mg L⁻¹).¹⁵ However, our results were in the range stated above. Besides, Moore et al. ⁷ identified biodegradation and volatilization as being the most important attenuation processes in a wetland treating hydrocarbons, which is in accordance with our findings.

Explicitly, comprehensive evaluation of benzene and MTBE fate in the tested wetland systems clarified the fraction each of the removal processes contribute to the overall removal, and that microbial degradation plays a major role with minor effects by plant uptake and volatilization. This finding may represent a realistic share of removal processes in general. Therefore, the assessment of CW treatment performance should always be based on a mass balance approach that is comprised of a breakdown of the total removal into the various removal processes taking place in a CW.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Groundwater characteristics, complete mass balance data for the reference system, and contaminant outflow concentrations of all systems. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Phone: +49 341 2351696; fax: +49 341 2352492; e-mail: eva.seeger@ufz.de.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research—UFZ within the scope of the SAFIRA II Research Programme (Revitalization of Contaminated Land and Groundwater at Megasites, subproject "Compartment Transfer—CoTra").

REFERENCES

(1) Kadlec, R. H.; Wallace, S. D. *Treatment Wetlands*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2008.

(2) Faulwetter, J. L.; Gagnon, V.; Sundberg, C.; Chazarenc, F.; Burr, M. D.; Brisson, J.; Camper, A. K.; Stein, O. R. Microbial processes influencing performance of treatment wetlands: A review. *Ecol. Eng.* **2009**, *35*, 987–1004.

(3) Braeckevelt, M.; Mirschel, G.; Wiessner, A.; Rueckert, M.; Reiche, N.; Vogt, C.; Schultze, A.; Paschke, H.; Kuschk, P.; Kaestner, M. Treatment of chlorobenzene-contaminated groundwater in a pilotscale constructed wetland. *Ecol. Eng.* **2008**, *33*, 45–53.

(4) Bedessem, M. E.; Ferro, A. M.; Hiegel, T. Pilot-scale constructed wetlands for petroleum-contaminated groundwater. *Water Environ. Res.* **2007**, 79 (6), 581–586.

(5) Williams, J. B. Phytoremediation on wetland ecosystems: progress, problems, and potential. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* **2002**, *21* (6), 607–635.

(6) Imfeld, G.; Braeckevelt, M.; Kuschk, P.; Richnow, H. H. Monitoring and assessing processes of organic chemicals removal in constructed wetlands. *Chemosphere* **2009**, *74*, 349–362.

(7) Moore, B. J.; Headley, J. V.; Dupont, R. R.; Doucette, W. D.; Armstrong, J. E. Abatement of gas-condensate hydrocarbons in a natural wetland. J. Environ. Sci. Health. Part A **2002**, 37 (4), 425–438.

(8) Gessner, T. P.; Kadlec, R. H.; Reaves, R. P. Wetland remediation of cyanide and hydrocarbons. *Ecol. Eng.* **2005**, *25*, 457–469.

(9) Tang, X. Q.; Eke, P. E.; Scholz, M.; Huang, S. L. Processes impacting on benzene removal in vertical-flow constructed wetlands. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2009**, *100*, 227–234.

(10) Eke, P. E.; Scholz, M. Benzene removal with vertical-flow constructed treatment wetlands. *J. Chem. Technol. Biot.* **2008**, *83*, 55–63.

(11) Machate, T.; Heuermann, E.; Schramm, K.-W.; Kettrup, A. Purification of fuel and nitrate contaminated ground water using a free water surface constructed wetland plant. *J. Environ. Qual.* **1999**, *28*, 1665–1673.

(12) Ferro, A. M.; Kadlec, R. H.; Deschamp, J. Constructed wetland system to treat wastewater at the BP Amoco former Casper refinery: Pilot scale project; The 9th International Petroleum Environmental Conference: Albuquerque, NM, 2002.

(13) Wallace, S.; Kadlec, R. BTEX degradation in cold-climate wetland systems. *Water Sci. Technol.* 2005, 51 (9), 165–171.

(14) Zhang, Q.; Davis, L. C.; Erickson, L. E. An experimental study of phytoremediation of methyl-*tert*-butyl ether (MTBE) in groundwater. *J. Hazard. Subst. Res.* **2000**, *2*, 4–1–4–19.

(15) Keefe, S. H.; Barber, L. B.; Runkel, R. L.; Ryan, J. N. Fate of volatile organic compounds in constructed wastewater treatment wetlands. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2004**, *38*, 2209–2216.

(16) James, C. A.; Xin, G.; Doty, S. L.; Muiznieks, I.; Newman, L.; Strand, S. E. A mass balance study of the phytoremediation of perchloroethylene-contaminated groundwater. *Environ. Pollut.* **2009**, *157*, 2564–2569.

(17) Mothes, F.; Reiche, N.; Fiedler, P.; Moeder, M.; Borsdorf, H. Capability of headspace based sample preparation methods for the determination of methyl *tert*-butyl ether and benzene in reed (*Phragmites australis*) from constructed wetlands. *Chemosphere* **2010**, 80, 396–403.

(18) Pilon-Smits, E. Phytoremediation. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 2005, 56, 15–39.

(19) Reiche, N.; Lorenz, W.; Borsdorf, H. Development and application of dynamic air chambers for measurement of volatilization fluxes of benzene and MTBE from constructed wetlands planted with common reed. *Chemosphere* **2010**, *79*, 162–168.

(20) Jechalke, S.; Vogt, C.; Reiche, N.; Frachini, A. G.; Borsdorf, H.; Neu, T. R.; Richnow, H. H. Aerated treatment pond technology with biofilm promoting mats for the bioremediation of benzene, MTBE and ammonium contaminated groundwater. *Water Res.* **2010**, *44*, 1785–1796.

(21) Quantification of Exposure: Dispersion, Transport, and Fate of Air Toxics in the Atmosphere in Chapter 8 of Air Toxic Risk Assessment Reference Ribrary, Vol. 1, Technical resource manual; EPA-453-K-04-001A; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency: Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards: Research Triangle Park, NC, 2004; www.epa.gov/ttn/fera/data/risk/vol 1/Chapter 08.pdf.

(22) European Risk Assessment Report – tert-butyl methyl ether; Vol. 19, CAS No: 1634–04–4; European Commission – Joint Research Centre: Institute for Health and Consumer Protection, European Chemicals Bureau, 2002; http://ecb.jrc.ec.europa.eu/documents/Existing-Chemicals/RISK_ASSESSMENT/REPORT/mtbereport313.pdf

(23) Dettenmaier, E. M.; Doucette, W. J.; Bugbee, B. Chemical hydrophobicity and uptake by plant roots. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2009, 43, 324–329.

(24) Zogorski, J. S., Murduchowitz, A. M.; Baehr, A. L.; Bauman, B. J.; Conrad, D. L.; Drew, R. T.; Korte, N. E.; Lapham, W. W.; Pankow, J. F.; Washington, E. R. *Fuel Oxygenates and Water Quality* in Chapter 2

ARTICLE

Environmental Science & Technology

of Interagency Assessment of Oxygenated Fuels, 1997; http://www.epa.gov/otaq/regs/fuels/ostp-2.pdf.

(25) Schirmer, M. Das Verhalten des Benzininhaltsstoffes Methyltertiärbutylether (MTBE) in Grundwasser. *Grundwasser* 1999, 3, 95–102.
(26) Dietz, A. C.; Schnoor, J. L. Advances in Phytoremediation.

Environ. Health Perspect. 2001, 109 (Supplement 1), 163–168.(27) Schmidt, T. C.; Schirmer, M.; Weiß, H.; Haderlein, S. B.

Microbial degradation of *tert*-butyl ether and *tert*-butyl alcohol in the subsurface. J. Contam. Hydrol. **2004**, 70, 173–203.

(28) Salanitro, J. P.; Johnson, P. C.; Spinnler, G. E.; Maner, P. M.; Wisniewski, H. L.; Bruce, C. Field-scale demonstration of enhanced MTBE bioremediation through aquifer bioaugmentation and oxygenation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2000**, *34*, 4152–4162.

(29) Deeb, R. A.; Hu, H.-Y.; Hanson, J. R.; Scow, K. M.; Alvarez-Cohen, L. Substrate interactions in BTEX and MTBE mixtures by an MTBE-degrading isolate. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2001**, *35* (2), 312–317.

(30) García, J.; Rousseau, D. P. L.; Morató, J.; Lesage, E.; Matamoros, V.; Byona, J. M. Contaminant removal processes in subsurface-flow constructed wetlands: A review. *Crit. Rev. Env. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *40* (7), 561–661.

(31) Stottmeister, U.; Wießner, A.; Kuschk, P.; Kappelmeyer, U.; Kästner, M.; Bederski, O.; Müller, R. A.; Moormann, H. Effects of plants and microorganisms in constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment. *Biotechnol. Adv.* **2003**, *22*, 93–117.

(32) Atlas, R. M. Microbial degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons: an environmental perspective. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **1981**, 45 (1), 180–209.

(33) Kadlec, R. H.; Reddy, K. R. Temperature effects in treatment wetlands. *Water Environ. Res.* 2001, 73 (5), 543–557.

(34) Schwarzenbach, R. P.; Gschwend, P. M.; Imboden, D. M. Environmental Organic Chemistry; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 2003.

(35) Burken, J. G.; Schnoor, J. L. Predicitve relationships for uptake of organic contaminants by hybrid poplar trees. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1998**, *32*, 3379–3385.

(36) Trapp, S.; Karlson, U. Aspects of phytoremediation of organic pollutants. J. Soils Sed. 2001, 1, 37–42.

(37) Howard, P. H.; Sage, G. W.; Jarvis, W. F.; Gray, D. A. Handbook of Environmental Fate and Exposure Data for Organic Chemicals; Lewis: Chelsea, MI, 1991; Vol. 2.

(38) Mackay, D.; Shiu, W. Y.; Ma, K. C. Monoaromatic Hydrocarbons, Chlorobenzens and PCB. *Illustrated Handbook of Physical-Chemical Properties and Environmental Fate of Organic Chemicals*; Lewis: Chelsea, MI, 1992; Vol. 1.

(39) Mackay, D.; Shiu, W. Y.; Ma, K. C. Volatile Organic Chemicals. Illustrated Handbook of Physical-Chemical Properties and Environmental Fate of Organic Chemicals; Lewis: Chelsea, MI, 1993; Vol. 3.

(40) Coates, D. J.; Chakraborty, R.; McInerney, M. J. Anaerobic benzene biodegradation – a new era. *Res. Microbiol.* 2002, *153*, 621–628.
(41) Collins, C.; Laturnus, F.; Nepovim, A. Remediation of BTEX

and trichloroethene. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2002, 9 (1), 86–94.
 (42) Moore, F. P.; Barac, T.; Borremans, B.; Oeyen, L.; Vangronsveld,

J.; van der Lelie, D.; Campbell, C. D.; Moore, E. R. B. Endophytic bacterial diversity in poplar trees growing on a BTEX-contaminated site: The characterisation of isolates with potential to enhance phytoremediation. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* **2006**, *29*, 539–556.

(43) Trapp, S.; Yu, X.; Mosbaek, H. Persistence of methyl *tertiary* butyl ether (MTBE) against metabolism by Danish vegetation. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2003**, *10* (6), 357–360.

(44) Yu, X.-Z.; Gu, J.-D. Uptake, metabolism, and toxicity of methyl *tert*-butyl ether (MTBE) in weeping willows. *J. Hazard. Mater. Part B* **2006**, *137*, 1417–1423.

(45) Arnold, C. W.; Parfitt, D. G.; Kaltreider, M. Phytovolatilization of oxygenated gasoline-impacted groundwater at an underground storage tank site via conifers. *Int. J. Phytorem.* **2007**, *9*, 53–69.

(46) Newman, L. A.; Arnold, C. W. Phytoremediation of MTBE—A review of the State of Technology. In *MTBE Remediation Handbook*; Moyer, E. E., Kostecki, P. T., Eds.; Amherst Scientific Publishers: Amherst, MA, 2003; pp 279–288.

(47) Hong, M. S.; Farmayan, W. F.; Dortch, I. J.; Chiang, C. Y.; McMillan, S. K.; Schnoor, J. L. Phytoremediation of MTBE from a groundwater plume. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2001**, *35*, 1231–1239.

WATER RESEARCH XXX (2012) I-I2



Performance evaluation of different horizontal subsurface <br the sector of the secto removal and depth-dependent contaminant load

Eva M. Seeger^{a,*}, Uli Maier^b, Peter Grathwohl^b, Peter Kuschk^a, Matthias Kaestner^a

^a Department of Environmental Biotechnology, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ, Permoserstr. 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany

^b Center of Applied Geoscience, University of Tübingen, Hölderlinstr. 12, 72074 Tübingen, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 10 March 2012 Received in revised form 30 October 2012 Accepted 31 October 2012 Available online xxx

Keywords: Tracer test Fluorescein Hydroponic plant root mat Local contaminant loads Benzene MTRE Ammonium removal

ABSTRACT

For several pilot-scale constructed wetlands (CWs: a planted and unplanted gravel filter) and a hydroponic plant root mat (operating at two water levels), used for treating groundwater contaminated with BTEX, the fuel additive MTBE and ammonium, the hydrodynamic behavior was evaluated by means of temporal moment analysis of outlet tracer breakthrough curves (BTCs): hydraulic indices were related to contaminant mass removal. Detailed investigation of flow within the model gravel CWs allowed estimation of local flow rates and contaminant loads within the CWs. Best hydraulics were observed for the planted gravel filter (number of continuously stirred tank reactors N = 11.3, dispersion number = 0.04, Péclet number = 23). The hydroponic plant root mat revealed lower N and pronounced dispersion tendencies, whereby an elevated water table considerably impaired flow characteristics and treatment efficiencies. Highest mass removals were achieved by the plant root mat at low level: 98% (544 mg m $^{-2}$ d^{-1}), 78% (54 mg m $^{-2}$ d^{-1}) and 74% (893 mg $m^{-2} d^{-1}$) for benzene, MTBE and ammonium–nitrogen, respectively. Within the CWs the flow behavior was depth-dependent, with the planting and the position of the outlet tube being key factors resulting in elevated flow rate and contaminant flux immediately below the densely rooted porous media zone in the planted CW, and fast bottom flow in the unplanted reference.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction 1

Constructed wetlands (CWs) have proven to be an efficient ecological technology for the treatment of various kinds of contaminated waters (Williams, 2002; Haberl et al., 2003; Kadlec and Wallace, 2008), including domestic and agricultural wastewater (Konnerup et al., 2009; Vymazal and Kröpfelová, 2009), landfill leachate (Bulc, 2006; Yalcuk and Ugurlu, 2009), industrial effluents (Vymazal, 2009) and groundwater

CW treatment efficiency primarily depends on the contact time between the contaminated water and the filter material, including biota, as longer residence times enhance

contaminated with organic chemicals (Braeckevelt et al., 2008; Seeger et al., 2011a). The use of CWs has been successfully tested in pilot- (Braeckevelt et al., 2011) and field-scale (Ferro et al., 2002; Moore et al., 2002) applications, providing data on overall contaminant removal efficiency on the basis of either concentrations or loads.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +49 341 2351765; fax: +49 341 2351471. E-mail address: eva.seeger@ufz.de (E.M. Seeger).

^{0043-1354/\$ -} see front matter © 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.10.051

40

contaminant turnover (Werner and Kadlec, 1996). Hence, the characterization of hydraulic flow in CWs is crucial for the evaluation and optimization of system designs and performances (Persson et al., 1999). Moreover, detailed knowledge on flow behavior is essential for the validation and improvement of existing models. The hydraulic characterization of CWs is mostly based on tracer experiments, where the analysis of outlet breakthrough curves (BTCs) using the temporal moment method allows the calculation of the CWs' flow characteristic parameters, such as the mean residence time (τ), porosity (θ), variance (σ^2), and dispersion parameters. These system-specific parameters may reveal whether the CW is actually operating with ideal flow, short-circuiting, or dead zones, and allow for a comparison between differently designed and operated CWs (Werner and Kadlec, 1996; García et al., 2004; Holland et al., 2004). Since the connection between hydraulic residence time and treatment efficiency has been recognized, many studies have already evaluated the effects of wetland design parameters (e.g. aspect ratio, inlet/outlet configuration, filter medium size, or plant development) and operational modes on the flow behavior or the removal efficiency (García et al., 2005; Kjellin et al., 2007; Ascuntar Ríos et al., 2009; Su et al., 2009; Hijosa-Valsero et al., 2010). Nevertheless, investigations that simultaneously encompass the hydraulic characterization of different CW systems, the change of hydraulic parameters due to operational mode adaptation, and the respective contaminant removal efficiency are rare (Ascuntar Ríos et al., 2009). Most studies evaluate treatment efficiency based on the black box concept, only focusing on overall removal rates. Some studies additionally provide contaminant concentrations of the pore water. However, loads within the wetland have not been described previously, given that actual flow at the sampling points was unknown

Therefore, the goal of our work was to evaluate the flow characteristics of various pilot-scale horizontal subsurface flow CWs (two conventional wetlands with a gravel filter (planted and unplanted) and a plant root mat system without gravel matrix) and to relate the hydraulic parameters obtained from tracer tests to the achieved treatment efficiencies. For the plant root mat, the effect of low and high water level on both the hydraulic parameters and the pollutant removal was also investigated. Furthermore, local flow rates and local contaminant loads were assessed for the gravel-based CWs in order to identify preferential flow paths and zones with enhanced contaminant flux. The analysis is based upon local tracer BTCs monitored at various sampling points in the porous media.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Constructed wetland design

The study was carried out at the so-called CoTra (Compartment Transfer) research site in Leuna, Germany, where six pilot-scale horizontal subsurface flow (HSSF) CWs were set up during 2007 in order to evaluate the optimized CW design for the remediation of the local groundwater, which is contaminated with benzene (\sim 20 mg L⁻¹), methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) (~3.7 mg L^{-1}) and ammonium (57 mg L^{-1}) (Seeger et al., 2011a,b). All CWs consisted of steel basins (5 m length \times 1.1 m width \times 0.6 m height). Totally, three CWs were studied. CW A and B were filled with gravel (grain size 2-3.2 mm) to a height of 50 cm, with the water level set to 40 cm. System A was planted with common reed (Phragmites australis); system B remained unplanted as a reference. Each wetland was designed with in- and exfiltration zones (0.4 m \times 1.1 m \times 0.6 m) adjacent to the in- and outflow, containing coarse gravel (quartz, grain size 3-8 mm) in order to ensure an even distribution of the inlet stream over the entire wetland cross-section and prevent clogging of the outflow tubes. System C was constructed as a hydroponic plant root mat (P. australis), without a gravel matrix except for the in- and exfiltration zone, and was operated at 15 cm (April 2008-September 2009) and 30 cm (since October 2009) water levels. The hydroponic root mat rested on the bottom of the basin at low water level and floated at elevated water level. The groundwater inflow was supplied from a nearby groundwater well; detailed characteristics are provided in Seeger et al. (2011a). Inflow and outflow connections were installed 5 cm above the bottom of the basins; in- and outflow volumes were regulated by pumps. During the time of the tracer test, the hydraulic loading rate was 12 L h⁻¹ for systems A and B, and 6.9 L h^{-1} for system C independent on its water level. At these loading rates the theoretical residence times are similar for CW A, B and C (at low water level) (see Table 1). This is a prerequisite for comparing the treatment efficiency of the CW systems. Inflow and outflow volumes were quantified by flow meters every 15 min, allowing accurate determination of tracer and contaminant loading rates.

Table 1 – Overview of operational characteristics of the constructed wetland systems (estimated pore volume (V_{sys}), mean inflow rate (Q_{in}), mean flux (Q_{mean}), theoretical hydraulic retention time (nHRT)) and experimental settings for the tracer studies conducted (fluorescein concentration of the tracer solution (c_{tracer}), time interval of tracer injection (Δt), total mass of tracer applied (M_{in})). For the gravel CWs, V_{sys} was calculated using a mean gravel porosity (n = 0.35). For the hydroponic plant root mat system, V_{sys} was derived by means of wetland draining and refilling.

	Grav	rel filter	Hydropo root	nic plant mat
	Planted	Unplanted	Low water level (15 cm)	High water level (30 cm)
V _{sys} [L]	770	770	422	1041
Q_{in} [L d ⁻¹]	287	288	167	166
$\begin{array}{l} Q_{\rm mean} \; [L \; d^{-1}] = \\ (Q_{\rm in} + Q_{\rm out})/2 \end{array}$	254	274	136	155
nHRT [d] = V _{sys} /Q _{mean}	3.05	2.81	3.11	6.72
$c_{tracer} [mg L^{-1}]$	112	112	153	136
∆t [h]	4.12	4.12	3.17	3.45
M _{in} [g]	5.54	5.54	3.34	3.25

2.2. Water sampling and analysis

Inlet and outlet water samples were analyzed every 1-2 weeks. Benzene and MTBE concentrations were determined in duplicates by means of headspace-GC/MS (HP-1 column: 60 m \times 0.25 mm \times 1 $\mu m,$ equilibration 70 °C (60 min), temperature program: 35 °C (6 min), 4 °C/min to 120 °C, 20 °C/ min to 280 °C (5 min)). Ammonium concentrations were measured photometrically (DIN 38406-E5). In addition, in discrete sampling campaigns water samples were collected from the gravel CW filters at 0.9, 2.5, and 4.1 m flow distance, each at 20, 30, and 40 cm depth using permanently installed stainless-steel lances (0.4 cm outer diameter). A detailed description of the sampling and analysis procedure is provided elsewhere (Reiche et al., 2010; Seeger et al., 2011a). Briefly, duplicate water samples were analyzed for VOC concentration; for ammonium, only one water sample per sampling position was analyzed.

2.3. Tracer study

Tracer tests were conducted for the planted and unplanted gravel CWs, and for the plant root mat operating at different water levels (15 cm, 30 cm), by means of a single-shot injection of fluorescein tracer (C₂₀H₁₀O₅Na₂). We choose fluorescein as a tracer since it is (i) detectable at $10^{-3}\ \mu g\ L^{-1}$ level (Goldscheider et al., 2008), thus can be applied at low concentrations which precludes the occurrence of density effects, (ii) toxicologically safe unlike e.g. rhodamine (Behrens et al., 2001), (iii) resistant to biodegradation (Bottrell et al., 2010), (iv) not known to be taken up by plants unlike e.g. bromide (Kung, 1990; Xu et al., 2004), (v) frequently used to trace surface- (EPA, 2003) and subsurface waters, e.g. in aquifers (Chua et al., 2007) or wetlands (Netter, 1994), and (vi) its sorption potential was shown to be low in gravel CWs (Netter, 1994) and for gravel/quartz media (Käss, 1992; Magal et al., 2008) even when compared to rhodamine (Kasnavia et al., 1999). Nevertheless, given that it adsorbs on organic matter (Harden et al., 2003) it cannot be considered a conservative tracer per se. The tracer solution was prepared using the contaminated groundwater and was introduced into the systems via the inflow tubes at the regular CW flow rates in order to preserve prevailing matrix effects and flow behavior. Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of the CW systems and the settings for each tracer study.

Automatic samplers (VWR international; type TP II – 24) were used to collect outflow water samples at short intervals (\geq 1.5 h). In order to determine the flow behavior within the gravel-based CWs, local tracer BTCs were monitored by taking pore water samples at the flow distance and filter depths stated above. To keep the flow disturbance minimal, sample volume V_s per sampling port and pumping rate P_r were set as small as possible (V_s: 20 ml, P_r: 5 ml min⁻¹). Samples were stored at 8 °C in the dark until further analysis. Fluorescein concentrations were determined photometrically (λ = 485 nm) utilizing a spectral photometer (CADAS 50; Dr. Lange, Germany, Düsseldorf) with a detection limit of 0.005 mg L⁻¹. Samples and blanks (inflow groundwater) were filtrated (0.45 µm polyvinylidene fluoride syringe filters, Roth) prior to analysis.

3. Data processing and analysis

3.1. Normalized residence time distribution

Transferring time and concentration to the dimensionless flow-weighted time variable $\Phi = V_{out}(t)/V_{sys}$ and normalized concentration $c'(\Phi) = c(t_i) V_{sys}/M_{out}$, respectively, displays dimensionless BTCs, which allow the direct visual comparison between differently designed and operated CWs (Holland et al., 2004; Wahl et al., 2010). This procedure also accounts for different tracer mass that might have been applied to the CWs (Werner and Kadlec, 1996; Holland et al., 2004; Headley and Kadlec, 2007). Φ equals the number of passed theoretical hydraulic retention times (nHRT), i.e. the system water volumes exchanged. For the gravel CWs, the estimated pore volume V_{sys} was calculated from the wetland surface area (cm²), the water level (cm) and the assumed average gravel porosity (n = 0.35), whereas for the plant root mat V_{sys} was derived by emptying and refilling the system. Mout is the total tracer mass recovered (g).

3.2. Hydraulic characteristics

In the tanks-in-series model, the flow behavior of CWs is conceptually described as a transition between a plug-flow reactor (PFR) and a continuously stirred tank reactor (CSTR), where plug flow (PF) is defined via infinite numbers of CSTRs in series. The number N of CSTRs is necessary in order to generate a residence time distribution similar to that obtained for the CW system is given by the inverse of the dimensionless variance ($\sigma_{\Theta}^2 = \sigma^2/\tau^2$), Eq. (1). In a single CSTR, all particles entering the reactor are immediately and uniformly distributed, and accordingly, the response curve of a tracer impulse equals an exponential decay function under steady state flow conditions (Headley and Kadlec, 2007). In contrast, for infinite tanks in series, the tracer response curve shows a small degree of dispersion and therefore denotes PF. Thus, the N-index represents a measure of PF behavior.

$$N = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\Theta}^2} \tag{1}$$

Given that mixing and dispersion occurs as water flows through the wetland media (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008), flow in CWs may also be evaluated according to the flow in porous media approach. Hence, for a detailed characterization of the flow in treatment wetlands, alternative parameters that display dispersion tendency, such as the dispersion number $(D_n = 1/Pé, dimensionless)$, the longitudinal dispersivity ($\alpha_{\rm L} = D/v$, m), or the Péclet number (Pé = vL/D, dimensionless), should be calculated from the dispersion coefficient (D = $\sigma^2 v^3$ / (2L), m² d⁻¹), where v is the mean flow velocity ($v = L/\tau$, m d⁻¹) and L equals the flow distance (m) (here the length of the CW). Regarding CWs, dispersion is accepted to be low for $D_n < 0.025$ (U.S. EPA, 2000), indicative of PF, intermediate for $D_{\rm n} > 0.025$ (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008), and high for $D_{\rm n} > 0.2$ (U.S. EPA, 2000), indicative of a high degree of mixing. Overall, Pé is low for dispersion/diffusion-dominated and large for advective-dominated systems (Persson and Wittgren, 2003; Albuquerque et al., 2004).

3.3. Flow path integration

ి

$$Q(\mathbf{x}) = q_{\rm in}A_{\rm in} - q_{\rm et}A_{\rm surf} = q_{\rm in}hw - q_{\rm et}\mathbf{x}w$$
(2)

Cancelling the width w, and replacing Q by specific discharge $q = Q/A_{in}$, being related to water flow velocity v and effective porosity θ by $v = q/\theta$, leads to

$$q(\mathbf{x}) = q_{\mathrm{in}} - q_{\mathrm{et}} \frac{\mathbf{x}}{h} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{in}} - \frac{q_{\mathrm{et}} \mathbf{x}}{\theta h} \tag{3}$$

For τ within a single compartment during a time interval of constant flow we obtain the integral:

$$\tau = \int_{0}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{v} = \int_{0}^{x} \frac{\theta}{q_{\mathrm{in}} - q_{\mathrm{et}}x/h} \mathrm{d}x \tag{4}$$

The solution is

$$\tau = -\frac{\theta \cdot \mathbf{h}}{q_{\text{et}}} \cdot \ln\left(1 - \frac{q_{\text{et}}x}{q_{\text{in}}\mathbf{h}}\right), \text{ for } q_{\text{et}} \neq 0 \text{ and } \tau = \frac{\theta \cdot x}{q_{\text{in}}} \text{ if } q_{\text{et}} = 0$$
(5)

This approach of flow path integration is closely related to particle tracking methods such as described by Pollock (1988). It has no dispersive component, and therefore yields a single breakthrough time representing the center of mass arrival time τ . If τ is known, solving for θ yields flow-effective porosity. The expansion of the method to transient flow conditions is described in the Supporting information.

3.4. Evaluation of local BTCs and calculation of local contaminant load

Temporal statistical moments provide a simple and wellestablished approach for analyzing tracer BTCs (Harvey and Gorelick, 1995; Cirpka and Kitanidis, 2000; Ptak et al., 2004; Shook and Forsmann, 2005). Following up this approach Harvey and Gorelick (1995) developed a method to delineate spatial patterns of solute loads in heterogeneous porous media. This concept has been successfully applied by Englert et al. (2009) to estimate contaminant remediation in heterogeneous aquifers. The concept makes use of the facts that (i) zeroth moment of concentration provides a measure of accumulated mass having passed a certain location per unit discharge, and (ii) the first moment delivers the required information about the discharge passing the location. More specifically, first over zeroth moment represents the mean tracer arrival time τ at the location, which can be linked to flow velocity and thus discharge simply by the spatial coordinate x: $q = \theta x/\tau$. Accordingly, mass per discharge times discharge yields accumulated total mass having passed the zone of interest. In this manner local tracer BTCs can be used to estimate mass flux at each transect.

t tott t

$$Load(mg d^{-1}) = c_{x,y} * \theta * v_{x,y} * A = c_{x,y} * Q_A$$
(6)

where $c_{x,y}$ is the contaminant concentration (mg L⁻¹) at the sampling point (x,y), $v_{x,y}$ is the mean flow velocity v (m d⁻¹) at the sampling position (x,y), accessible by the quotient x/t_{break} , where x is the flow distance and t_{break} is the mean tracer breakthrough time at the respective sampling point (x,y) derived from the analysis of the local BTC, A (m⁻²) represents the horizon for which the flow was extrapolated, and Q_A is the water flow (L d⁻¹) through the cross-section A.

4. Results and discussion

The results and discussion part is divided into three sections. The first Section 4.1 addresses the evaluation of the hydraulic flow behavior of the gravel CWs and the hydroponic plant root mat by analyzing outlet tracer BTCs using the temporal moment method. The second part (4.2) is about the relation between the goodness of the hydraulic flow behavior measured by the hydraulic indices and the achieved purification efficiencies. The third paragraph (4.3) presents contaminant loads within the gravel CWs predicted from the temporal moment analysis of local BTCs and the local contaminant concentrations.

4.1. CW-specific hydraulic parameters

Generally, the tracer recovery rate R is used as an indicator for the reliability of the hydraulic parameters calculated from the tracer response curves. For both gravel CWs R reached >80% (Table 2), a threshold stated to be acceptable for the determination of reliable hydraulic indices (Headley and Kadlec, 2007). In contrast, considerably lower recovery rates were observed for the hydroponic plant root mat, which might be an effect of light-induced fluorescein degradation (Käss, 1992) due to the partly open water surface. The contribution of pH-dependent chemical precipitation of the tracer is assumed to be

Table 2 – Results of the tracer tests including the hydraulic parameters obtained by means of temporal moment analysis of the outlet tracer BTCs: tracer recovery (R, %), initial tracer detection (t_s , d), mean residence time (τ , d), variance (σ^2 , d²), dimensionless variance ($\sigma^2 = \sigma^2/\tau^2$, dimensionless), number of continuously stirred tank reactors (N, dimensionless), dispersion coefficient (D = $\sigma^2 v^3/(2x)$, m² d⁻¹), dispersion number ($D_n = D/(vx)$, dimensionless), Péclet number ($P\acute{e} = 1/D_n = v^* x/D$, dimensionless), longitudinal dispersivity ($\alpha_L = D/v$, m), and porosity (θ , dimensionless). Normalized parameter results are given in brackets (calculation based on the expected system water volume).

Parameter	Gravel filter		Hydroponic plant root mat		
			15 cm water level ^b	30 cm water level ^c	
R [%]	80	87	53	67	
t _s [d]	2.3 (0.66)	2.3 (0.75)	1.5 (0.37)	1.7 (0.24)	
τ [d]	4.6 (1.31)	3.8 (1.26)	7.1 (1.73)	11.9 (1.63)	
$\sigma^2 [d^2]$	1.9 (0.15)	3.1 (0.34)	9.4 (0.57)	74.4 (1.39)	
$\sigma_{\theta}^{2}[-]$	0.09	0.22	0.19	0.52	
N [—]	11.3	4.6	5.3	1.9	
$D [m^2 d^{-1}]$	0.24	0.72	0.34	0.55	
D _n [-]	0.04	0.11	0.09	0.26	
Pé [—]	23	9	11	4	
<i>α</i> _L [m]	0.22	0.54	0.47	1.31	
θ [-]	0.46	0.38	1.08	1.10	

a Tracer experiment start: 7/9/2009.

b Tracer experiment start: 3/9/2009.

c Tracer experiment start: 14/10/2009.

negligible, since the pH did not change between in- and outflow (pH: 7.2 \pm 0.1 SD), although the actual pH-gradient at root surfaces and thus local chemical precipitation potential remained unknown. However, even at R ~50% hydraulic parameters were found to be valid (Lin et al., 2003; Dierberg and DeBusk, 2005).

4.1.1. Gravel-based constructed wetlands

Overall, the planting considerably improved the flow behavior in the gravel filter, as indicated by the reduced dispersion tendency and the elevated plug-flow component (see Table 2). The same effect has been reported by Chazarenc et al. (2003) who observed seasonality of Péclet numbers with lower *Pé* during winter/spring (Pé = 7-11) (when plants are in a state of reduced activity) than during summer (Pé = 14-16), and by Persson et al. (1999) who simulated the effect of various vegetation styles and found that the number of CSTRs increased from 5.7 to 15 for the base and the fully vegetated case, respectively. In contrast, Hamouri et al. (2007) found almost identical dispersion for planted (*P. australis*: $D_n = 0.070$, Pé = 14.29; *Arundo donax*: $D_n = 0.069$, Pé = 14.49) and unplanted ($D_n = 0.072$, Pé = 13.89) CWs, but did not further discuss these findings.

The hydraulic flow behavior of the planted gravel filter (see Table 1) proved to be good in comparison to other CWs. On average, HSSF CWs achieve an N-index of 11.0 \pm 1.2 (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008), with N \geq 8 being indicative for close to PF

behavior (Kadlec et al., 2010): a limit exceeded by the present gravel CW. In order to gauge PF behavior, the dimensionless variance - in theory being zero for PF and unity for a wellmixed system - can be interpreted as the percentage deviation from PF (Kadlec, 1994). Accordingly, the planted and unplanted filter would be 9% and 22% of the way from a PFR to a CSTR, respectively. The dispersion indices obtained for our CWs (Table 1) are similar to those observed for several other HSSF wetlands (D: 1.18–1.5 mm² s⁻¹ = 0.1–0.13 m² d⁻¹, α : 62–67 mm for similar-sized CWs (Grismer et al., 2001); $\mathsf{D}_{\mathrm{n}}:$ 0.017-0.025 for laboratory-scale CWs (Araújo et al., 2008), 0.05-0.18 for pilot-scale CWs (García et al., 2004), 0.001-0.767 for field-scale CWs (Kadlec, 1994; Martinez and Wise, 2003)) and indicate a medium dispersion (U.S. EPA, 2000). This interpretation is supported by the Péclet numbers, which were within the range reported for other CWs (Pe = 5-30) (Keefe et al., 2004; Hamouri et al., 2007; Araújo et al., 2008; Ranieri et al., 2011). They were found to be well above the limit at which advection and dispersion processes become significant (Pé > 4) (Grismer et al., 2001), but far below the limit representing PF behavior (Pé > 100) (Hoehn and Roberts, 1982). Hence, both gravel CWs displayed transitional flow behavior between a PFR and a CSTR.

The large deviation between τ and *n*HRT suggests that the actual porosities of both filters were in fact greater than the assumed gravel porosity, and/or that mean residence times appeared longer due to the presence of stagnant zones or tracer sorption (e.g. by microbial biofilms). The mean actual residence time exceeded nHRT by about 52% and 34% for the planted and unplanted filters, respectively: a trend that has been found for various wetlands (García et al., 2004; Headley et al., 2005). For comparison, mean residence times are typically about 0.91 nHRT for HSSF wetlands, given that the available wetland volume is not fully utilized (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008). Sorption of fluorescein onto gravel is negligible (Käss, 1992); thus, for the unplanted filter, zones of stagnant water may have led to the long RTD tailing, which in turn shifted the centroid to longer residence times. In the planted filter, fluorescein is susceptible to sorption on root surfaces and dead plant material. The retardation factor $(R_D = 1 + \rho/n * K_d)$ was calculated according to Käss (1992), where ρ is the bulk density of the gravel/soil matrix (approximated as $\rho = 1.7 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ for quartz and a gravel porosity *n* of 0.35), and $K_{\rm d}$ (=f_{\rm OC} * $K_{\rm OC})$ is the distribution coefficient, with $K_{\rm OC}$ being the organic carbon water distribution coefficient, and f_{OC} being the organic carbon content of the porous media (estimated as 5%). $K_{\rm OC}$ was estimated from the octanol water distribution coefficient (K_{OW} ; log $K_{OW} = -0.39$) (Sabatini and Austin, 1991) by means of the regression $K_{OC} = 0.937 * K_{OW} - 0.006$ (Brown and Flagg, 1981). Calculation yielded a R_D of 1.103, which indicates a rather weak retardation, suggesting that actual porosity in the planted CW was greater than the assumed gravel porosity.

The calculation of effective filter porosities yielded reasonable porosities of 46% and 38% for the planted and unplanted CW, respectively. Briefly, calculations based on Eq. (5) and its extension in the Supporting information, using the mean residence time corrected for sorption processes ($\tau_{corr} = 4.2$ d) for the planted CW, and the mean residence time of the analytical fit of the RTD for the unplanted filter,

according to Van Genuchten and Alves (1982) ($\tau_{\rm Fit} = 3.0$ d) in order to exclude bias introduced by the long tailing (as discussed in Section 4.3). The difference between actual and theoretical gravel porosity (35%) suggests that growing roots loosened the gravel compaction and opened new pore space. In case of an even coarser gravel material the opposite effect should be expected.

4.1.2. Hydroponic plant root mat

The normalized outlet tracer response curves (Fig. 1) of the hydroponic plant root mat show relatively broad peaks for both water levels, with an onset shortly after tracer injection (far earlier than the ideal theoretical retention time) and extension over up to three system volumes, thus revealing a wider spectrum of residence times compared to the planted gravel CW. These observations are also reflected by the increased RTD spread and dispersion tendency (Table 2).

Raising the water table from 15 to 30 cm considerably impaired the flow behavior of the plant root mat, as demonstrated by the N-index decreasing from 5.3 to 1.9 while the various measures of dispersion (σ^2 , σ_{θ}^2 , D, D_n, Pé) increased. Moreover, the water table elevation resulted in an even earlier initial tracer detection and a second tracer peak. Most notably, the RTD at the high level resembled the curve of a CSTR model, with a steep increase accompanied by a long tailing.

The variation in flow properties upon raising the water level may have been due to transformation of the previously resting into a floating root mat system composed of two horizontal compartments: a densely rooted upper zone and a sparsely rooted deeper zone. Thereby, a preferential flow



Fig. 1 – Flow-weighted residence time distribution $C'(\Phi)$: breakthrough curves and tracer mass recovery for the planted gravel CW and the unplanted reference (top) and for the hydroponic plant root mat operated at 15 cm (low) and 30 cm (high) water level (bottom).

path may have been created in the lower zone at high water level, explaining the short initial detection time. The bimodal shape of the RTD, suggesting the presence of preferential flow paths (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008; Wanko et al., 2009) and/or stagnant zones, and the increased variance ($\sigma^2 = 74.4$) and dispersion at high water level, indicate that the two horizons do not act as discrete layers, but rather that water parcels presumably alternate between the deep and shallow zone while passing the CW.

Overall, our results are in accordance with the findings of Holland et al. (2004), who reported a decrease of N from 2.1 to 1.4, a 57% increase in RTD spread, and short-circuiting upon a rise of the water table in a 250-m² CW. However, detailed comparison of the hydraulic characteristics turned out to be challenging, since very little information is available on the flow behavior of floating plant systems. Comparing a floating plant mat to a free water surface (FWS) wetland ignores the fact that in the latter, flow might be affected by wind friction in the case of sparse vegetation (Lloyd et al., 2003; Kadlec and Wallace, 2008). Nevertheless, dispersion tendency and *N*-index of FWS systems ($D_n = 0.36$ (Kadlec, 2000), $N = 4.1 \pm 0.4$ (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008)) were similar to our results, at least concerning the low level.

System porosities, as determined by draining and refilling, amounted to 51% and 63% for the low and high level, respectively. In contrast, the flow path integration yielded effective porosities of ~100% for both water levels. Both approaches are impacted by conceptual errors, given that in the first case the retained water volume is unknown, and in the second case the retardation factor could not be estimated in order to correct τ for sorption, since the root mass was unknown. Assuming the adhered water volume to be about 100 L, θ calculates to 63% and 69% for the low and high level respectively. These values are reasonable, considering that for dense-emergentvegetated FWS CWs, porosity values are stated to be 0.65–0.75 (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008).

4.2. Treatment efficiency vs. hydraulic behavior

The planted gravel filter yielded considerably higher benzene, MTBE and ammonium-nitrogen monthly mass removal efficiencies compared to the unplanted reference, upon both a percentage and a mass-load scale (Table 3). Notably, in October and November, when temperatures declined and plant senescence started, the elimination of ammonium sharply decreased for the planted filter, reflecting the stimulating effect of the plants on nitrogen removal (Lee et al., 2009) and the temperature/seasonal dependency of microbial N transformation (Kadlec, 2009). In contrast, the removal of organic compounds was not yet affected by seasonal change. The unplanted reference bed exhibited low but stable removal during the same time period. The treatment efficiency of the hydroponic plant root mat was excellent for the low water level (September), amounting to 98 \pm 1%, 78 \pm 1% and 74 \pm 1% for benzene, MTBE and ammonium-N, respectively. At the high water level, removal was reduced by 30-44% for all three contaminants. Since seasonal effects can be neglected, at least for the organic pollutants (see Table 3), this trend is probably related to the water table elevation alone. Overall, the CWs can be ranked, regarding absolute contaminant load

nt a b a contaminant removal (mass load and percentage) and evapotranspiration (ET) for the planted gravel filter, the unplanted so contaminant removal (mass load and percentage) and evapotranspiration (ET) for the planted gravel filter, the unplanted so contaminant removal (mass load and percentage) and evapotranspiration (ET) for the planted gravel filter, the unplanted so contaminant removal (mass load and percentage) and evapotranspiration (ET) for the planted gravel filter, the unplanted gravel filter, the unplanted so contaminant removal (mass load and percentage) and the source of the planted gravel filter of the source of the sourc

		Benzene ren	e removal MTBE removal		NH ₄ ⁺ -N removal		ET	
		$[mg m^{-2} d^{-1}]$	[%]	$[mg m^{-2} d^{-1}]$	[%]	$[mg m^{-2} d^{-1}]$	[%]	$[L m^{-2} d^{-1}]$
Planted gravel filter	Sept	512 ± 9	53 ± 1	39 ± 2	33 ± 2	819 ± 27	39 ± 1	14.3 ± 0.7
	Oct	527 ± 29	49 ± 3	26 ± 3	22 ± 2	577 ± 27	27 ± 1	10.3 ± 0.6
	Nov	491 ± 15	45 ± 1	36 ± 3	31 ± 2	444 ± 33	21 ± 2	$\textbf{6.8} \pm \textbf{0.6}$
Unplanted reference	Sept	146 ± 13	15 ± 1	17 ± 3	14 ± 2	279 ± 25	13 ± 1	$\textbf{6.4} \pm \textbf{0.2}$
	Oct	214 ± 29	20 ± 3	19 ± 2	17 ± 2	281 ± 23	13 ± 1	$\textbf{7.1}\pm\textbf{0.3}$
	Nov	321 ± 20	29 ± 2	34 ± 3	29 ± 2	$\textbf{321}\pm\textbf{35}$	15 ± 2	5.6 ± 0.5
Hydroponic plant root mat	Sept	544 ± 3	98 ± 1	54 ± 1	78 ± 1	893 ± 17	74 ± 1	14.0 ± 0.8
	Oct	420 ± 20	68 ± 3	28 ± 2	42 ± 3	378 ± 37	31 ± 3	$\textbf{8.6}\pm\textbf{0.5}$
	Nov	$\textbf{381} \pm \textbf{11}$	60 ± 2	28 ± 2	42 ± 3	359 ± 37	30 ± 3	$\textbf{7.7}\pm\textbf{0.4}$

elimination, as follows: hydroponic plant root mat at low level > planted gravel filter >> hydroponic plant root mat at high level > unplanted gravel filter.

Some recent findings support the observed ranking. The positive effect of low compared to high operational water level on removal efficiency has also been observed for gravel-based SSF CWs concerning chemical oxygen demand (COD), five-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD5) and ammonia (García et al., 2005) as well as ibuprofen (Matamoros et al., 2005). Matamoros et al. (2005) related this fact to more pronounced contact between roots and water in the shallower bed. This might also be the case here, considering that the water table elevation reduced the overall root-water ratio. In this context, Sasser et al. (1991) observed a depth gradient for total nitrogen and phosphorus in a floating marsh, with low concentrations in the mat and high concentrations in the free water below. The elevated treatment potential of the hydroponic plant root mat compared to the gravel CW was previously shown in a long-term performance analysis (Seeger et al., 2011a) and a contaminant mass balance study (Seeger et al., 2011b). Also, the removal of copper and zinc proved to be higher in floating macrophyte systems than for conventional SSF CWs (Tanner and Headley, 2011). Hijosa-Valsero et al. (2010), on the other hand, reported the performance of conventional CWs and soilless systems to be primarily compound-dependent, and the presence of gravel not to be decisive. However, soilless systems such as floating macrophytes revealed good treatment results for wastewaters contaminated with N and P (Nahlik and Mitsch, 2006) as well as veterinary antibiotics (Xian et al., 2010).

Generally, PF is assumed to result in optimum treatment performance (Kadlec, 2000; Headley and Kadlec, 2007; Wahl et al., 2010). The hydraulic indices (Table 1) reflect the deviation from PF, and express the hydraulic performance of the CWs as follows: planted gravel filter > hydroponic plant root mat at low level > unplanted gravel filter > hydroponic plant root mat at high level. Thus, the rankings according to hydraulic performance and removal efficiency did not correlate completely: the CW with the best hydraulic behavior only yielded the second-highest contaminant removal and vice versa. More precisely, although the plant root mat exhibited less ideal flow behavior compared to the planted gravel CW, the plant root mat (at low water level) achieved the highest purification efficiency. According to Alcocer et al. (2012), who found that increasing loading rates resulted in increased dispersion, the planted gravel filter might exhibit even better flow indices in case it would be operated at the lower loading rate of the plant root mat. Even though reducing the loading rate would entail increased τ , which might result in improved removal performance, previous studies showed that the hydroponic plant root mat still achieved higher percentage removal compared to the gravel CW when both systems were run at similar loading rates (Seeger et al., 2011a,b). Hence, our results indicate, that the key factors for high removal efficiency are the physico-chemical conditions in the rhizosphere, the actual water—root contact and a residence time long enough rather than merely a good flow behavior.

4.3. Local flow and contaminant mass loads

The monitoring of the tracer BTCs at specific positions in the gravel filters enabled: (i) the investigation of local water flow variations, and subsequently (ii) the calculation of local contaminant loads.

Fig. 2 depicts the local BTCs for the gravel CWs. Overall, tracer concentration decreased and peaks became broader with longer flow distance, indicating transversal and longitudinal dispersion processes. The uneven vertical distribution of the tracer at longer flow distance implies non-ideal flow with preferential flow paths, and shows that the three sampling depths interacted with each other. Contrary to our findings, Headley et al. (2005) reported tracer arrival time and concentration to be independent of sampling depth. In the unplanted reference, the tracer response peak at 410 cm flow distance was considerably delayed and much broader for the shallow horizon (20 cm depth) compared to the deeper zones. This suggests a zone of stagnant water at this location (in the following termed 410/20). This would also explain the relatively long tailing of the outlet BTC, given that water from all horizons is mixed at the outlet.

Figure S1 shows mean breakthrough times $t_{\rm break}$ of the tracer pulse at the specific sampling positions (d, top) and corresponding local flow rates Q (L d^{-1}, bottom). In summary, for the unplanted filter, velocity (υ = x/t_{\rm break}) and flow rate



WATER RESEARCH XXX (2012) 1-12

increased with filter depth. The gradient was most pronounced at longer flow distances, e.g. the deviation of Q between the shallow and deep horizon amounted to 16 L d^{-1} and 37 L d^{-1} for 250 cm and 410 cm flow distance, respectively. Fast bottom flow has also been observed for a similar-sized planted CW (García et al., 2003). The authors related this fact to the location of the outlet tube at the bottom of the basin. This argument might hold for our CW as well, and we furthermore suggest that this bottom drag might also explain the existence of the zone of stagnant water at the position 410/ 20. In contrast, for the planted CW, flow velocity and flow rate were higher in the middle horizon ($v = 1.35 \text{ m d}^{-1}$, $Q = 68 \text{ L d}^{-1}$) compared to the shallow ($v = 0.95 \text{ m d}^{-1}$, $Q = 48 \text{ L d}^{-1}$) and deep ($v = 1.08 \text{ m d}^{-1}$, Q = 54 L d⁻¹) zones (250 cm flow distance). The increased flow rate might be explained by transpiration-induced water drag. This hypothesis is supported by the depth profile taken from an equivalent CW in summer 2009, which showed that the upper 20 cm of the porous media contained 88% of the total root mass (see Table 4). Therefore, most of the transpired water can be assumed to originate from this region: consequently, effective flow in the upper zone is slowed-down and water from the middle zone might be dragged upwards, entailing increased flow in the middle horizon. Notably, close to the outflow the depth gradient of Q ceased, possibly caused by the interaction of plant-induced upward drag and outflow bottom drag. Although the location of the outlet tube controlled the flow in the unplanted wetland, the differences in the flow patterns of چstatt and a statt a plants and the position of the outlet tube.

 Table 4 – Vertical profile of the root distribution in an HSSF CW similar to system A. The CW contained gravel with 0.1%-wt charcoal as a filter additive and was planted with Phragmites australis. The filter core was taken with a stainless-steel sampling device which was driven into the filter bed using a pneumatic pistol. Gravel compaction may have been affected during the sampling procedure. Therefore, the total core length was divided into 4 equally long sections, considered to represent the four filter depths presented hereafter.

Filter depth [cm]	Gravel [g]	Fine roots ^a [g]	Medium roots ^b [g]	Rhizome [g]
0-10	283	1.04	0.11	2.67
10-20	212	0.52	0.31	2.00
20-30	237	0.16	0.33	0.00
30-40	283	0.11	0.33	0.00

a Fine roots: <1 mm diameter

b Medium roots: >1 mm diameter.



WATER RESEARCH XXX (20I2)I-I2



n 3 – Benzene (top), MTBE (middle) and ammonium-nitrogen (bottom) load within the planted gravel CW (left) and the unplanted reference (right) calculated for the sampling campaign 17/8/2009. Squares denote the sampling positions in the filters. Counter plot computation based on triangulation and linear interpolation.

ॅ<table-cell><list-item><list-item>

5. Conclusion

In the present work we present a detailed evaluation of the hydraulic performance and the load removal efficiency of several HSSF CWs (a planted and unplanted gravel-based CW) and a hydroponic plant root mat system (operated at two water levels) treating gasoline and ammonium contaminated groundwater. We investigated the flow behavior following up the state-of-the-art procedure that comprises the determination of system-specific overall hydraulic indices by analyzing outlet tracer response curves and relating these to the overall contaminant removal efficiency. The analysis of local BTCs (in the filter) reveals vertical variability of flow rate and velocity which allowed for the localization of preferential flow paths as well as stagnant zones and the determination of local contaminant loads within the gravel CWs.

Although conducted at a specific model wetland, the results enabled a complete CW performance analysis and thus the study improves the knowledge on the flow behavior within the CWs in order to overcome the black box concept often applied to CW systems. We also provide implications for improved wetland design and operation. (i) The high contaminant flux in the middle horizon below the densely rooted zone in the planted gravel filter evidenced that water from lower horizons is actively transported into the shallower densely rooted zones, likely induced by plant transpiration.

However, we suggest that CWs should not be designed considerably deeper than the assumed rooting depth, since the zone of influence by transpiration is clearly limited to a certain depth. (ii) The position of the outlet tube at the bottom of the basin affected the flow behavior, resulting in preferential bottom flow and a zone of stagnant water at longest flow distance and shallow filter depth for the in the unplanted reference filter, it appears to be of advantage to install the outflow at shallower depth. (iii) Since the removal efficiency and the hydraulic performance of the hydroponic plant root mat decreased when the system was transformed from a resting (without free water below the roots) into a floating mat, we recommend that hydroponic plant root mats should be operated in a resting mode in order to ensure optimal contaminant-root contact and avoid short would not have been expected from the obtained flow characteristics indicating less ideal flow behavior, presumably a result of increased heterogeneity in root density.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ within the scope of the SAFIRA II Research Programme (Revitalization of Contaminated Land and Groundwater at Megasites, subproject "Compartment

Appendix A. Supplementary data

ћi} Information Informatio Information Information Information Information Information Infor

REFERENCES

- Albuquerque, A., Araújo, A., Sousa, E., 2004. Application of the advection—dispersion equation to characterize the hydrodynamic regime in a submerged packed bed reactor. In: Proceedings of the International Conference on Computational & Experimental Engineering & Science. July 26–29, Madeira, Portugal, pp. 548–553.
- Alcocer, D.J.R., Vallejos, G.G., Champagne, P., 2012. Assessment of the plug flow and dead volume ratios in a sub-surface horizontalflow packed-bed reactor as a representative model of a subsurface horizontal constructed wetland. Ecol. Eng. 40, 18–26.
- Araújo, A., Sousa, E., Albuquerque, A., 2008. Longitudinal dispersion in a horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetland: a numerical solution. Aus. N. Zeal. Indust. Appl. Math. J. 50, 339–353.
- Ascuntar Ríos, D.A., Toro Vélez, A.F., Pena, M.R., Madera Parra, C.A., 2009. Changes of flow patterns in a horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetland treating domestic wastewater in tropical regions. Ecol. Eng. 35, 274–280.
- Behrens, H., Beims, U., Dieter, H., Dietze, G., Eikmann, T., Grummt, T., Hanisch, H., Henseling, H., Käß, W., Kerndorf, H., Leibundgut, C., Müller-Wegener, U., Rönnefahrt, I., Scharenberg, B., Schleyer, R., Schloz, W., Tilkes, F., 2001. Toxicological and ecotoxicological assessment of water tracers. Hydrogeol. J. 9, 321–325.
- Bottrell, S.H., Thronton, S.F., Spence, M.J., Allshorn, S., Spence, K.H., 2010. Assessment of the use of fluoresecent tracers in a contaminated chalk aquifer. Q. J. Eng. Geol. Hydrogeol. 43, 195–206.
- Braeckevelt, M., Mirschel, G., Wiessner, A., Rueckert, M., Reiche, N., Vogt, C., Schultze, A., Paschke, H., Kuschk, P., Kaestner, M., 2008. Treatment of chlorobenzenecontaminated groundwater in a pilot-scale constructed wetland. Ecol. Eng. 33, 45–53.
- Braeckevelt, M., Reiche, N., Trapp, S., Wiessner, A., Paschke, H., Kuschk, P., Kaestner, M., 2011. Chlorobenzene removal efficiencies and removal processes in a pilot-scale constructed wetland treating contaminated groundwater. Ecol. Eng. 37, 903–913.
- Brown, D.S., Flagg, E.W., 1981. Empirical prediction of organic pollutant sorption in natural sediments. J. Environ. Qual. 10 (3), 382–386.
- Bulc, T.G., 2006. Long term performance of a constructed wetland for landfill leachate treatment. Ecol. Eng. 26, 365–374.
- Chazarenc, F., Merlin, G., Gonthier, Y., 2003. Hydrodynamics of horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetlands. Ecol. Eng. 21, 165–173.
- Chua, L.H.C., Robertson, A.P., Yee, W.K., Shuy, E.B., Lo, E.Y.M., Lim, T.T., Tan, S.K., 2007. Use of fluorescein as a ground water tracer in brackish water aquifers. Ground Water 45 (1), 85–88.

- Cirpka, O.A., Kitanidis, P.K., 2000. Characterization of mixing and dilution in heterogeneous aquifers by means of local temporal moments. Water Resour. Res. 36 (5), 1221–1236.
- Dierberg, F.E., DeBusk, T.A., 2005. An evaluation of two tracers in surface-flow wetlands: rhodamine-Wt and lithium. Wetlands 25 (1), 8–25.
- Englert, A., Hubbard, S.S., William, K.H., Li, L., Steefel, C.I., 2009. Feedbacks between hydrological heterogeneity and bioremediation induced biogeochemical transformations. Environ. Sci. Technol. 43, 5197–5204.
- Ferro, A.M., Kadlec, R.H., Deschamp, J., 2002. Constructed wetland system to treat wastewater at the BP Amoco former Casper refinery: pilot scale project. In: The 9th International Petroleum Environmental Conference: Albuquerque (NM), USA.
- García, J., Ojeda, E., Sales, E., Chico, F., Píriz, T., Aguirre, P., Mujeriego, R., 2003. Spatial variations of temperature, redox potential and contaminants in horizontal flow reed beds. Ecol. Eng. 21, 129–142.
- García, J., Chiva, J., Aguirre, P., Álvarez, E., Sierra, J.P., Mujeriego, R., 2004. Hydraulic behaviour of horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetlands with different aspect ratio and granular medium size. Ecol. Eng. 23, 177–187.
- García, J., Aguirre, P., Barragán, J., Mujeriego, R., Matamoros, V., Bayona, J., 2005. Effect of key design parameters on the efficiency of horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetlands. Ecol. Eng. 25, 405–418.
- Goldscheider, N., Meiman, J., Pronk, M., Smart, C., 2008. Tracer tests in karst hydrogeology and speleology. Int. J. Speleol. 37 (1), 27–40.
- Grismer, M.E., Tausendschoen, M., Shepherd, H.L., 2001. Hydraulic characteristics of a subsurface flow constructed wetland for winery effluent treatment. Water Environ. Res. 73 (4), 466–477.
- Haberl, R., Grego, S., Langergraber, G., Kadlec, R.H., Cicalini, A.-R., Dias, S.M., Novais, J.M., Aubert, S., Gerth, A., Thomas, H., Hebner, A., 2003. Constructed wetlands for the treatment of organic pollutants. J. Soils Sediments 3 (2), 109–124.
- Hamouri, B.E., Nazih, J., Lahjouj, J., 2007. Subsurface-horizontal flow constructed wetland for sewage treatment under Maroccan climate conditions. Desalination 215, 153–158.
- Harden, H.S., Chanton, J.P., Rose, J.B., John, D.E., Hooks, M.E., 2003. Comparison of sulfur hexafluoride, fluorescein and rhodamine dyes and the bacteriophage PRD-1 in tracing subsurface flow. J. Hydrol. 277, 100–115.
- Harvey, C.F., Gorelick, S.M., 1995. Temporal moment-generating equations – modeling transport and mass-transfer in heterogeneous aquifers. Water Resour. Res. 31 (8), 1895–1911.
- Headley, T.R., Kadlec, R.H., 2007. Conducting hydraulic tracer studies of constructed wetlands: a practical guide. Ecohydrol. Hydrobiol. 7 (3–4), 269–282.
- Headley, T.R., Herity, E., Davison, L., 2005. Treatment at different depths and vertical mixing within a 1-m deep horizontal subsurface-flow wetland. Ecol. Eng. 25, 567–582.
- Hijosa-Valsero, M., Matamoros, V., Sidrach-Cardona, R., Martín-Villacorta, J., Bécares, E., Bayona, J.M., 2010. Comprehensive assessment of the design configuration of constructed wetlands for the removal of pharmaceuticals and personal care products from urban wastewaters. Water Res. 44, 3669–3678.
- Hoehn, E., Roberts, P.V., 1982. Advection-dispersion interpretation of tracer observations in an aquifer. Ground Water 20 (4), 457–465.
- Holland, J.F., Martin, J.F., Granata, T., Bouchard, V., Quigley, M., Brown, L., 2004. Effects of wetland depth and flow rate on residence time distribution characteristics. Ecol. Eng. 23, 189–203.
- Kadlec, R.H., 1994. Detention and mixing in free water wetlands. Ecol. Eng. 3, 345–380.

Kadlec, R.H., 2000. The inadequacy of first-order treatment wetland models. Ecol. Eng. 15, 105–119.

Kadlec, R.H., 2009. Comparison of free water and horizontal subsurface treatment wetlands. Ecol. Eng. 35, 159–174.

- Kadlec, R.H., Wallace, S.D., 2008. Treatment Wetlands. CRC Press, Boca Raton, USA.
- Kadlec, R.H., Cuvellier, C., Stober, T., 2010. Performance of the Columbia, Missouri, treatment wetland. Ecol. Eng. 36, 672–684.
- Kasnavia, T., Vu, D., Sabatini, D.A., 1999. Fluorescent dye and media properties affecting sorption and tracer selection. Ground Water 37 (3), 376–381.
- Käss, W., 1992. Geohydrologische Markierungstechnik Lehrbuch der Hydrogeologie, Band 9. Gebrüder Borntraeger, Berlin.
- Keefe, S.H., Barber, L.B., Runkel, R.L., Ryan, J.N., McKnight, D.M., Wass, R.D., 2004. Conservative and reactive solute transport in constructed wetlands. Water Resour. Res. 40 (1), 1–12.
- Kjellin, J., Wörman, A., Johansson, H., Lindahl, A., 2007. Controlling factors for water residence time and flow patterns in Ekeby treatment wetland, Sweden. Adv. Water Resour. 30, 838–850.
- Konnerup, D., Koottatep, T., Brix, H., 2009. Treatment of domestic wastewater in tropical, subsurface flow constructed wetlands planted with Canna and Heliconia. Ecol. Eng. 35, 248–257.
- Kung, K.J.-S., 1990. Influence of plant uptake on the performance of bromide tracer. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J 54, 975–979.
- Lee, C., Fletcher, T.D., Sun, G., 2009. Nitrogen removal in constructed wetland systems. Eng. Life Sci. 9 (1), 11–22.

Lin, A.Y.-C., Debroux, J.-F., Cunningham, J.A., Reinhard, M., 2003. Comparison of rhodamine WT and bromide in the determination of hydraulic characteristics of constructed wetlands. Ecol. Eng. 20, 75–88.

- Lloyd, B.J., Vorkas, C.A., Guganesharajah, R.K., 2003. Reducing hydraulic short-circuiting in maturation ponds to maximize pathogen removal using channels and wind breaks. Water Sci. Technol. 48 (2), 153–162.
- Magal, E., Weisbrod, N., Yakirevich, A., Yechieli, Y., 2008. The use of fluorescent dyes as tracers in highly saline groundwater. J. Hydrol. 358, 124–133.
- Martinez, C.J., Wise, W.R., 2003. Analysis of constructed treatment wetland hydraulics with the transient storage model OTIS. Ecol. Eng. 20, 211–222.

Matamoros, V., García, J., Bayona, J.M., 2005. Behaviour of selected pharmaceuticals in subsurface flow constructed wetlands: a pilot-scale study. Environ. Sci. Technol. 39, 5449–5454.

ו

Nahlik, A.M., Mitsch, W.J., 2006. Tropical treatment wetlands dominated by free-floating macrophytes for water quality improvement in Costa Rica. Ecol. Eng. 28, 246–257.

Netter, R., 1994. Flow characteristics of planted soil filters. Water Sci. Technol. 29 (4), 37–44.

- Persson, J., Wittgren, H.B., 2003. How hydrological and hydraulic conditions affect performances of ponds. Ecol. Eng. 21, 259–269.
- Persson, J., Somes, N.L.G., Wong, T.H.F., 1999. Hydraulics efficiency of constructed wetlands and ponds. Water Sci. Technol. 40 (3), 291–300.
- Pollock, D.W., 1988. Semianalytical computation of pathlines for finite-difference models. Ground Water 26 (6), 743–750.
- Ptak, T., Piepenbrink, M., Martac, E., 2004. Tracer tests for the investigation of heterogeneous porous media and stochastic modelling of flow and transport – a review of some recent developments. J. Hydrol. 294, 122–163.
- Ranieri, E., Verlicchi, P., Young, T.M., 2011. Paracetamol removal in subsurface flow constructed wetlands. J. Hydrol. 404, 130–135.

- Reiche, N., Lorenz, W., Borsdorf, H., 2010. Development and application of dynamic air chambers for measurement of volatilization fluxes of benzene and MTBE from constructed wetlands planted with common reed. Chemosphere 79, 162–168.
- Sabatini, D.A., Austin, T.A., 1991. Characteristics of rhodamine WT and fluorescein as adsorbing ground-water tracers. Ground Water 29 (3), 341–349.
- Sasser, C.E., Gosselink, J.G., Shaffer, G.P., 1991. Distribution of nitrogen and phosphorus on a Louisiana freshwater floating marsh. Aquatic Bot. 41, 317–331.

Seeger, E.M., Kuschk, P., Fazekas, H., Grathwohl, P., Kaestner, M., 2011a. Bioremediation of benzene-, MTBE- and ammoniacontaminated groundwater with pilot-scale constructed wetlands. Environ. Pollut. 159, 3769–3776.

- Seeger, E.M., Reiche, N., Kuschk, P., Borsdorf, H., Kaestner, M., 2011b. Performance evaluation using a three compartment mass balance for the removal of volatile organic compounds in pilot scale constructed wetlands. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45, 8467–8474.
- Shook, G.M., Forsmann, J.H., 2005. Tracer Interpretation Using Temporal Moments on a Spreadsheet. Idaho National Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy.
- Su, T.-M., Yang, A.-C., Shih, S.-S., Lee, H.-Y., 2009. Optimal design for hydraulic efficiency performance of free-water-surface constructed wetlands. Ecol. Eng. 35, 1200–1207.
- Tanner, C.C., Headley, T.R., 2011. Components of floating emergent macrophyte treatment wetlands influencing removal of stormwater pollutants. Ecol. Eng. 37, 474–486.
- U.S. EPA, 2000. Constructed Wetlands Treatment of Municipal Wastewaters. EPA/625/R-99/010. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency: Office of Research and Development, National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Cincinnati, OH. http://.epa.gov/ncea.
- U.S. EPA, 2003. Tracer-test Planning using the Efficient Hydrologic Tracer-test Design (EHTD) Program. EPA/600/R-03/034. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency: National Center for Environmental Assessment, Washington, DC. http://.epa.gov/ ncea.
- Van Genuchten, M.T., Alves, W.J., 1982. Analytical Solutions of the One-dimensional Convective—Dispersive Solute Transport Equation. United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service. Technical Bulletin 1661.
- Vymazal, J., 2009. The use of constructed wetlands with horizontal sub-surface flow for various types of wastewater. Ecol. Eng. 35, 1–17.
- Vymazal, J., Kröpfelová, L., 2009. Removal of organics in constructed wetlands with horizontal sub-surface flow: a review of the field experience. Sci. Total Environ. 407, 3911–3922.
- Wahl, M.D., Brown, L.C., Soboyejo, A.O., Martin, J., Dong, B., 2010. Quantifying the hydraulic performance of treatment wetlands using the moment index. Ecol. Eng. 36, 1691–1699.
- Wanko, A., Tapia, G., Mosé, R., Gregoire, C., 2009. Adsoprtion distribution impact on preferential transport within horizontal flow constructed wetland (HFCW). Ecol. Modell. 220, 3341–3352.
- Werner, T.M., Kadlec, R.H., 1996. Application of residence time distributions to stormwater treatment systems. Ecol. Eng. 7, 213–234.
- Williams, J.B., 2002. Phytoremediation on wetland ecosystems: progress, problems, and potential. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 21 (6), 607–635.
- Xian, Q., Hu, L., Chen, H., Chang, Z., Zou, H., 2010. Removal of nutrients and veterinary antibiotics from swine wastewater by a constructed macrophyte floating bed system. J. Environ. Manage. 91, 2657–2661.
- Xu, S., Leri, A.C., Myneni, S.C.B., Jaffe, P.R., 2004. Uptake of bromide by two wetland plats (Typha latifolia L. and Phragmites

australis (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud). Environ. Sci. Technol. 38, 5642–5648.

Yalcuk, A., Ugurlu, A., 2009. Comparison of horizontal and vertical constructed wetlands systems for landfill leachate treatment. Bioresour. Technol. 100, 2521–2526.

Glossary

- A_{in} : area perpendicular to the flow direction [L²] A_{surf}: surface area [L²] $c'(\Phi)$: normalized concentration [-] c_{tracer}: fluorescein concentration of the tracer solution [M L³] $c_{x,y}\!\!:$ contaminant concentration at the sampling position (x,y)[M L³]D: dispersion coefficient $[L^2 t^{-1}]$ D_n : dispersion number [-] f_{OC}: organic carbon content [%] h: water table [L] K_d : solid water distribution coefficient $[L^3 M^{-1}]$ K_{OC}: organic carbon water distribution coefficient [L³ M⁻¹] Kow: octanol water distribution coefficient [-] L: length of the wetland [L] Min: total mass of tracer applied [M] Mout: total tracer mass recovered [M] N: number of continuously stirred tank reactor [-] n: gravel porosity [-] nHRT: theoretical hydraulic retention time [t] Pé: Péclet number [-]
- P_r : pumping rate [L³ t⁻¹] Q: flow rate [L³ t⁻¹] Q_A : flow through the cross-section A [L³ t⁻¹] q_{et} : evapotranspiration rate per surface area [L t⁻¹] q_{in}: inflow specific discharge [L t⁻¹] Q_{in}: mean inflow rate [L³ t⁻¹] Q_{mean} : mean flux $[L^3 t^{-1}]$ Q_{out} : mean outflow rate [L³ t⁻¹] R: tracer recovery [%] R_D: retardation factor [-] t_{break}: tracer breakthrough time [t] t_s: initial tracer detection [t] v: flow velocity [L t⁻¹] $V_{out}(t)$: outflow volume accumulated for the time t [L³ t⁻¹] V_s: sample volume [L³] V_{sys}: estimated pore volume [L³] $v_{x,y}$: flow velocity at the sampling position (x,y) [L t⁻¹] w: width of the wetland [L] x: flow distance [L] Φ : dimensionless flow-weighted time [-] ⊿t: time interval of tracer injection [t] α_L: longitudinal dispersivity [L] θ : porosity [-] ρ : density of the gravel/soil matrix [M L⁻³] σ^2 : variance [t²] σ_{Θ}^{2} : dimensionless variance [-] τ: mean residence time [t] τ_{corr} : mean residence time corrected for sorption processes [t]

 τ_{fit} : mean residence time of the analytical fit of the RTD [t]

5 Summary, conclusion, and future perspectives

The overall aim of this study was to evaluate the treatment performance of differently designed HSSF CWs at the pilot study was to evaluate the treatment performance of differently designed the pilot study was to evaluate the treatment performance of differently designed the pilot study designed the pilot study designed to be treatment to the pilot study designed to be not study designed to be not study and the pilot study and the pilot study are conducted for the treatment effect on the treatment of the pilot study and the pilot study are provided by the pilot study and the pilot study are provided by the pilot study of the pilot study and the pilot study are provided by the pilot study of the pilot study and the pilot study of the pilot study of the pilot study and the pilot study of the pilot stu

5.1 Summary of the results and concluding remarks

In chapter 2, the effectiveness of the gravel filter and the hydroponic plant root mat to remove benzene, MTBE and ammonium from gravel the hydroponic plant root mat to remove benzene, MTBE and ammonium for more root of the hydroponic plant root and the gravel of the hydroponic plant root and the gravel of the sentence of the hydroponic plant root and the gravel of the sentence. And the gravel of the sentence of the hydroponic plant root mat realizing lower outflow concentrations and longer-laked the hydroponic plant root mat decline is (i) gravel of the sentence of the hydroponic plant root mat realizing lower outflow concentrations and longer-laked the hydroponic plant root mat realizing lower outflow concentrations and longer-laked the hydroponic plant root mat realizing hydroponic plant root mat root mat realizing hydroponic plant root mat r

network concentration of 20 ± 3.3 mg L⁻¹, 3.7 ± 0.7 and the planted gravel CW achieved relative concentration decrease during summer (May - Aug. 2008) of about 99 ± 1 % and 81 ± 7 % for benzene, 82 ± 4 % and 17 ± 7 % for MTBE, and 41 ± 7 % and 54 ± 17 % for ammonium-N. Comparison of these results with other wetland studies (HF and VF CWs) emphasizes the excellent treatment performance of the hydroponic plant root mat in particular regarding MTBE, given that concentration decline reported in the literature was less than half of that found in the presented study (e.g. Keefe et al., 2004; Bedessem et al., 2007). For benzene, the results of the present study were in the upper reported range (concentration decline 75-99%; e.g. Wallace et al., 2001; Tang et al., 2009; Rakoczy et al., 2011), whereas **u** wetlands (58%; Vymazal (2005)). Based on the determination of milieu parameters, the filters were shown to be mainly anaerobic, as pointed out by the very low dissolved oxygen content ($<0.5 \ mg \ L^{-1}$), the low redox values of the pore water ($<122 \ mV$) and the observed low levels of nitrate and nitrite (during summer: <1.2 mg L⁻¹). Therefore, oxygen is considered to be one of the limiting factors for contaminant turnover in both wetland types. Concerning the processes contributing to VOC removal, the potential for microbial degradation could be proven for benzene in an aerobic microcosm study by a shift in carbon isotope fractionation (+2.8-4.3%) and elevated MPN counts for benzene degraders in water (up to 7.8×10^3 MPN g^{-1}) and gravel (up to 3.8×10^4 MPN g^{-1}) samples taken from the wetlands in comparison with groundwater samples
 (1.5 × 10² MPN q⁻¹). Summing up, the results of the comparative study show the principle potential of HF CWs for remediating the present contaminated groundwater **a** and chemically polluted water in general, and furthermore point out that charcoal however, is that hydroponic plant root mat systems represent a promising alternative to conventional solid filter matrix HF CWs for treatment of VOC contaminated waters.

The focus of chapter 3 was on the evaluation of actual treatment efficiency of the gravel CW and the hydroponic plant on the evaluation of actual treatment efficiency of the gravel contaminant fate and setting up contaminant mass balances in order to quantify and distinguish the hydroponic plant root mass balances in order to quantify and distinguish between elimination and distribution pathways (volatilization, plant up attement of degraded attemption). The mass balance carried out of the attemption of the compounds between end of VOC in the atmosphere for the mass balance calculation of the calculation of the calculation.



Figure 5.1: Benzene and MTBE summer mass balances for the gravel CW (top) and the hydroponic plant root mat (bottom) derived from the monitoring of influent and effluent VOC load, the determination of VOC volatilization using dynamic air chambers, and the calculation of VOC uptake into the plants based on the transpiration stream concentration factor (see chapter 3).

was performed by N. Reiche. First of all, the VOC load removal assessment confirmed tructure obtained from the performance evaluation based on contaminant i ance, with highest removal efficiencies during summer, and the hydroponic plant root
 mat achieved higher maximum removal (benzene: 99.9 ± 8.5 %, 606 ± 51 mg d⁻¹ m⁻²; calculations generated by the balancing model state that (i) not only the overall load removal from the water phase, but also the degree of mass flux via a particular removal pathway is contaminant dependent, and (ii) the wetland's characteristics have a direct i applied mass) regarding volatilization, plant uptake, and microbial degradation in the ೧ rhizosphere for the gravel CW and the hydroponic plant root mat during summer time. MTBE and the main mass flux for benzene was by microbial contaminant degradation values. During winter the same trend of mass flux was obtained, but the values were lower. By comparison, MTBE mass flux via microbial degradation was relatively poor for both CW types (13.2%, 30.9%). Particular attention should furthermore be paid to the fact that the CW types differed with respect to total volatilization of MTBE, which n was enhanced in the hydroponic plant root mat (23.6%) compared to the gravel CW ೧(3.5%). The outcome of this mass balance evaluation can be summarized as follows: The hydroponic plant root mat achieved good treatment results for benzene, with high mass flux via microbial degradation and low emission flux. However, for MTBE more precisely by surface volatilization presumably resulting from the direct contact between water and atmosphere. Hence, the application potential of the plant root mat strongly depends on the contaminant to be treated, e.g. for VOCs similar to benzene (e.g. volatile but sufficiently fast degradable) it appears to provide a viable and reliable treatment option, whereas for compounds exhibiting high volatility, high water solubility and reduced degradability such as MTBE, emission might arise leading to restricted applicability. In contrast, the gravel CW achieved similar good treatment results for benzene, but volatilization was shown to be negligible (<5%) for both benzene and MTBE. Overall, mass balancing allowed for a conclusive analysis of the reliability of the two CW types, as it enabled estimation of mass flux due to microbial degradation, and the results may serve as a basis for deciding which CW type, a conventional gravel
CW or a hydroponic plant root mat, is appropriate for treatment of a wastewater that contains different VOCs.

Chapter 4 completes the CW performance analysis by providing a detailed characterization of the wetland-specific flow behavior and relating hydraulic indices to contaminant mass removal in order to investigate to what extent the flow behavior influences and predetermines removal efficiency. Investigations were carried out for the planted gravel CW, the unplanted gravel filter as a reference and the hydroponic plant root mat operated at two water levels. Tracer test results evinced best hydraulic behavior for the planted gravel CW ($N = 11.3, D_n = 0.04, Pe = 23$), whereas the plant root mat exhibited pronounced dispersion tendencies and thus less optimal flow even when operated at low water table ($N = 5.3, D_n = 0.09, Pe = 11$). However, highest mass removal for benzene, MTBE and ammonium were achieved by the plant root mat (at low water level). In order to test whether the treatment performance of the plant root mat can still be improved, the resting mat was transformed into a floating system by water table elevation. These changes in operational mode/design impaired both hydraulic flow behavior - as indicated by increased dispersion parameters and the observation of short-circuit flow - and contaminant load removal even though average residence time was increased. The generation of a not yet fully rooted zone below the swimming root mat might have caused this performance decline. Based on the assumption that the water-root/biota contact is essential for contaminant removal, a depth profile of the gravel CW was analysed. Investigations evinced that 80% of the roots were contained in the upper 20 cm of the porous medium. In contrast, the porous media of the resting plant root mat is composed exclusively of roots, hence the plant root mat might provide better water-root/biota contact than the gravel filter at least when operated in a resting mode. Summing up, the aforementioned findings clearly state that high actual water-root contact and root density as well as a long enough residence time - rather than merely a good flow behavior - are decisive factors for high contaminant removal. In order to overcome the black box concept, flow behavior was also investigated within the porous media, exemplarily for the gravel CWs. The analysis of local tracer breakthrough curves allowed the assessment of local variability of flow rate, which could be used for estimation of contaminant loads in the filter and the localization of preferential flow paths and stagnant zones. Summarizing the results, flow in the gravel filter was depth-dependent, with the planting and the position of the effluent tube being key factors. The planting resulted in elevated flow rate and contaminant flux directly underneath the densely rooted porous media zone in the

planted CW, likely induced by transpiration-induced transportation of water from lower into densely rooted shallower horizons. The position of the outlet tube caused fast bottom flow in the unplanted reference. A stagnant zone was only apparent in the unplanted filter, possibly an effect of the fast bottom flow. Overall, investigating CW hydraulics provides implications for improved wetland design and operation, such as (i) CWs should not be designed considerably deeper than the rooting depth, unless anaerobic conditions are desired, (ii) outlet tubes should be installed at shallower depth to prevent fast bottom flow, and (iii) hydroponic plant root mat systems should be operated in a resting mode to realize optimal water-root/biota contact and avoid short-circuit flow.

In order to conclude, the gravel CW and the hydroponic plant root mat achieved good treatment efficiencies for the contaminated groundwater at the Leuna site containing the three main contaminants benzene, MTBE and ammonium, whereas best results were obtained for benzene. However, good treatment performance was seasonally restricted and even during summer time when highest treatment efficiencies were achieved, outflow contaminant concentrations did not comply with the guideline values for drinking water (benzene: 10 $\mu q L^{-1}$, MTBE: 20-40 $\mu q L^{-1}$) or ground/surface waters (ammonium: 0.5 $mg L^{-1}$). Seasonal variations of contaminant concentration decline and load removal outlined in chapter 2 and 3, respectively, underline the importance of assessing annual means to evaluate treatment performance in addition to maximum and minimum removal during summer/winter time. Overall, the resting hydroponic plant root mat proved to be a promising alternative to conventional soil-based CWs, despite the mat exhibited less-ideal flow behavior. According to investigations on investment costs for HSSF CWs the porous media constitutes a high percentage (27-53%) of total costs (Vymazal, 2008). Thus, considerable savings are achievable if plant root mat systems can be applied for the treatment of contaminated waters. However, mass balancing demonstrated the limits of the plant root mat with respect to emission problems, given that considerably elevated emission rates were obtained for MTBE in the hydroponic plant root mat compared to the gravel CW.

Overall, the limiting factor for a successful application of both CW types is the seasonality of the treatment performance. In order to accomplish discharge values throughout the year, the installation of additional treatment subsequent to the CWs for optional use is necessary from a regulatory perspective. In the case of aerobic degradable compounds like benzene and MTBE such a unit could be e.g. an aerobic pond system. Alternatively, for VOCs in general the adsorption on activated carbon filters or the use of stripping facilities may represent viable options for post-treatment. At sites where enough area for complete remediation is available and affordable, the contaminated water is accessible without extensive pumping, e.g. in case of shallow groundwater discharging into flood plains, or surface waters, and the realization of clean-up is not restricted in terms of time, CWs in combination with low cost post-treatment as back-up systems may be an effective treatment alternative to traditional P&T techniques for remediating contaminated waters.

5.2 Outlook and perspective for future research

The experimental results and the concluding remarks presented in the preceding pages underline the potential of CW systems for treating water containing a complex mixture of pollutants. However, some aspects could not be addressed in the present thesis, but should be considered and studied in future work. From a scientific point of view this may be for example:

- (i) the assessment of long-term removal efficiency of the hydroponic plant root mat including the adaptation potential to elevated water level,
- (ii) the measurement of N₂O and N₂ in addition to ammonium, nitrite and nitrate in order to identify and quantify processes involved in N transformation and find out to whether extent low nitrate/nitrite levels are indicative for limited nitrification or effective denitrification and/or plant uptake,
- (iii) the determination of VOC metabolites both in the wetland filter and the helophytes in order to confirm microbial contaminant degradation,
- (iv) the tracking of isotopically (¹³C, ¹⁵N) labeled contaminants to examine microbial transformation and incorporation, plant uptake and accumulation, phytodegradation and water-plant-air transfer, and
- (v) the experimental differentiation of phytovolatilzation and surface volatilization. Relevant for public sanitation systems and application-oriented implementations would furthermore be the evaluation of optimization strategies to promote contaminant removal. In this context investigations should focus on e.g. outflow recirculation, tidal flow mode or the coupling of different CW systems. Testing of different plant species regarding their phytotransformation potential might also be reasonable.

6 Bibliography

- Achten, C., Cheng, S., Straub, K. L., Hofmann, T., 2011. The lack of microbial degradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from coal-rich soils. Environmental Pollution 159 (2), 623–629.
- Ahlgren, S., Baky, A., Bernesson, S., Nordberg, ., Norén, O., Hansson, P.-A., 2008. Ammonium nitrate fertiliser production based on biomass - environmental effects from a life cycle perspective. Bioresource Technology 99 (17), 8034–8041.
- Akratos, C., Tsihrintzis, V., 2007. Effect of temperature, hrt, vegetation and porous media on removal efficiency of pilot-scale horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetlands. Ecological Engineering 29 (2), 173–191.
- Armstrong, J., Armstrong, W., 1990. Light-enhanced convective throughflow increases oxygenation in rhizomes and rhizosphere of *Phragmites australis* (cav.) trin. ex steud. New Phytologist 114 (1), 121–128.
- Armstrong, W., Armstrong, J., Beckett, P., 1990. Measurement and modelling of oxygen release from roots of Phragmites Australis. Pergamon Press, Wiltshire, UK, pp. 41–51.
- Arnold, C., Parfitt, D., Kaltreider, M., 2007. Phytovolatilization of oxygenated gasolineimpacted groundwater at an underground storage tank site via conifers. International Journal of Phytoremediation 9 (1), 53–69.
- Atlas, R., 1981. Microbial degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons: an environmental perspective. Microbiological Reviews 45 (1), 180–209, using Smart Source Parsing Mar.
- ATSDR, 2007. Toxicological profile for benzene. by s. wilbur, s. keith, and o. faroon from atsdr (division of toxicology and environmental medicine, atlanta, ga, usa), and d. wohlers, j. stickney, s. paikoff, g. diamond, and a. quinones-rivera from syracuse research corporation (north syracuse, ny, usa). Tech. rep., Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Public Health Service.
- ATSDR, 2011. Detailed data table for the 2011 priority list of hazardous substances. Tech. rep., Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR).
- Bass, D., Hastings, N., Brown, R., 2000. Performance of air sparging systems: a review of case studies. Journal of Hazardous Materials 72 (2-3), 101–119.

- Bedessem, M., Ferro, A., Hiegel, T., 2007. Pilot-scale constructed wetlands for petroleumcontaminated groundwater. Water Environment Research 79 (6), 581–586, using Smart Source Parsing Jun.
- Boonsaner, M., Borrirukwisitsak, S., Boonsaner, A., 2011. Phytoremediation of btex contaminated soil by canna×generalis. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 74 (6), 1700–1707.
- Botton, S., Parsons, J., 2006. Degradation of btex compounds under iron-reducing conditions in contaminated aquifer microcosms. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 25 (10), 2630–2638, using Smart Source Parsing Oct.
- Bracke, R., Klümpen, C., 2005. Materialien zur altlastensanierung und zum bodenschutz. band 20. leistungsbuch altlasten und flächenentwicklung 2004/2005.
- Bradley, P., Chapelle, F., Landmeyer, J., 2001. Methyl t-butyl ether mineralization in surface-water sediment microcosms under denitrifying conditions. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 67 (4), 1975–1978.
- Braeckevelt, M., Reiche, N., Trapp, S., Wiessner, A., Paschke, H., Kuschk, P., Kaestner, M., 2011a. Chlorobenzene removal efficiencies and removal processes in a pilot-scale constructed wetland treating contaminated groundwater. Ecological Engineering 37 (6), 903–913.
- Braeckevelt, M., Seeger, E. M., Paschke, H., Kuschk, P., Kaestner, M., 2011b. Adaptation of a constructed wetland to simultaneous treatment of monochlorobenzene and perchloroethene. International Journal of Phytoremediation 13 (10), 998–1013.
- Briggs, G. G., Bromilow, R. H., Evans, A. A., 1982. Relationships between lipophilicity and root uptake and translocation of non-ionised chemicals by barley. Pesticide Science 13 (5), 495–504.
- Brix, H., 1990. Gas exchange through the soil-atmosphere interphase and through dead culms of phragmites australis in a constructed reed bed receiving domestic sewage. Water Research 24 (2), 259–266.
- Bulc, T. G., 2006. Long term performance of a constructed wetland for landfill leachate treatment. Ecological Engineering 26 (4), 365–374.
- Burken, J., Schnoor, J., 1998. Predictive relationships for uptake of organic contaminants by hybrid poplar trees. Environmental Science & Technology 32 (21), 3379–3385.
- Burland, S., Edwards, E., 1999. Anaerobic benzene biodegradation linked to nitrate reduction. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 65 (2), 529–533.
- CARACAS, 1998. Risk assessment for contaminated sites in europe. vol. 1 scientific basis.

- Carrera, J., Baeza, J. A., Vicent, T., Lafuente, J., 2003. Biological nitrogen removal of high-strength ammonium industrial wastewater with two-sludge system. Water Research 37 (17), 4211–4221.
- Chakraborty, R., Coates, J., 2004. Anaerobic degradation of monoaromatic hydrocarbons. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 64 (4), 437–446.
- Chakraborty, R., O'Connor, S., Chan, E., Coates, J., 2005. Anaerobic degradation of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene compounds by dechloromonas strain rcb. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 71 (12), 8649–8655.
- Chan, Y., Chong, M., Law, C., Hassell, D., 2009. A review on anaerobic–aerobic treatment of industrial and municipal wastewater. Chemical Engineering Journal 155 (1-2), 1–18.
- Chang, W.-S., Tran, H.-T., Park, D.-H., Zhang, R.-H., Ahn, D.-H., 2009. Ammonium nitrogen removal characteristics of zeolite media in a biological aerated filter (baf) for the treatment of textile wastewater. Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry 15 (4), 524–528.
- Cirpka, O. A., Kitanidis, P. K., 2000. Characterization of mixing and dilution in heterogeneous aquifers by means of local temporal moments. Water Resources Research 36 (5), 1221–1236.
- Coates, J., Chakraborty, R., Lack, J., O'Connor, S., Cole, K., Bender, K., Achenbach, L., 2001. Anaerobic benzene oxidation coupled to nitrate reduction in pure culture by two strains of dechloromonas. Nature 411 (6841), 1039–1043, 10.1038/35082545.
- Coates, J., Chakraborty, R., McInerney, M., 2002. Anaerobic benzene biodegradation a new era. Research in Microbiology 153 (10), 621–628.
- Collins, C., Laturnus, F., Nepovim, A., 2002. Remediation of btex and trichloroethene. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 9 (1), 86–94.
- CRS, 2006. Mtbe in gasoline: Clean air and drinking water issues. A by j.e. mccarthy and m. tiemann. specialist in environmental policy. resources, science, and industry division. Tech. rep., Congressional Research Service (CRS).
- Cunningham, J., Rahme, H., Hopkins, G., Lebron, C., Reinhard, M., 2001. Enhanced in situ bioremediation of btex-contaminated groundwater by combined injection of nitrate and sulfate. Environmental Science & Technology 35 (8), 1663–1670.
- Cupples, A., 2011. The use of nucleic acid based stable isotope probing to identify the microorganisms responsible for anaerobic benzene and toluene biodegradation. Journal of Microbiological Methods 85 (2), 83–91.
- Deeb, R., Chu, K.-H., Shih, T., Linder, S., Suffet, I., Kavanaugh, M., Alvarez-Cohen, L., 2003. Mtbe and other oxygenates: Environmental sources, analysis, occurrence, and treatment. Environmental Engineering Science 20 (5), 433–447, (Mel).

- Deeb, R. A., Scow, K. M., Alvarez-Cohen, L., 2000. Aerobic mtbe biodegradation: an examination of past studies, current challenges and future research directions. Biodegradation 11 (2-3), 171–186, using Smart Source Parsing.
- Dettenmaier, E., Doucette, W., Bugbee, B., 2009. Chemical hydrophobicity and uptake by plant roots. Environmental Science & Technology 43 (2), 324–329.
- Dong, C., Zhu, W., Zhao, Y. Q., Gao, M., 2011. Diurnal fluctuations in root oxygen release rate and dissolved oxygen budget in wetland mesocosm. Desalination 272 (1-3), 254–258.
- Du Laing, G., Van de Moortel, A. M. K., Moors, W., De Grauwe, P., Meers, E., Tack, F. M. G., Verloo, M. G., 2009. Factors affecting metal concentrations in reed plants (phragmites australis) of intertidal marshes in the scheldt estuary. Ecological Engineering 35 (2), 310–318.
- DVGW, 2001. Verordnung zur novellierung der trinkwasserverordnung vom 21. mai 2001. Tech. rep., Deutsche Vereinigung des Gas- und Wasserfaches e.V. (DVGW).
- EC, 1998a. Council directive 98/83/ec of 3 november 1998 on the quality of water intended for human consumption. official journal of the european communities.
- EC, 1998b. Directive 98/70/ec of the european parliament and of the council of 13 october 1998 on relating to the quality of petrol and diesel fuels and amending council directive 93/12/eec. official journal of the european communities.
- EC, 1999. Council directive 1999/13/ec of 11 march 1999 on the limitation of emissions of volatile organic compounds due to the use of organic solvents in certain activities and installations. official journal of the european communities.
- EEA, 2000. Managemant of contaminated sites in western europe. by prokop g., schamann, m., edelgaard, i. Tech. rep., European Environment Agency (EEA).
- Effenberger, M., Weiß, H., Popp, P., Schirmer, M., 2001. Untersuchungen zum benzininhaltstoff methyl-tertiär-butylether (mtbe) in grund- und oberflächenwasser in deutschland. Grundwasser - Zeitschrift der Fachsektion Hydrogeologie 2.
- Eke, P., Scholz, M., 2008. Benzene removal with vertical-flow constructed treatment wetlands. Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology 83 (1), 55–63.
- EPA, U., 1997. Drinking water advisory: Consumer acceptability advice and health effects analysis on methyl tertiary-butyl ether (mtbe). Tech. rep., U.S. Environemntal Protection Agency (US EPA).
- EPA, U., 1998a. Mtbe fact sheet 2: Remediation of mtbe contaminated soil and groundwater. Tech. rep., U.S. Environemntal Protection Agency (US EPA).

- EPA, U., 1998b. Oxygenates in water: Critical information and research needs. by j.m. davis, j. brophy, r. hitzig, f. kremer, m. osinski, j.d. prah, s. schemlling, t.f. speth, r. swank, a.n. tafuri, and c. west. Tech. rep., U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA).
- EPA, U., 1998c. Phytoremediation of tce in groundwater using populus. by j. chappell. Tech. rep., U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA).
- EPA, U., 2004. Quantification of exposure: Dispersion, transport, and fate of air toxics in the atmosphere in chaptor 8 of air toxics risk assessment reference library. by mitchell, k.l., smith, r.l., and murphy, d. Tech. rep.
- EPA, U., 2007. Control of hazardous air pollutants from mobile sources regulatory impact analysis. Tech. rep., U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA).
- EPA, U., 2008. Epa's report on the environment. Tech. rep., U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA).
- EPA, U., 2010. Gasoline composition regulations affecting lust sites. by j.w. weaver, l.r. exum, and l.m. prieto. Tech. rep., U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA).
- EPA, U., May 2012 2012. National priorities list (npl) sites.
- EU, 2002. European union risk assessment report: tert-butyl methyl ether. Tech. rep., European Chemicals Bureau. Institute for Health and Consumer Protection.
- EU, 2008a. Directive 2008/50/ec of the european parliament and of the council of 21 may 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for europe. official journal of the european union.
- EU, 2008b. European union risk assessment report benzene. Tech. rep., Federal Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Notification Unit. german: Bundeansanstalt für Arbeitsschutz und Arbeitsmedizin, Anmeldestelle Chemikaliengesetz (BAuA).
- Farhadian, M., Duchez, D., Vachelard, C., Larroche, C., 2008a. Monoaromatics removal from polluted water through bioreactors - a review. Water Research 42 (6-7), 1325– 1341.
- Farhadian, M., Vachelard, C., Duchez, D., Larroche, C., 2008b. In situ bioremediation of monoaromatic pollutants in groundwater: A review. Bioresource Technology 99 (13), 5296–5308.
- Faulwetter, J., Gagnon, V., Sundberg, C., Chazarenc, F., Burr, M., Brisson, J., Camper, A., Stein, O., 2009. Microbial processes influencing performance of treatment wetlands: A review. Ecological Engineering 35 (6), 987–1004.
- Fayolle, F., François, A., Garnier, L., Godefroy, D., Mathis, H., Piveteau, P., Monot, F., 2003. Limitations in mtbe biodegradation. Oil & Gas Science and Technology -Rev. IFP 58 (4), 497–504.

- Fayolle, F., Vandecasteele, J. P., Monot, F., 2001. Microbial degradation and fate in the environment of methyl tert-butyl ether and related fuel oxygenates. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 56 (3-4), 339–349, using Smart Source Parsing Aug.
- Ferro, A., Kadlec, R., Deschamp, J., 2002. Constructed wetland system to treat wastewater at the bp amoco former casper refinery: Pilot scale project.
- Fischer, A., Theuerkorn, K., Stelzer, N., Gehre, M., Thullner, M., Richnow, H., 2007. Applicability of stable isotope fractionation analysis for the characterization of benzene biodegradation in a btex-contaminated aquifer. Environmental Science & Technology 41 (10), 3689–3696.
- Fountoulakis, M. S., Terzakis, S., Kalogerakis, N., Manios, T., 2009. Removal of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and linear alkylbenzene sulfonates from domestic wastewater in pilot constructed wetlands and a gravel filter. Ecological Engineering 35 (12), 1702–1709.
- Frauenstein, 2009. Current state and future prospects of remedial soil protection. Tech. rep., Federal Environment Agency (Umweltbundesamt).
- Frink, C., Waggoner, P., Ausubel, J., 1999. Nitrogen fertilizer: retrospect and prospect. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 96 (4), 1175–1180, using Smart Source Parsing Feb 16.
- Fux, C., Boehler, M., Huber, P., Brunner, I., Siegrist, H., 2002. Biological treatment of ammonium-rich wastewater by partial nitritation and subsequent anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) in a pilot plant. Journal of Biotechnology 99 (3), 295–306.
- Garnier, P., Auria, R., Augur, C., Revah, S., 1999. Cometabolic biodegradation of methyl t-butyl ether by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* grown on pentane. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 51 (4), 498–503.
- Gomez-Hermosillo, C., Pardue, J. H., Reible, D. D., 2006. Wetland plant uptake of desorption-resistant organic compounds from sediments. Environmental Science & Technology 40 (10), 3229–3236.
- Gusmão, V., Martins, T., Chinalia, F., Sakamoto, I., Thiemann, O., Varesche, M., 2006. Btex and ethanol removal in horizontal-flow anaerobic immobilized biomass reactor, under denitrifying condition. Process Biochemistry 41 (6), 1391–1400.
- Haberl, R., Grego, S., Langergraber, G., Kadlec, R., Cicalini, A.-R., Dias, S., Novais, J., Aubert, S., Gerth, A., Thomas, H., Hebner, A., 2003. Constructed wetlands for the treatment of organic pollutants. Journal of Soils and Sediments 3 (2), 109–124.
- Haritash, A. K., Kaushik, C. P., 2009. Biodegradation aspects of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (pahs): A review. Journal of Hazardous Materials 169 (1-3), 1–15.

- Harpke, B., Müller, H., Endriszewitz, M., Winter-Pelliccioni, M., Hasselwander, E., 2006. Mtbe-sanierung am standort leuna. TerraTech 5, 13–16.
- Hendrickx, B., Junca, H., Vosahlova, J., Lindner, A., Ruegg, I., Bucheli-Witschel, M., Faber, F., Egli, T., Mau, M., Schlomann, M., Brennerova, M., Brenner, V., Pieper, D., Top, E., Dejonghe, W., Bastiaens, L., Springael, D., 2006. Alternative primer sets for pcr detection of genotypes involved in bacterial aerobic btex degradation: distribution of the genes in btex degrading isolates and in subsurface soils of a btex contaminated industrial site. Journal of Microbiological Methods 64 (2), 250–265, using Smart Source Parsing Feb; Epub 2005 Jun 8.
- Herrmann, S., Kleinsteuber, S., Chatzinotas, A., Kuppardt, S., Lueders, T., Richnow, H., Vogt, C., 2010. Functional characterization of an anaerobic benzene-degrading enrichment culture by dna stable isotope probing. Environmental Microbiology 12 (2), 401–411, using Smart Source Parsing Feb; Epub 2009 Oct 16.
- Holland, J. F., Martin, J. F., Granata, T., Bouchard, V., Quigley, M., Brown, L., 2004. Effects of wetland depth and flow rate on residence time distribution characteristics. Ecological Engineering 23 (3), 189–203.
- Hong, M., Farmayan, W., Dortch, I., Chiang, C., McMillan, S., Schnoor, J., 2001. Phytoremediation of mtbe from a groundwater plume. Environmental Science & Technology 35 (6), 1231–1239.
- Howard, P., 1990. Handbook of Environmetal Fate and Exposure Data for Organic Chemicals, Volume 2, second edition Edition. CRS Press, Taylor&Francis Group, Chelseas, MI, USA.
- Hunkeler, D., Andersen, N., Aravena, R., Bernasconi, S., Butler, B., 2001. Hydrogen and carbon isotope fractionation during aerobic biodegradation of benzene. Environmental Science & Technology 35 (17), 3462–3467.
- IARC, 1999a. Iarc monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans. volume 29: Some industrial chemicals and dyestuffs - summary of data reported and evaluation. Tech. rep., World Health Organization (WHO) International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC).
- IARC, 1999b. Iarc monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans. volume 73: Some chemicals that cause tumours of the kidney or urinary bladder in rodents and some other substances. Tech. rep., World Health Organization (WHO) International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC).
- IFA, 2000. Mineral fertilizer use and the environment.by k.f. isherwood. Tech. rep., International Fertilizer Industry Association (IFA). Paris. France. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). Division of Technology, Industry and Economics. Paris. France.

Imfeld, G., Braeckevelt, M., Kuschk, P., Richnow, H., 2009. Monitoring and assessing processes of organic chemicals removal in constructed wetlands. Chemosphere 74 (3), 349–362.

Index, M., 2001.

- Jahn, M., Haderlein, S., Meckenstock, R., 2005. Anaerobic degradation of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and o-xylene in sediment-free iron-reducing enrichment cultures. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 71 (6), 3355–3358.
- James, C., Xin, G., Doty, S., Muiznieks, I., Newman, L., Strand, S., 2009. A mass balance study of the phytoremediation of perchloroethylene-contaminated groundwater. Environmental Pollution 157 (8-9), 2564–2569.
- Jean, J.-S., Lee, M.-K., Wang, S.-M., Chattopadhyay, P., Maity, J., 2008. Effects of inorganic nutrient levels on the biodegradation of benzene, toluene, and xylene (btx) by pseudomonas spp. in a laboratory porous media sand aquifer model. Bioresource Technology 99 (16), 7807–7815.
- Jensen, F., 2003. Nitrite disrupts multiple physiological functions in aquatic animals. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology 135 (1), 9–24, using Smart Source Parsing May.
- Johnson, R., Pankow, J., Bender, D., Price, C., Zogorski, J., 2000. Peer reviewed: Mtbe - to what extent will past releases contaminate community water supply wells? Environmental Science & Technology 34 (9), 210A–217A.
- Kadlec, R., Tanner, C., Hally, V., Gibbs, M., 2005. Nitrogen spiraling in subsurface-flow constructed wetlands: Implications for treatment response. Ecological Engineering 25 (4), 365–381.
- Kadlec, R., Wallace, S., 2008. Treatment Wetlands, second edition Edition. CRS Press, Taylor&Francis Group, Boca Raton, USA.
- Karickhoff, S. W., 1981. Semi-empirical estimation of sorption of hydrophobic pollutants on natural sediments and soils. Chemosphere 10 (8), 833–846.
- Kassenga, G. R., Pardue, J. H., Blair, S., Ferraro, T., 2003. Treatment of chlorinated volatile organic compounds in upflow wetland mesocosms. Ecological Engineering 19 (5), 305–323.
- Kazumi, J., Caldwell, M., Suflita, J., Lovley, D., Young, L., 1997. Anaerobic degradation of benzene in diverse anoxic environments. Environmental Science & Technology 31 (3), 813–818.
- Keefe, S., Barber, L., Runkel, R., Ryan, J., 2004. Fate of volatile organic compounds in constructed wastewater treatment wetlands. Environmental Science & Technology 38 (7), 2209–2216.

- Kleinsteuber, S., Schleinitz, K., Vogt, C., 2012. Key players and team play: anaerobic microbial communities in hydrocarbon-contaminated aquifers. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 94 (4), 851–873.
- Koenigsberg, S., Sandefur, C., 1999. The use of oxygen release compound for the accelerated bioremediation of aerobically degradable contaminants: The advent of time-release electron acceptors. Remediation Journal 10 (1), 3–29.
- Kolb, A., Püttmann, W., 2006. Methyl tert-butyl ether (mtbe) in finished drinking water in germany. Environmental Pollution 140 (2), 294–303.
- Konnerup, D., Koottatep, T., Brix, H., 2009. Treatment of domestic wastewater in tropical, subsurface flow constructed wetlands planted with canna and heliconia. Ecological Engineering 35 (2), 248–257.
- Kuschk, P., Wießner, A., Kappelmeyer, U., Weißbrodt, E., Kästner, M., Stottmeister, U., 2003. Annual cycle of nitrogen removal by a pilot-scale subsurface horizontal flow in a constructed wetland under moderate climate. Water Research 37 (17), 4236–4242.
- LABO, 2011. Bundesweite kennzahlen zur altlastenstatistik. Tech. rep., Bund/Länder-Arbeitsgemeinschaft Bodenschutz (LABO) Ständiger Ausschuss Altlasten (ALA).
- LAF, 2009. Von industriebrachen zu attraktiven wirtschaftsstandorten. Tech. rep., Landesanstalt für Altlastenfreistellung des Landes Sachen-Anhalt (LAF).
- LAF, 2012. Landesanstalt für altlastenfreistellung (laf) sachsen-anhalt Ögp leuna.
- Lai, W.-L., Zhang, Y., Chen, Z.-H., 2012. Radial oxygen loss, photosynthesis, and nutrient removal of 35 wetland plants. Ecological Engineering 39, 24–30.
- Langergraber, G., Leroch, K., Pressl, A., Sleytr, K., Rohrhofer, R., Haberl, R., 2009. High-rate nitrogen removal in a two-stage subsurface vertical flow constructed wetland. Desalination 246 (1-3), 55–68.
- LAWA, 2004. Ableitung von geringfügigkeitsschwellenwerten für das grundwasser. by m. altmayer, t. bach, h.h dieter, k. häfner, w. leuchs, b. moll, g. siegert, k.t. von der trenck, e. six, k-m. wollin, r. altenburger, and t. hauck. Tech. rep., Länderarbeitsgemeinschaft Wasser (LAWA).
- Lee, C., Fletcher, T., Sun, G., 2009a. Nitrogen removal in constructed wetland systems. Engineering in Life Sciences 9 (1), 11–22.
- Lee, S., Pardue, J. H., Moe, W. M., Kim, D. J., 2009b. Effect of sorption and desorptionresistance on biodegradation of chlorobenzene in two wetland soils. Journal of Hazardous Materials 161 (1), 492–498.
- Lee, S., Pardue, J. H., Moe, W. M., Valsaraj, K. T., 2003. Mineralization of desorptionresistant 1,4-dichlorobenzene in wetland soils. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 22 (10), 2312–22, using Smart Source Parsing Oct.

- Liou, J., Derito, C., Madsen, E., 2008. Field-based and laboratory stable isotope probing surveys of the identities of both aerobic and anaerobic benzene-metabolizing microorganisms in freshwater sediment. Environmental Microbiology 10 (8), 1964– 1977, using Smart Source Parsing Aug; Epub 2008 Apr 21.
- Liu, C., Speitel, G., Georgiou, G., 2001. Kinetics of methyl t-butyl ether cometabolism at low concentrations by pure cultures of butane-degrading bacteria. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 67 (5), 2197–2201.
- Liu, J.-G., Li, G.-H., Shao, W.-C., Xu, J.-K., Wang, D.-K., 2010. Variations in uptake and translocation of copper, chromium and nickel among nineteen wetland plant species. Pedosphere 20 (1), 96–103.
- Lopes Ferreira, N., Maciel, H., Mathis, H., Monot, F., Fayolle-Guichard, F., Greer, C., 2006. Isolation and characterization of a new mycobacterium austroafricanum strain, ifp 2015, growing on mtbe. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 70 (3), 358–365, using Smart Source Parsing Apr; Epub 2005 Jul 19.
- Lovley, D., 2000. Anaerobic benzene degradation. Biodegradation 11 (2-3), 107–116, using Smart Source Parsing.
- Lovley, D., Woodward, J., Chapelle, F., 1994. Stimulated anoxic biodegradation of aromatic hydrocarbons using fe(iii) ligands. Nature 370 (6485), 128–131, using Smart Source Parsing Jul 14.
- Luo, J., Cirpka, O. A., Kitanidis, P. K., 2006. Temporal-moment matching for truncated breakthrough curves for step or step-pulse injection. Advances in Water Resources 29 (9), 1306–1313.
- Ma, X., Burken, J. G., 2003. Tce diffusion to the atmosphere in phytoremediation applications. Environmental Science & Technology 37 (11), 2534–2539.
- Mackay, D., Shiu, W., Ma, K., 1992. Illustrated handbook of physical-chemical properties and environmental fate of organic chemicals. Vol. Vol. 1: Monoaromatic hydrocarbons, chlorobenzens and PCB. Lewis Publisher, Chelsea, MI, USA.
- Mackay, D., Shiu, W., Ma, K., 1993. Illustrated handbook of physical-chemical properties and environmental fate of organic chemicals. Vol. Vol. 3: Volatile organic chemicals. Lewis Publisher, Chelsea, MI, USA.
- Maltais-Landry, G., Maranger, R., Brisson, J., Chazarenc, F., 2009. Nitrogen transformations and retention in planted and artificially aerated constructed wetlands. Water Research 43 (2), 535–545.
- Martienssen, M., Fabritius, H., Kukla, S., Balcke, G., Hasselwander, E., Schirmer, M., 2006. Determination of naturally occurring mtbe biodegradation by analysing metabolites and biodegradation by-products. Journal of Contaminant Hydrology 87 (1-2), 37–53.

- Marttinen, S., Kettunen, R., Sormunen, K., Soimasuo, R., Rintala, J., 2002. Screening of physical-chemical methods for removal of organic material, nitrogen and toxicity from low strength landfill leachates. Chemosphere 46 (6), 851–858.
- Masumoto, H., Kurisu, F., Kasuga, I., Tourlousse, D., Furumai, H., 2012. Complete mineralization of benzene by a methanogenic enrichment culture and effect of putative metabolites on the degradation. Chemosphere 86 (8), 822–828.
- Matamoros, V., Bayona, J. M., 2006. Elimination of pharmaceuticals and personal care products in subsurface flow constructed wetlands. Environmental Science & Technology 40 (18), 5811–5816.
- Meckenstock, R., Mouttaki, H., 2011. Anaerobic degradation of non-substituted aromatic hydrocarbons. Current Opinion in Biotechnology 22 (3), 406–414.
- Moore, B., Headley, J., Dupont, R., Doucette, W., Armstrong, J., 2002. Abatement of gas-condensate hydrocarbons in a natural wetland. Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A Toxic/Hazardous Substances and Environmental Engineering 37 (4), 425–438, using Smart Source Parsing.
- Mormile, M., Liu, S., Suflita, J., 1994. Anaerobic biodegradation of gasoline oxygenates: Extrapolation of information to multiple sites and redox conditions. Environmental Science & Technology 28 (9), 1727–1732.
- Mothes, F., Reiche, N., Fiedler, P., Moeder, M., Borsdorf, H., 2010. Capability of headspace based sample preparation methods for the determination of methyl tertbutyl ether and benzene in reed (phragmites australis) from constructed wetlands. Chemosphere 80 (4), 396–403.
- Nam, K., Chung, N., Alexander, M., 1998. Relationship between organic matter content of soil and the sequestration of phenanthrene. Environmental Science & Technology 32 (23), 3785–3788.
- Narayanan, M., Davis, L., Erickson, L., 1995a. Fate of volatile chlorinated organic compounds in a laboratory chamber with alfalfa plants. Environmental Science & Technology 29 (9), 2437–2444.
- Narayanan, M., Davis, L., Tracy, J., Erickson, L., Green, R., 1995b. Experimental and modeling studies of the fate of organic contaminants in the presence of alfalfa plants. Journal of Hazardous Materials 41 (2-3), 229–249.
- Niemes, H., Schirmer, M., 2010. Entropy, Water and Resources: An Essay in natural sciences-consistent economics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg.
- OECD, 2004. Ammonium sulfate. Tech. rep., Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).

- Pardue, J. H., 2005. Development of treatment wetland technology for voc-contaminated groundwater. Tech. rep., Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering Louisiana State University.
- Pardue, J. H., Masscheleyn, P. H., DeLaune, R. D., Patrick, W. H., Adrian, D. D., 1993. Assimilation of hydrophobic chlorinated organics in freshwater wetlands: sorption and sediment-water exchange. Environmental Science & Technology 27 (5), 875–882.
- Paredes, D., Kuschk, P., Mbwette, T., Stange, F., Müller, R., Köser, H., 2007. New aspects of microbial nitrogen transformations in the context of wastewater treatment a review. Engineering in Life Sciences 7 (1), 13–25.
- Phelps, C., Kazumi, J., Young, L., 1996. Anaerobic degradation of benzene in btx mixtures dependent on sulfate reduction. FEMS Microbiology Letters 145 (3), 433– 437.
- Pilon-Smits, E., 2005. Phytoremediation. Annual Review of Plant Biology 56, 15–39.
- Pivetz, B., 2001. Ground water issue: Phytoremediation of contaminated soil and ground water at hazardous waste sites. pdf EPA/540/S-01/500, "February 2001." Includes bibliographical references (p. 17-22).
- Porteous Moore, F., Barac, T., Borremans, B., Oeyen, L., Vangronsveld, J., van der Lelie, D., Campbell, C., Moore, E., 2006. Endophytic bacterial diversity in poplar trees growing on a btex-contaminated site: The characterisation of isolates with potential to enhance phytoremediation. Systematic and Applied Microbiology 29 (7), 539–556.
- Rakoczy, J., Remy, B., Vogt, C., Richnow, H., 2011. A bench-scale constructed wetland as a model to characterize benzene biodegradation processes in freshwater wetlands. Environmental Science & Technology 45 (23), 10036–10044.
- Reddy, K., Kosgi, S., Zhou, J., 1995. A review of in-situ air sparging for the remediation of voc-contaminated saturated soils and groundwater. Hazardous Waste and Hazardous Materials 12 (2), 97–118.
- Reiche, N., 2010. Volatilisierung von flüchtigen organischen verbindungen bei der phytoremediation industriell belasteter grundwässer. Ph.D. thesis.
- Reinhardt, M., Müller, B., Gächter, R., Wehrli, B., 2006. Nitrogen removal in a small constructed wetland: An isotope mass balance approach. Environmental Science & Technology 40 (10), 3313–3319.
- Renou, S., Givaudan, J., Poulain, S., Dirassouyan, F., Moulin, P., 2008. Landfill leachate treatment: Review and opportunity. Journal of Hazardous Materials 150 (3), 468–493.
- RPA, 2000. Socio-economic impacts of the identification of priority hazardous substances under the water framework directive. by c. corden. Tech. rep., Risk & Policy Analysts (RPA).

- Ruegner, H., Henzler, R., Bittens, M., Weiß, H., Leven, C., Finkel, M., 2007. Sagira ii revitalisierungskonzepte für großskalige boden- und grundwasserverunreinigungen. Altlasten Spektrum 1, 1–6.
- Salanitro, J., Johnson, P., Spinnler, G., Maner, P., Wisniewski, H., Bruce, C., 2000. Field-scale demonstration of enhanced mtbe bioremediation through aquifer bioaugmentation and oxygenation. Environmental Science & Technology 34 (19), 4152–4162.
- Schirmer, M., 1999. Das verhalten des benzininhaltsstoffes methyltertià ¤rbutylether (mtbe) in grundwasser. Grundwasser 4 (3), 95–102.
- Schirmer, M., Butler, B., Church, C., Barker, J., Nadarajah, N., 2003. Laboratory evidence of mtbe biodegradation in borden aquifer material. Journal of Contaminant Hydrology 60 (3-4), 229–249.
- Schirmer, M., Lyon, K., Armstrong, J. E., Farrell, K. N., 2012. A socio-ecological adaptive approach to contaminated mega-site management: From 'control and correct' to 'coping with change'. Journal of Contaminant Hydrology 127 (1-4), 101–109.
- Schmidt, T., Schirmer, M., Weiß, H., Haderlein, S., 2004. Microbial degradation of methyl tert-butyl ether and tert-butyl alcohol in the subsurface. Journal of Contaminant Hydrology 70 (3-4), 173–203.
- Schwarzenbach, R., Gschwend, P., Imboden, D., 2003. Environmental Organic Chemistry. Wiley-Interscience.
- Shone, M., Wood, A., 1972. Factors affecting absorption and translocation of simazine by barley. Journal of Experimental Botany 23 (1), 141–151.
- Soares, A., Albergaria, J., Domingues, V., Alvim-Ferraz, M., Delerue-Matos, C., 2010. Remediation of soils combining soil vapor extraction and bioremediation: Benzene. Chemosphere 80 (8), 823–828.
- Somsamak, P., Richnow, H., Häggblom, M., 2006. Carbon isotope fractionation during anaerobic degradation of methyl tert-butyl ether under sulfate-reducing and methanogenic conditions. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 72 (2), 1157– 1163.
- Squillace, P., Moran, M., Lapham, W., Price, C., Clawges, R., Zogorski, J., 1999. Volatile organic compounds in untreated ambient groundwater of the united states, 1985-1995. Environmental Science & Technology 33 (23), 4176–4187.
- Squillace, P. J., Scott, J. C., Moran, M. J., Nolan, B. T., Kolpin, D. W., 2002. Vocs, pesticides, nitrate, and their mixtures in groundwater used for drinking water in the united states. Environmental Science & Technology 36 (9), 1923–1930.
- Staudinger, J., Roberts, P. V., 2001. A critical compilation of henry's law constant temperature dependence relations for organic compounds in dilute aqueous solutions. Chemosphere 44 (4), 561–576.

- Steffan, R., McClay, K., Vainberg, S., Condee, C., Zhang, D., 1997. Biodegradation of the gasoline oxygenates methyl tert-butyl ether, ethyl tert-butyl ether, and tert-amyl methyl ether by propane-oxidizing bacteria. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 63 (11), 4216–22.
- Stottmeister, U., Wießner, A., Kuschk, P., Kappelmeyer, U., Kästner, M., Bederski, O., Müller, R. A., Moormann, H., 2003. Effects of plants and microorganisms in constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment. Biotechnology Advances 22 (1-2), 93–117.
- Sundberg, C., Tonderski, K., Lindgren, P. E., 2007. Potential nitrification and denitrification and the corresponding composition of the bacterial communities in a compact constructed wetland treating landfill leachates. Water Science and Technology 56 (3), 159–166, using Smart Source Parsing.
- Tang, X., Eke, P., Scholz, M., Huang, S., 2009. Processes impacting on benzene removal in vertical-flow constructed wetlands. Bioresource Technology 100 (1), 227–234.
- Tani, F., Barrington, S., 2005. Zinc and copper uptake by plants under two transpiration rates. part i. wheat (triticum aestivum l.). Environmental Pollution 138 (3), 538–547.
- Tanner, C., Headley, T., 2011. Components of floating emergent macrophyte treatment wetlands influencing removal of stormwater pollutants. Ecological Engineering 37 (3), 474–486.
- Tanner, C., Kadlec, R., Gibbs, M., Sukias, J., Nguyen, M., 2002. Nitrogen processing gradients in subsurface-flow treatment wetlands – influence of wastewater characteristics. Ecological Engineering 18 (4), 499–520.
- Tanner, C., Sukias, J., Headley, T., Yates, C., Stott, R., 2012. Constructed wetlands and denitrifying bioreactors for on-site and decentralised wastewater treatment: Comparison of five alternative configurations. Ecological Engineering 42 (0), 112–123.
- Thornton, S., Bottrell, S., Spence, K., Pickup, R., Spence, M., Shah, N., Mallinson, H., Richnow, H., 2011. Assessment of mtbe biodegradation in contaminated groundwater using 13c and 14c analysis: Field and laboratory microcosm studies. Applied Geochemistry 26 (5), 828–837.
- Trapp, S., Karlson, U., 2001. Aspects of phytoremediation of organic pollutants. Journal of Soils and Sediments 1 (1), 37–43.
- Trapp, S., Yu, X., Mosbaek, H., 2003. Persistence of methyl tertiary butyl ether (mtbe) against metabolism by danish vegetation. Environmental Science and Pollution Research International 10 (6), 357–360, using Smart Source Parsing.
- Travis, C., Doty, C., 1990. Es&t views: Can contaminated aquifers at superfund sites be remediated? Environmental Science & Technology 24 (10), 1464–1466.

- Tunçsiper, B., 2009. Nitrogen removal in a combined vertical and horizontal subsurfaceflow constructed wetland system. Desalination 247 (1–3), 466 – 475.
- Tyroller, L., Rousseau, D., Santa, S., García, J., 2010. Application of the gas tracer method for measuring oxygen transfer rates in subsurface flow constructed wetlands. Water Research 44 (14), 4217–4225.
- UBA, 2002. Implementation guide for the german solvent ordinance (31. bimschv). by u. hackmack and b. mahrwald. Tech. rep., Federal Environmental Agency, german: Umweltbundesamt.
- UBA, 2003. Inventarisierung von grundwasserschäden und deren beurteilung in großprojekten "Ökologische altlasten" der neuen bundesländer. by j. großmann, j. drangmeister, f. nitschke, and a. willand.
- UBA, 2006. Emissionsminderung für prioritäre und prioritäre gefährliche stoffe der wasserrahmenrichtlinie - stoffdatenblätter. by t. hillenbrand, f. marscheider-weidmann, and m. strauch from frauenhofer-institut für systems- und innovationsforschung (karlsruhe, germany), k. heitmann from institut für Ökologie and politik (hamburg, germany), and d. schaffrin from institut für internationale und europäische umweltpolitik (berlin, germany). Tech. rep., Federal Environment Agency, german: Umweltbundesamt (UBA).
- UNEP, 1998. Mineral fertilizer production and the environment: Part 1. the fertilizer industry's manufacturing processes and environmental issues. Tech. rep., United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Industry and Environment, Paris, France. United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), Vienna International Centre, Vienna, Austria. International Fertilizer Industry Association (IFA), Paris, France.
- USGS, 2006. The quality of our nation's waters volatile organic compounds in the nation's ground water and drinking-water supply wells. by j.s. zogorski, j.m. carter, t. ivahnenko, w.w. lapham, m.j. moran, b.l. rowe, p.j. squillace, and p.l. toccalino. Tech. Rep. ISBN 1-411-30836-0, U.S. Geological Survey.
- van Agteren, M., Keuning, S., Janssen, D., 1998. Handbook on biodegradation and biological treatment of hazardous organic compounds. Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- van Epps, A., 2006. Phytoremediation of petroleum hydrocarbons. Tech. rep., Environmental Careers Organization for U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation.
- VCI, 2011. Chemiewirtschaft in zahlen 2011. Tech. rep., Verband der chemischen Industrie e.V.

- Vogt, C., Godeke, S., Treutler, H., Weiss, H., Schirmer, M., Richnow, H., 2007. Benzene oxidation under sulfate-reducing conditions in columns simulating in situ conditions. Biodegradation 18 (5), 625–636, using Smart Source Parsing Oct; Epub 2006 Dec 9.
- Volpe, A., Del Moro, G., Rossetti, S., Tandoi, V., Lopez, A., 2009. Enhanced bioremediation of methyl tert-butyl ether (mtbe) by microbial consortia obtained from contaminated aquifer material. Chemosphere 75 (2), 149–155.
- Vymazal, J., 2005. Horizontal sub-surface flow and hybrid constructed wetlands systems for wastewater treatment. Ecological Engineering 25 (5), 478–490.
- Vymazal, J., 2007. Removal of nutrients in various types of constructed wetlands. Science of The Total Environment 380 (1-3), 48–65.
- Vymazal, J., 2011. Constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment: Five decades of experience. Environmental Science & Technology 45 (1), 61–69.
- Vymazal, J., Kröpfelová, L., 2008. Wastewater Treatment in Constructed Wetlands with Horizontal Sub-Surface Flow. Vol. 14 of Environmental Pollution. Springer Netherlands.
- Vymazal, J., Masa, M., 2003. Horizontal sub-surface flow constructed wetland with pulsing water level. Water Science and Technology 48 (5), 143–148, using Smart Source Parsing.
- Wallace, L., 1996. Environmental exposure to benzene: an update. Environmental Health Perspectives 104 (6), 1129–1136, using Smart Source Parsing Dec;104 Suppl.
- Wallace, S., 2001. On-site remediation of petroleum contact wastes using subsurface flow wetlands. In: Second International Conference on Wetlands and Remediation.
- Weiner, J., Lovley, D., 1998. Rapid benzene degradation in methanogenic sediments from a petroleum-contaminated aquifer. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 64 (5), 1937–1939.
- Werner, T., Kadlec, R., 1996. Application of residence time distributions to stormwater treatment systems. Ecological Engineering 7 (3), 213–234.
- Werner, T. M., Kadlec, R. H., 2000. Wetland residence time distribution modeling. Ecological Engineering 15 (1-2), 77–90.
- WHO, 2003a. Benzene in drinking water background document for development of who guidelines for drinking-water quality. Tech. rep., World Health Organization (WHO).
- WHO, 2003b. Tetrachloroethene in drinking-water background document for development of who guidelines for drinking-water quality. Tech. rep., World Health Organization (WHO).

- WHO, 2004a. Appendix 1: Potential sources and uses of chemicals considered in the who guidelines for drinking-water quality. Tech. rep., World Health Organization (WHO).
- WHO, 2004b. Concise international chemical assessment document 60 chlorobenzenes other than hexachlorobenezne: Environmental aspects. frist draft. by h.m. malcom, p.d. howe, and s. dobson, centre for ecology and hydrology, monks wood, united kingdom. Tech. rep., World Health Organization.
- WHO, 2005. Methyl tertiary-butyl ether (mtbe) in drinking-water: Background document for development of who guidelines for drinking-water quality. Tech. rep., World Health Organization (WHO).
- WHO, 2011. Guidelines for drinking-water quality. Tech. rep., World Health Organization (WHO).
- Widiastuti, N., Wu, H., Ang, H., Zhang, D., 2011. Removal of ammonium from greywater using natural zeolite. Desalination 277 (1-3), 15–23.
- Wießner, A., Kappelmeyer, U., Kuschk, P., Kästner, M., 2005. Influence of the redox condition dynamics on the removal efficiency of a laboratory-scale constructed wetland. Water Research 39 (1), 248–256.
- Williams, J., 2002. Phytoremediation in wetland ecosystems: Progress, problems, and potential. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences 21 (6), 607–635.
- Wirthensohn, T., Schoeberl, P., Ghosh, U., Fuchs, W., 2009. Pilot plant experiences using physical and biological treatment steps for the remediation of groundwater from a former mgp site. Journal of Hazardous Materials 163 (1), 43–52.
- Wissing, F., Hofmann, K., 2002. Wasserreinigung mit Pflanzen, 2nd Edition. Verlag: Eugen Ulmer, Stuttgart, Germany.
- Wu, M.-Y., Eldon, H., Chen, S., 2001. Oxygen fluxes and ammonia removal efficiencies in constructed treatment wetlands. Water Environment Research 73 (6), 661–666.
- Yalcuk, A., Ugurlu, A., 2009. Comparison of horizontal and vertical constructed wetland systems for landfill leachate treatment. Bioresource Technology 100 (9), 2521–2526.
- Yu, C., Warrick, A. W., Conklin, M. H., 1999. A moment method for analyzing breakthrough curves of step inputs. Water Resources Research 35 (11), 3567–3572.
- Zanardini, E., Pisoni, C., Ranalli, G., Zucchi, M., Sorlini, C., 2002. Methyl tert-butyl ether (mtbe) bioremediation studies. Annals of microbiology 52 (3), 207–221.
- Zein, M., Suidan, M., Venosa, A., 2004. Mtbe biodegradation in a gravity flow, highbiomass retaining bioreactor. Environmental Science & Technology 38 (12), 3449–3456.

- Zein, M., Suidan, M., Venosa, A., 2006. Bioremediation of groundwater contaminated with gasoline hydrocarbons and oxygenates using a membrane-based reactor. Environmental Science & Technology 40 (6), 1997–2003.
- Zhang, Q., Davis, L., Erickson, L., 2000. An experimental study of phytoremediation of methyl tert-butyl ether (mtbe) in groundwater. Journal of Hazardous Substance Research 2, 4–1–4–19.
- Zhang, T., Ding, L., Ren, H., Xiong, X., 2009. Ammonium nitrogen removal from coking wastewater by chemical precipitation recycle technology. Water Research 43 (20), 5209–5215.
- Zhu, T., Sikora, F., 1995. Ammonium and nitrate removal in vegetated and unvegetated gravel bed microcosm wetlands. Water Science and Technology 32 (3), 219–228.
- Zogorski, J., Murduchowitz, A., Baehr, A., Bauman, B., Conrad, D., Drew, R., Korte, N., Lapham, W., Pankow, J., Washington, E., 1997. Chapter 2: Fuel oxygenates and water quality.

List of Figures

Chapter	PAGE	TITEL (SHORT VERSION)
1	5	Contaminant fate in a CW: microbial degradation in the rhizosphere, sorption, plant uptake, phytotransformation, phytovolatilization, and surface volatilization.
	11	Location of the industrial site "Leuna" and the experimental field site CoTra.
2	25	Seasonality of benzene, MTBE and ammonia-N concentra- tion in the outflow of the planted gravel CW, the unplanted gravel filter and the plant root mat.
	26	Benzene and MTBE concentration in the planted gravel CW and the plant root mat depending on flow distance and filter depth during summer 2008.
	27	Seasonality of redox-relevant species, nitrate and Fe(II), in the outflow of the planted gravel.
	27	Benzene, MTBE and ammonia-N concentration in the out- flow of the planted gravel CWs depending on the filter material additive: no additive, activated carbon additive, Fe(III) additive.
	28	Seasonality of Fe(II) concentration in the outflow of the planted CW with gravel filter matrix and the planted CW with gravel/Fe(III) filter.
	28	Microcosm experiment performed with gravel and roots from the planted and unplanted gravel CW. Mean ben- zene concentration and respective isotopic signature of each microcosm.
3	34	Correlation of areal benzene and MTBE inflow and outflow water load (ACL _{in/out}) for the planted gravel filter and the plant root mat system with evapotranspiration (ET) values and ambient air temperature T_{air} .
	35	Contaminant fate in the planted gravel filter and the plant root mat system for summer and winter period. Processes included: microbial degradation, surface volatilization, phy- tovolatilization, plant uptake, and accumulation / phy- todegradation in the reed.

Chapter	PAGE	TITEL (SHORT VERSION)
3	36	Percentage of contaminant removal processes (microbial degradation, surface volatilization, phytovolatilization, plant uptake, accumulation / phytodegradation in the reed) on overall contaminant loss from the water phase of the plant root mat during the summer period. Comparison of worst-case, best-case and data-based removal scenarios.
4	44	Flow-weighted residence time distribution $C'(\Phi)$: break- through curves and tracer mass recovery for the planted gravel CW, the unplanted reference and the hydroponic plant root mat operated at 15 cm (low) and 30 cm (high) water level.
	46	Fluorescein concentration in the planted gravel CW and the unplanted reference depending on the flow distance and filter depth.
	47	Benzene, MTBE and ammonium-nitrogen load within the planted gravel CW and the unplanted reference calculated for the sampling campaign $17/8/2009$.
5	53	Benzene and MTBE summer mass balances for the gravel CW and the hydroponic plant root mat.
Appendix A.1	III	Correlation of a real benzene and MTBE inflow and outflow water load (ACL _{in/out}) for the unplanted reference system with evaporation (E) values and ambient air temperature T_{air} .
	IV	Benzene and MTBE inflow and outflow concentrations for the planted gravel filter, the plant root mat and the un- planted reference system.
	V	Contaminant fate in the unplanted reference system for summer and winter period. Processes included: microbial degradation and surface volatilization.
Appendix A.2	VII	Tracer breakthrough times threak and respective flow rates Q at the pore water sampling positions in the planted gravel CW and the unplanted efference.
	VIII	Benzene, MTBE, and ammonium-nitrogen concentrations at the pore water sampling positions in the planted gravel CW and the unplanted reference for the sampling campaign 17/8/2009.

List of Tables

Chapter	PAGE	TITEL (SHORT VERSION)
1	14	Physical, chemical and biological characteristics and limit values for the organic model compounds benzene and MTBE.
2	24	Groundwater inflow characteristics: main contaminants, nutrients, redox-relevant compounds, redox parameters, parameters describing wetland carbon speciation.
	24	Constructed wetland characteristics: filter composition, in- flow rate and water level.
	28	Most probable numbers of total aerobic bacteria, benzene- degrading bacteria and MTBE-degrading bacteria in pore water and extracted cell solution of gravel.
3	35	Data used for the calculation of contaminant mass balances of the gravel filter CW and the plant root mat during summer and winter sampling periods.
4	40	Overview of operational characteristics of the planted and unplanted gravel filter and the hydroponic plant root mat operated at high and low water level and the experimental settings for the tracer studies conducted.
	43	Hydraulic parameters obtained from the analysis of the outlet tracer BTCs: tracer recovery, initial tracer detec- tion, mean residence time, variance, dimensionless variance, number of continuously stirred tank reactors, dispersion coefficient, dispersion number, Péclet number, longitudinal dispersivity, and porosity.
	45	Contaminant removal (mass load and percentage) and evap- otranspiration (ET) for the planted gravel filter, the un- planted reference and the hydroponic plant root mat, for September, October and November 2009.
	46	Vertical profile of the root distribution in the planted HSSF CW containing 0.1%-wt charcoal as a filter additive.

Chapter	PAGE	TITEL (SHORT VERSION)
Appendix A.1	Ι	Physico-chemical characteristics of the groundwater inflow: nutrients, redox-relevant compounds, redox parameters, parameters describing wetland carbon speciation.
	II	Data used for the calculation of contaminant mass balance of the unplanted reference system during summer and winter sampling periods.

Acknowledgments

This dissertation has been conducted at the Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ in the department of Environmental Biotechnology and would not have been possible without the support, guidance and help of many people who in one way or another contributed to the preparation and completion of this doctoral thesis.

First and foremost I would like to thank my supervisors Prof. Dr. Matthias Kästner and Dr. Peter Kuschk for giving me the opportunity to do my PhD in the field of waste water treatment as well as for providing valuable guidance and scientific advice. I am especially grateful to Peter for his constant support concerning practical things and motivation.

I am also very grateful to Prof. Dr. Peter Grathwohl who supervised my thesis on behalf of the faculty of Applied Geosciences of the University of Tübingen.

Many thanks go to my UFZ colleagues, especially the ones from the Environmental Biotechnology Department. There was always a friendly and good atmosphere, e.g. during the numerous "coffe&cake sessions". In particular, I would like to convey thanks to Ines Mäusezahl, Kerstin Ethner, Kerstin Puschendorf, and Claudia Pietsch for their organizational support in the labs, to Uwe Kappelmayer, Paula Martinez and Marcel Nikolausz for answering microbiology questions, and to Ursula Günther for assisting me with the isotope measurements.

I very much acknowledge the help of all the students, interns, apprentices, research assistants and ABM workers, who participated with interest and enthusiasm in the field sampling campaigns - even though the weather was not always appropriate to spend a whole day or even a night outside in the field. My thanks go to: Madlen Schröter, Helga Fazekas, Roland Schröder, Alexander Soran Al-Dahoodi, Robert Wickert, Ines Mäusezahl, Zhongbing Chen, Shubiao Wu, Paul Weißbach, Daniel Preußger, Mikael Nader Mroueh, Alia Marrakchi, Erika Fischer, Stefanie Dotzauer, Mandy Kirsten, Macarena Ona Burgos, Marcel Lamkewitz, Janneth Cubillos, Diana Hincapié, Juliana Valencia Quintero. In addition, I thank very much Francesca Löper, the engineer of the CoTra plant, for her assistance in the field and the many nice drives to and back from the plant.

I also would like to take the opportunity to thank Dr. Uli Maier for providing insights into the field of modeling, the fruitful discussions and his contribution regarding the evaluation of the tracer data. Also, many thanks go to Dr. Nils Reiche for the collaboration concerning the measurement of VOC emission and the great discussions when it came to writing publications.

Many, many thanks go to my friends Esther Cyrus, Nils Reiche, Martin Seidel, Janet Riedl, Jana Rakoczy, Axel Schmidt and Iris Adam. You were close to me even when I was far away. Thank you for being there! My special thanks go to Mareike Braeckevelt and Anna Schwarzer who were always by my side as my best friends, supporting me during all the phases of my PhD, celebrating the good things and hugging me when things went wrong. For the willingness for discussions regarding the thesis I want to express my gratitude to Mareike, Nils and Axel. Also, thanks to Florian and Nils for leading me through the world of LATEX. Thanks to my flat mates Atz, Charlotte, Rike and Tobi for their companionship and in particular the relaxing cooking sessions.

Finally, I wish to express my love and gratitude to my family. You always supported, encouraged and believed in me during my studies and PhD time. Without you I would not have been able to keep on doing what I did. Thank you, Sylvia and Werner, for understanding and helping to solve my doubts; Henning, for never stopping to ask how things precede; Wibke, for telling me not to be so serious and that there are other things apart from work; and Svenja for teaching me to not skip breaks. Also, I would like to thank my grandmas who always wanted to see me handing in my thesis – I am sad that you passed away before.

Appendix

A.1 Supporting Information EST-paper

Table A.1: Physico-chemical groundwater inflow characteristics including sum parameters total organic carbon (TOC), chemical oxygen demand (COD), and 5 day biological oxygen demand (BOD₅). Values represent averages from 24 months with standard deviations ($\pm \sigma$) of 65-74 measurements. Dissolved oxygen, redox potential (Eh), pH, and conductivity (S) were measured online every 15 min.

Parameter	Unit	Average $\pm \sigma$
$\rm NH_4^+$ -N	$mg \ L^{-1}$	45 ± 3
NO_3^-	$mg \ L^{-1}$	0.1 ± 0.8
Fe(total)	$mg \ L^{-1}$	6.0 ± 1.3
Fe^{2+}	$mg \ L^{-1}$	5.6 ± 2.2
SO_4^{2-}	$mg \ L^{-1}$	8.0 ± 6.1
dissolved O_2^a	$mg \ L^{-1}$	< 0.01
Eh^{b}	mV	-460 ± 77
pH^{b}	-	- 7.1 \pm 0.7
\mathbf{S}^{c}	$mS \ cm^{-1}$	2.1 ± 1.5
TOC	$mg \ L^{-1}$	39 ± 5
COD	$mg \; L^{-1}$	114 ± 13
BOD5	$mg \ L^{-1}$	58 ± 13

^a oxygen sensor (TriOxmatic[®] 700 IQ, WTW)

^b pH/redox sensor (pH/redox SensoLyt[®] 700 IQ, WTW)

 c conductivity sensor (LRD 325, WTW)

Table A.2: Data used for the calculation of contaminant mass balance for the unplanted reference system: Areal contaminant load (ACL, $mg m^{-2} d^{-1}$) of inflow and outflow water and volatilization rates $(L_v, mg m^{-2} d^{-1})$ for summer and winter sampling periods. Subtraction of total volatilization (L_v) from total removal in water phase ($\Delta m = ACL_{in} - ACL_{out}$) yields contaminant loss via microbial degradation (L_m) . Evaporation rates (E, $L CW^{-1} d^{-1}$) of the unplanted reference were used to calculate transpiration rates of the planted gravel CW. For comparison reasons average contaminant concentrations $(c_{w,average}, mg L^{-1})$ are given. For measured values the error equals the sum of standard error of the mean and measuring fault. For the parameters ACL_{in} , ACL_{out} , c_w , L_m and E an error analysis was carried out according to Gaussian error propagation.

		Unplanted reference	
		benzene	MTBE
Summer	ACL_{in}	498.2 ± 49.2 ¹⁾	99.2 ± 8.7 ¹⁾
	ACLout	387.1 ± 43.3 ¹⁾	77.4 ± 8.1 ¹⁾
	$c_{w,average}$	$18.0 \pm 1.2^{(1)}$	3.6 ± 0.2 ¹⁾
	L_v	$0.0 \pm 0.00^{-2)}$	$0.2 \pm 0.12^{\ 2)}$
	L_m	111.1 \pm 65.5 $^{1)}$	$21.6\pm11.9^{-1)}$
	Ε	19.7 ± 6.9 $^{1)}$	
Winter	ACL_{in}	$602.7 \pm 53.8^{-3)}$	$91.9 \pm 7.7^{-3)}$
	ACL_{out}	$466.6 \pm 54.9^{-3)}$	$75.5 \pm 8.0^{-3)}$
	$c_{w,average}$	$21.7 \pm 1.4^{-3)}$	$3.4 \pm 0.2^{-3)}$
	L_v	0.0 ± 0.00 $^{4)}$	$1.9 \pm 0.10^{-4)}$
	L_m	$136.1 \pm 76.9^{-3)}$	$14.5 \pm 11.1^{-3)}$
	Е	$16.7 \pm 7.2^{-3)}$	

¹⁾ sampling period: 27/5-1/9/2008

²⁾ sampling period: 10-20/6 and 5-15/8/2008

³⁾ sampling period: 3/2-16/3/2009

⁴⁾ sampling period: 17-27/2/2009



Figure A.1: Areal benzene and MTBE inflow and outflow water load $(ACL_{in/out})$ for the unplanted reference system (A); evaporation of the unplanted reference system as well as ambient air temperature T_{air} (daily mean) (B).



Figure A.2: Benzene and MTBE inflow and outflow concentrations for the planted gravel filter, the plant root mat and the unplanted reference system over a time of 24 months.



Figure A.3: Contaminant fate in the unplanted reference system for summer and winter period. Processes included are microbial degradation and surface volatilization.

A.2 Supporting Information WR-paper

subsectionContinuative explanations: the flow path integration approach expanded to transient flow conditions The flow path integration derived in equation 5.1 and 5.2 can be used to compute the travel time τ or may simply be re-arranged to calculate the porosity θ for a steady-state flow field. If the flow field changes over time, which will be the case if the considered wetland is exposed to weather under real field conditions, the time is divided into small intervals during which flow is assumed constant. Solving eq. 5.1 and 5.2 for travel distance x_i yields the distance a small particle of water released at time t_0 at the inlet has covered during that time interval τ_i :

$$x_i = \frac{q_{in} h}{q_{et}} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{q_{et} \tau_i}{\theta h}} \right) \quad \text{for } q_{et} \neq 0 \text{ and}$$
(A.1)

$$x_i = \frac{q_{in} \tau_i}{\theta} \qquad \qquad \text{for } q_{et} = 0 \tag{A.2}$$

As q_{in} and q_{et} vary over time, the travel distance x may be summed over all the small intervals of constant flow conditions:

$$x_{tot} = \sum x_i \tag{A.3}$$

When outlet distance L is eventually reached, the total travel time equals the sum over all the time intervals after the particle release time: $\sum \tau_i$. If L is exceeded during an interval, the last time interval has to be stepped back and the residual τ required to cover the residual distance is added to the accumulated sum of time intervals, thus yielding the total travel time of the particle. The value of effective porosity θ fitting best to the total travel time is picked as representative for the basin. Note that the particle travel time will generally be a function of release time t_0 under transient conditions, thus water residence times will vary over the course of the year. The results were tested with a numerical model, which resolved the geometry exactly in 2-D and yielded very similar results. A problem may arise under steady-state conditions if x reaches or exceeds $h q_{in}/q_{et}$, and travel time becomes infinite as water is completely evaporated and no more flow occurs. Under transient conditions, that problem does not occur: as in the case of stagnant water, the particle will rest at its position until the end of the time interval, and continue its pathway once a later interval introduces new water flow.







planted CW

benzene [mg/L] (%-inflow)

unplanted CW
Selbständigkeitserklärung

Ich erkläre hiermit, dass ich die zur Promotion eingereichte Arbeit mit dem Titel "Treatment of groundwater contaminated with benzene, MTBE and ammonium by constructed wetlands" selbständig verfasst, nur die angegebenen Quellen und Hilfsmittel benutzt und wörtlich oder inhaltlich übernommene Stellen (alternativ: Zitate) als solche gekennzeichnet habe. Ich erkläre, dass die Richtlinien zur Sicherung guter wissenschaftlicher Praxis der Universität Tübingen (Beschluss des Senats vom 25.5.2000) beachtet wurden. Ich versichere an Eides statt, dass diese Angaben wahr sind und dass ich nichts verschwiegen habe. Mir ist bekannt, dass die falsche Abgabe einer Versicherung an Eides statt mit Freiheitsstrafe bis zu drei Jahren oder mit Geldstrafe bestraft wird.

Eva Mareike Seeger

Curriculum Vitae

CONTROL INFORMATION		
Name	Eva Mareike Seeger	
Address	Karl-Liebknechtstr. 49	
	04107 Leipzig, Germany	
Phone	+49/(0)341 / 6402723	
Email	eva.seeger@ufz.de	
Work Experience		
since 08/2012	Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ, Leipzig, Germany; Department of Environmental Microbi- ology	
	Position: Research Assistant	
05/2007 - 11/2012	Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ, Leipzig, Germany; Department of Environmental Biotech- nology Position: PhD candidate	
Education		
since 05/2007	PhD candidate at the Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research -UFZ, Leipzig, Germany supervised by Prof. Dr. M. Kästner (UFZ, Department of Environmental Biotechnol- ogy) and Prof. Dr. P. Grathwohl (University of Tübingen, Center of Applied Geoscience)	
since 05/2007	 PhD candidate at the Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research -UFZ, Leipzig, Germany supervised by Prof. Dr. M. Kästner (UFZ, Department of Environmental Biotechnol- ogy) and Prof. Dr. P. Grathwohl (University of Tübingen, Center of Applied Geoscience) Topic of the PhD project: "Constructed wetlands for the treatment of groundwater contaminated by benzene, MTBE and ammonium" 	
since 05/2007 05/2007 – 11/2012	 PhD candidate at the Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research -UFZ, Leipzig, Germany supervised by Prof. Dr. M. Kästner (UFZ, Department of Environmental Biotechnol- ogy) and Prof. Dr. P. Grathwohl (University of Tübingen, Center of Applied Geoscience) Topic of the PhD project: "Constructed wetlands for the treatment of groundwater contaminated by benzene, MTBE and ammonium" Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ, Leipzig, Germany; Department of Environmental Biotech- nology Position: PhD candidate 	

CONTACT INFORMATION

Education		
03/2007	Obtaining the Diploma in Chemistry	
	University of Leipzig, Faculty of Chemistry and Mineralogy	
03/2006 - 02/2007	Diploma thesis at the Helmholtz Centre for Environ- mental Research - UFZ, Leipzig, Germany; Department Bioremediation	
	Title of the thesis: "Constructed wetlands for treating groundwater contaminated by chlorinated VOCs (MCB and PCE)"	
10/2004 - 02/2006	Advanced studies of Chemistry at the University of Leipzig, Faculty of Chemistry and Mineralogy	
	Specialization: Environmental Chemistry, Organic Chemistry, Biosciences	
09/2003 - 09/2004	Exchange year in Chemistry at the University of Uppsala, Sweden	
10/2000 - 09/2003	Basic studies of Chemistry at the University of Leipzig, Faculty of Chemistry and Mineralogy	
1987 - 2000	Elementary school and gymnasium	
1987 - 2000	Elementary school and gymnasium Graduation: Abitur (German high school degree)	
1987 – 2000 Research Stay	Elementary school and gymnasium Graduation: Abitur (German high school degree)	
1987 – 2000 Research Stay 11/2008	Elementary school and gymnasium Graduation: Abitur (German high school degree) Technical University of Pereira (UTP), Colombia; Prof. D. Paredes	
1987 – 2000 Research Stay 11/2008	Elementary school and gymnasium Graduation: Abitur (German high school degree) Technical University of Pereira (UTP), Colombia; Prof. D. Paredes Project: Scientific exchange program regarding wastewater treatment in constructed wetlands within the DAAD-project PROCOL	
1987 – 2000 Research Stay 11/2008 11/2007 – 02/2008	Elementary school and gymnasium Graduation: Abitur (German high school degree) Technical University of Pereira (UTP), Colombia; Prof. D. Paredes Project: Scientific exchange program regarding wastewater treatment in constructed wetlands within the DAAD-project PROCOL Technical University Denmark (DTU), Copenhagen; Prof. S. Trapp	
1987 – 2000 Research Stay 11/2008 11/2007 – 02/2008	 Elementary school and gymnasium Graduation: Abitur (German high school degree) Technical University of Pereira (UTP), Colombia; Prof. D. Paredes Project: Scientific exchange program regarding wastewater treatment in constructed wetlands within the DAAD-project PROCOL Technical University Denmark (DTU), Copenhagen; Prof. S. Trapp Project: Tree toxicity tests with willow cuttings (benzene, MTBE, TCE, MCB and <i>TiO</i>₂ nanoparticles) within the framework of the SAFIRA-project CoTra 	
1987 - 2000 <u>Research Stay</u> 11/2008 11/2007 - 02/2008 3/2003	Elementary school and gymnasium Graduation: Abitur (German high school degree) Technical University of Pereira (UTP), Colombia; Prof. D. Paredes Project: Scientific exchange program regarding wastewater treatment in constructed wetlands within the DAAD-project PROCOL Technical University Denmark (DTU), Copenhagen; Prof. S. Trapp Project: Tree toxicity tests with willow cuttings (benzene, MTBE, TCE, MCB and <i>TiO</i> ₂ nanoparticles) within the framework of the SAFIRA-project CoTra University of Southampton, United Kingdom; Prof. M. Hursthouse	

LANGUAGE SKILLS

German	Mother Tongue
English	Fluent in spoken and written
Swedish	Basic knowledge
French	Basic knowledge

Additional Skills

Software Surfer, SigmaPlot, windows applications, basic knowledge of VBA, LaTeX

Publications

Peer-review Articles

SEEGER, E.M.; MAIER, U.; GRATHWOHL, P.; KUSCHK, P.; KAESTNER, M..: Performance evaluation of different horizontal subsurface flow wetland types by characterization of flow behavior, mass removal and depth-dependent contaminant load. *Water Research*, accepted for publication October 2012.

SEEGER, E.M.; REICHE, N.; KUSCHK, P.; BORSDORF, H.; KAESTNER, M..: Performance evaluation using a three compartment mass balance for the removal of volatile organic compounds in pilot scale constructed wetlands. *Environmental Science & Technology* (2011), 45: 8467–8474.

SEEGER, E.M.; KUSCHK, P.; FAZEKAS, H.; GRATHWOHL, P.; KAESTNER, M..: Bioremediation of benzene–, MTBE– and ammonium–contaminated groundwater with pilot-scale constructed wetlands. *Environmental Pollution* (2011), 159: 3769–3776

SEEGER, E.M.; BAUN, A.; KAESTNER, M.; TRAPP, S..: Insignificant acute toxicity of TiO_2 nanoparticles to willo trees. *Journal of Soils and Sediments* (2009), 9: 46–53.

WU, S.; CHEN, Z.; BRAECKEVELT, M; SEEGER, E.M., DONG, R.; KAESTNER, M.; PASCHKE, H.; HAHN, A.; KAYSER, G.; KUSCHK, P...: Dynamics of Fe(II), sulphur and phosphate in pilot-scale constructed wetlands treating a sulphate-rich chlorinated hydrocarbon contaminated groundwater. *Water Research* (2012), 46(6): 1923–1932.

VOYEVODA, M.; GEYER, W.; MOSIG, P.; SEEGER, E.M.; MOTHES, S..: Evaluation of the Effectiveness of different methods for the remediation of contaminated ground-water by determining the petroleum hydrocarbon content. *Clean - Soil, Air, Water* (2012), 40(8): 817–822.

BRAECKEVELT, M.; SEEGER, E.M.; PASCHKE, H.; KUSCHK, P.; KAESTNER, M..:

Adaptation of a constructed wetland to simultaneous treatment of monochlorobenzene and perchlorethene. *International Journal of Phytoremediation* (2011), 13(10): 998–1013.